

Slotted-Circus:
A Generic UTP framework for discretely-timed *Circus*

Andrew Butterfield
Paweł Gancarski

28 July 2009
(revised October 2009)

Contents

1	Introduction	8
2	Syntax	9
3	Slotted-<i>Circus</i> (Formal Definition)	10
3.1	Observational Variables	10
3.2	Required Definitions	10
3.2.1	Accepted and Refused Events and Equivalent Traces	11
3.2.2	Null Slots	12
3.2.3	Slot Prefix Relation	12
3.2.4	Slot Addition (Concatenation)	13
3.2.5	Slot Subtraction	13
3.2.6	Relating Addition and Subtraction	14
3.2.7	Hiding Slot Events	15
3.2.8	Slot Synchronisation	15
3.2.9	Parameter Summary	16
3.3	Derived Definitions	17
3.3.1	Trace Equivalence of a Slot-Sequence	18
3.3.2	Extracting Refusal Sequences	18
3.3.3	Slot-Sequence Prefix Ordering (\preceq)	19
3.3.4	Slot Equivalences	20
3.3.5	Slot-Sequence Addition	21
3.3.6	Slot-Sequence Subtraction	21
3.3.7	Relating Slot-Sequence Addition and Subtraction	22
3.4	Healthiness Conditions	23
3.4.1	Reactive Healthiness 1 (R1)	23

3.4.2	Reactive Healthiness 2 (R2)	23
3.4.3	Reactive Healthiness 3 (R3)	24
3.4.4	Reactive Healthiness (R)	25
3.4.5	CSP Healthiness 1 (CSP1)	27
3.4.6	CSP Healthiness 2 (CSP2)	27
3.4.7	CSP Healthiness 3 (CSP3)	28
3.4.8	CSP Healthiness 4 (CSP4)	28
3.4.9	CSP Healthiness 5 (CSP5)	29
3.4.10	Healthy Processes	29
3.5	Slotted- <i>Circus</i> Specific Actions	30
3.5.1	Laws	31
3.6	Actions	32
3.6.1	Nondeterministic Choice	32
3.6.2	Conditional Choice	32
3.6.3	Sequential Composition	32
3.6.4	Chaos	32
3.6.5	Deadlock	32
3.6.6	Guard	32
3.6.7	Termination	32
3.6.8	Delay	33
3.6.9	Assignment	33
3.6.10	Communication (Prefix)	33
3.6.11	External Choice	33
3.6.12	Parallel Composition	34
3.6.13	Hiding	35
3.6.14	Timeout	35
3.6.15	Recursion	35
3.7	Laws	35
3.7.1	Prefix	35
3.7.2	Sequential Composition	36
3.7.3	Conditional	36
3.7.4	Guards	36
3.7.5	Non-deterministic Choice	37
3.7.6	External Choice Composition	37
3.7.7	Parallel Composition	37
3.7.8	Hiding	38
4	Slotted-<i>Circus</i>—CTA Incarnation	40
4.1	Observational Variables	40
4.2	Required Definitions and Proofs	40

4.2.1	Defining acc_{CTA}	40
4.2.2	Defining $EQVTRC_{CTA}$	40
4.2.3	Defining $hnull_{CTA}$	40
4.2.4	Defining \preceq_{CTA}	41
4.2.5	Defining $sadd_{CTA}$	43
4.2.6	Defining $ssub_{CTA}$	44
4.2.7	Defining $ssync_{CTA}$	47
4.2.8	Defining $shide_{CTA}$	48
5	Slotted-Circus—MSA Incarnation	49
5.1	Observational Variables	49
5.2	Required Definitions and Proofs	49
5.2.1	Defining acc_{MSA}	49
5.2.2	Defining $EQVTRC_{MSA}$	49
5.2.3	Defining $hnull_{MSA}$	49
5.2.4	Defining \preceq_{MSA}	50
5.2.5	Defining $sadd_{MSA}$	52
5.2.6	Defining $ssub_{MSA}$	54
5.2.7	Defining $ssync_{MSA}$	57
5.2.8	Defining $shide_{MSA}$	57
6	Slotted-Circus—SA Incarnation	58
6.1	Observational Variables	58
6.2	Required Definitions and Proofs	58
6.2.1	Defining acc_{SA}	58
6.2.2	Defining $EQVTRC_{SA}$	58
6.2.3	Defining $hnull_{SA}$	58
6.2.4	Defining \preceq_{SA}	59
6.2.5	Defining $sadd_{SA}$	61
6.2.6	Defining $ssub_{SA}$	62
6.2.7	Defining $ssync_{SA}$	65
6.2.8	Defining $shide_{SA}$	66
A	Slotted-Circus Foundation Proofs	67
A.1	Proofs for Derived Definitions	67
A.1.1	Proof	67
A.1.2	Proof	68
A.1.3	Proof	72
A.1.4	Proof	75
A.1.5	Proof	77
A.1.6	Proof	78

A.1.7 Proof	79
A.1.8 Proof	82
A.1.9 Proof	83
A.1.10 Proof	83
A.1.11 Proof	84
A.1.12 Proof	86
A.1.13 Proof	87
A.1.14 Proof	88
A.1.15 Proof	89
A.1.16 Proof	90
A.2 Useful Sequence Shorthands	91
A.2.1 Proof	92
A.2.2 Proof	95
A.2.3 Proof	96
A.2.4 Proof	97
A.2.5 Proof	98
A.2.6 Proof	99
A.2.7 Proof	100
A.2.8 Proof	101
A.2.9 Proof	102
A.2.10 Proof	103
A.2.11 Proof	104
A.2.12 Proof	105
A.2.13 Proof	108
A.2.14 Proof	109
A.2.15 Proof	111
A.2.16 Proof	112
A.3 Proofs for Healthiness Conditions	113
A.3.1 Proof	113
A.3.2 Proof	114
A.3.3 Proof	115
A.3.4 Proof	115
A.3.5 Proof	116
A.3.6 Proof	117
A.3.7 Proof	118
A.3.8 Proof	119
A.3.9 Proof	120
A.3.10 Proof	121
A.3.11 Proof	121
A.3.12 Proof	122

A.3.13 Proof	123
A.3.14 Proof	124
A.3.15 Proof	125
A.3.16 Proof	127
A.3.17 Proof	129
A.3.18 Proof	132
A.3.19 Proof	133
A.3.20 Proof	134
A.3.21 Proof	135
A.3.22 Proof	136
A.3.23 Proof	137
A.3.24 Proof	138
A.3.25 Proof	139
A.3.26 Proof	139
A.3.27 Proof	140
A.3.28 Proof	141
A.3.29 Proof	142
A.3.30 Proof	143
A.3.31 Proof	144
A.3.32 Proof	144
A.3.33 Proof	145
A.3.34 Proof	145
A.3.35 Proof	146
A.3.36 Proof	147
A.3.37 Proof	148
A.3.38 Proof	150
A.3.39 Proof	153
A.3.40 Proof	154
A.3.41 Proof	155
A.3.42 Proof	156
A.3.43 Proof	158
A.3.44 Proof	159
A.3.45 Proof	159
A.3.46 Proof	160
A.3.47 Proof	160
A.3.48 Proof	161
A.3.49 Lemma	162
A.3.50 Proof	163
A.3.51 Proof	164
A.3.52 Proof	165

A.3.53 Proof	166
A.3.54 Proof	167
A.3.55 Proof	168
A.3.56 Proof	169
A.3.57 Proof	170
A.3.58 Proof	171
A.3.59 Proof	172
A.3.60 Proof	173
A.4 Slotted- <i>Circus</i> Specific Actions	173
A.4.1 Proof	173
A.4.2 Proof	174
A.4.3 Proof	175
B Slotted-<i>Circus</i> Law Proofs	176
B.1 Prefix	176
B.1.1 Proof	176
B.1.2 Proof	177
B.1.3 Proof	178
B.1.4 Lemma	179
B.1.5 Proof	180
B.1.6 Lemma	185
B.2 Sequential Composition	185
B.2.1 Proof	185
B.2.2 Lemma	187
B.2.3 Proof	188
B.2.4 Proof	189
C Synchronous CSP is not Slotted-<i>Circus</i>	193
C.0.5 Accepted and Refused Events and Equivalent Traces	193
C.0.6 Null Slots	193
C.0.7 Slot Prefix Relation	193
C.0.8 Slot Addition (Concatenation)	194
C.0.9 Slot Subtraction	196
C.0.10 Relating Addition and Subtraction	197
C.0.11 Hiding Slot Events	197
C.0.12 Slot Synchronisation	197
D Slotted-<i>Circus</i> Proof Principles	199
D.1 Proof Principles	199
D.1.1 Generalised One-point	199
D.1.2 Change of Variable	201

D.1.3	Variable Change: \preceq	202
D.1.4	Variable Change: \cong	203
D.1.5	Proof of [Hsub:equal]	205
D.1.6	Proof of [SSub:equal]	206
D.1.7	Shifting	207
E	Theory of Sequences	211
E.1	Sequence Definitions	211
E.1.1	Sequence Head	211
E.1.2	Sequence Tail	211
E.1.3	Sequence Concatenation	211
E.1.4	Sequence Length	211
E.1.5	Sequence Index	211
E.1.6	Prefix Relation	212
E.1.7	Sequence Subtraction	212
E.1.8	Strict Prefix Relation	212
E.1.9	Sequence Front	212
E.1.10	Sequence Last	213
E.2	Sequence Properties	214
E.2.1	Proof	214
E.2.2	Proof	214
E.2.3	Proof	214
E.2.4	Proof	214
E.2.5	Proof	214
E.2.6	Proof	214
E.2.7	Proof	214
E.2.8	Proof	215
E.2.9	Proof	215
E.2.10	Proof	215
E.2.11	Proof	215
E.2.12	Proof	215
E.2.13	Proof	215
E.2.14	Proof	215

1 Introduction

This technical report describes the development of the theory of *Slotted-Circus*, a generic, discretely timed version of *Circus*[CSW03], inspired by the work of Sherif and He on *Circus* Timed Actions (CTA) [SH03, She06].

At present this is a holding version, with details that need to be integrated from the latest work (to be reported at FM2009).

2 Syntax

We present the syntax of all three varieties below, with an informal description of the behaviour of the constructs.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Action} & ::= \text{Skip} \mid \text{Stop} \mid \text{Chaos} \\
 & \mid \text{Name}^+ := \text{Expr}^+ \mid \text{Comm} \rightarrow \text{Action} \mid \text{b} \ \& \ \text{Action} \\
 & \mid \text{Action}; \text{Action} \mid \text{Action} \sqcap \text{Action} \mid \text{Action} \sqcup \text{Action} \\
 & \mid \text{Action} \langle \text{b} \rangle \text{Action} \mid \text{Action} \llbracket \text{CS} \rrbracket \text{Action} \mid \text{Action} \setminus \text{CS} \\
 & \mid \mu \text{Name} \bullet F(\text{Name}) \mid \text{Wait } t \mid \text{Action} \triangleright^t \text{Action} \\
 \text{Comm} & ::= \text{Name} \mid \text{Name}.\text{Expr} \mid \text{Name}!\text{Expr} \mid \text{Name}?\text{Name} \mid \text{Name} : \text{T} \\
 \text{T} & ::= \text{type} \\
 \text{Expr} & ::= \text{expression} \\
 t & ::= \text{positive integer valued expression} \\
 \text{b} & ::= \text{boolean valued expression} \\
 \text{Name} & ::= \text{channel or variable names} \\
 \text{CS} & ::= \text{channel name sets}
 \end{aligned}$$

The notation X^+ denotes a sequence of X . We assume an appropriate syntax for describing expressions and their types, subject only to the proviso that booleans and non-negative integers are included.

The basic actions *Skip*, *Stop*, *Chaos* are similar to the corresponding CSP behaviours [Hoa85, Sch00], respectively denoting actions that do nothing and terminate, do nothing and wait forever, or act unpredictably forever. The composite actions operators $;$, \sqcap and \sqcup denote sequential composition, internal choice and external choice respectively. Also familiar from CSP are the conditional action ($\langle \text{b} \rangle$) and the prefix action $\text{Comm} \rightarrow \text{Action}$, as well as recursively defined actions ($\mu \text{Name} \bullet F(\text{Name})$). The communication prefixes range over synchronisation on a channel (Name), communicating a value on a channel ($\text{Name}.\text{Expr}$), sending a value on a channel ($\text{Name}!\text{Expr}$), receiving a value on a channel ($\text{Name}?\text{Name}$) and being willing to engage in one of a number of possible events ($\text{Name} : \text{T}$).

We refer to *Circus* behaviour as “actions”, rather than “processes”, because they have assignable variables. So we find (multiple) assignment ($:=$) as a basic action. We also have actions guarded by boolean expressions ($\&$).

Parallel composition of actions ($\llbracket \text{CS} \rrbracket$) is parameterised by a single set, composed of channel names, rather than general events. Similarly, we can hide uses of channel names ($\setminus \text{CS}$) rather than general events.

The constructs related to time are *Wait* t and \triangleright^t . The first (*Wait* t) denotes an action which simply waits for t time-slots to elapse, and then terminates. The second, $A \triangleright^t B$ denotes a timeout situation where A is interrupted by B after t time-slots, if it has not performed an observable event or terminated by then. Both these two constructs are defined for *syncCircus* and CT^* , but not for *Circus*.

The syntax described here differs from that presented for CT^* in [SJ02] by the presence of the communication form $\text{Name} : \text{T}$, denoting a synchronisation event with no attendant data being transferred. This is provided in order to admit a uniform semantics for all communications.

3 Slotted-Circus (Formal Definition)

This section presents the formal definition of the slotted-*Circus* theory framework. We shall adopt the following convention for identifiers:

observation variables	lower-case
expression functions	lower-case
un-healthy predicates	ALL CAPS
healthy predicates	First Letter Capitalised

Note that relation identifiers (as distinct from symbols) are treated as predicates in the above convention.

3.1 Observational Variables

A slotted trace is defined over *Events*, via a type constructor \mathcal{S} , which captures the variety of ways in which a slot can be built from events. In effect a given slotted theory is parametric in \mathcal{S} , and *Event*, and a number of relations to be defined below (in 3.2).

We have the following observational variables ($obs_{\mathcal{S}}$):

Stability $ok : \mathbb{B}$

Termination $wait : \mathbb{B}$

Variable-State $state : \text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Value}$

Slot-Sequences $slots : (\mathcal{S} \text{Event})^+$

3.2 Required Definitions

In general the predicates/relations/functions are parametric over \mathcal{S} , so are written as $NAME_{\mathcal{S}}$. However, in the sequel, we only indicate this parameter when giving the signature, and omit it from all other uses.

We present the signature of the required relations that characterise a particular slotted-theory, as well as specifying laws that they must obey.

In particular, we expect the slot structure to be a pair of the form $\mathcal{H}E \times \mathbb{P}E$, where the first component records some form of slot history based on events, while the second gives the set of events (*ref*) refused in that slot after that history has occurred.

$$[\text{SLOT:structure}] \quad slot, (hist, ref) \in \mathcal{S}E \hat{=} \mathcal{H}E \times \mathbb{P}E$$

So, in effect, a slotted theory is fact parametric on \mathcal{H} , rather than \mathcal{S} , this latter now being defined in terms of the former.

In the sequel, many operators defined on histories can be extended to slots in an “obvious” way. Typically, we overload these operator names, occasionally using suffixes to distinguish them. These “obvious” extensions conform to the following general scheme, where q and m are arbitrary query

and modify operators respectively:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{Overload:Sig:q:H}] & q_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow X \\
[\text{Overload:Sig:q:S}] & q_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} E \rightarrow X \\
[\text{Overload:q:def}] & q_{\mathcal{S}} = Q_{\mathcal{H}} \circ \pi_1 \\
[\text{Overload:Sig:m:H}] & m_{\mathcal{H}} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\
[\text{Overload:Sig:m:S}] & m_{\mathcal{S}} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{S} E \rightarrow \mathcal{S} E \\
[\text{Overload:m:def}] & m_{\mathcal{S}}(x)(\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \hat{=} (m_{\mathcal{H}}(x)\text{hist}, \text{ref})
\end{array}$$

We will require many history operators to satisfy key laws, which are flagged in the sequel with \boxtimes . In most cases, corresponding laws for slot operators will be an easy consequence of the history ones.

3.2.1 Accepted and Refused Events and Equivalent Traces

With a slot we associate the set of events accepted (*acc*) as well as the possible trace equivalents (*EQVTRC*).

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{ACC:sig}] & acc_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\
& acc_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{S} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\
[\text{ET:sig}] & EQVTRC_{\mathcal{H}} : E^* \leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\
& EQVTRC_{\mathcal{S}} : E^* \leftrightarrow \mathcal{S} E \\
[\text{ET:elems}] & \boxtimes EQVTRC(\text{tr}, \text{hist}) \Rightarrow elems(\text{tr}) = acc(\text{hist}) \\
[\text{HIST:exists}] & \boxtimes \exists \text{hist} \bullet acc(\text{hist}) = S
\end{array}$$

We need also to be able to associate a refusal-set with an individual slot. However, unlike traces, we do expect the relationship to be functional—every slot has a unique refusal set associated with it, which is why we require the slot to be isomorphic to a pair containing a refusal set:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{REF:sig}] & sref_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\
[\text{sref:def}] & sref \hat{=} \pi_2
\end{array}$$

We also expect that histories and refusals are all that is required to define a slot:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{HIST:eq}] & \boxtimes (h_1 = h_2) \\
& \equiv \forall tr \bullet EQVTRC(tr, h_1) \equiv EQVTRC(tr, h_2)
\end{array}$$

A corresponding principle for slots is easy to derive:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{SLOT:eq}] & (s_1 = s_2) \\
& \equiv sref(s_1) = sref(s_2) \wedge \forall tr \bullet EQVTRC(tr, s_1) \equiv EQVTRC(tr, s_2)
\end{array}$$

$$[\text{Acc:h:eq:elems:ET}] \quad acc(t) = S \equiv \forall r \exists tt \bullet EQVTRC(tt, (t, r)) \wedge elems(tt) = S$$

3.2.2 Null Slots

We require the notion of a null slot with no accepted events, but capable of supporting arbitrary refusals. We are led to posit that the only aspect which varies in any null-slot is precisely those refusals. This amounts to the existence of a unique null history value

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{HN:sig}] & hnull_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} E \\
[\text{HN:null}] & \bowtie \quad acc(hnull) = \{\} \\
[\text{SN:sig}] & snull_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathcal{S} E \\
[\text{SN:def}] & snull(ref) \hat{=} (hnull, ref)
\end{array}$$

Note that $snull$ is a bijection if viewed as a function from $\mathbb{P} E$ to $\mathcal{S}_{Null} E$, where $\mathcal{S}_{Null} E$ is the space of null-slots. The following laws are immediate:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{SN:ref}] & sref(snull(ref)) = ref \\
[\text{SN:null}] & acc(snull(ref)) = \{\} \\
[\text{SN:eq}] & snull(r) = snull(r') \equiv r = r'
\end{array}$$

3.2.3 Slot Prefix Relation

The relation $\preceq_{\mathcal{S}}$ captures the notion of one slot being a prefix of another:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{pfx:sig}] & \preceq_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} E \leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\
& \preceq_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} E \leftrightarrow \mathcal{S} E \\
[\text{pfx:def}] & (hist_1, ref_1) \preceq_{\mathcal{S}} (hist_2, ref_2) \hat{=} hist_1 \preceq_{\mathcal{H}} hist_2 \\
[\text{pfx:ref}] & \bowtie \quad hist \preceq hist = \text{TRUE} \\
& slot \preceq slot = \text{TRUE} \\
[\text{pfx:trans}] & \bowtie \quad hist_1 \preceq hist_2 \wedge hist_2 \preceq hist_3 \Rightarrow hist_1 \preceq hist_3 \\
& slot_1 \preceq slot_2 \wedge slot_2 \preceq slot_3 \Rightarrow slot_1 \preceq slot_3 \\
[\text{pfx:anti-sym}] & \bowtie \quad hist_1 \preceq hist_2 \wedge hist_2 \preceq hist_1 \Rightarrow hist_1 = hist_2
\end{array}$$

It asserts that its first history argument is, in some sense, a “prefix” of its second, and must also be a pre-order. In fact over the history component it must be a partial order, but cannot be so over the whole slot structure, as it ignores the refusal component. We require that a null history is a prefix of any history, and also, if one history is a prefix of another, then there must exist corresponding equivalent traces which are in the sequence-prefix relation:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{SN:pfx}] & \bowtie \quad hnull \preceq hist \\
& snull(r) \preceq slot \\
[\text{ET:pfx}] & \bowtie \quad hist_1 \preceq hist_2 \Rightarrow \exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet EQVTRC(tr_1, hist_1) \wedge EQVTRC(tr_2, hist_2) \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2 \\
& slot_1 \preceq slot_2 \Rightarrow \exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet EQVTRC(tr_1, s_1) \wedge EQVTRC(tr_2, s_2) \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2
\end{array}$$

An important thing to note however, is that slots whose equivalent traces are identical can be mutual prefixes of each other, even if their associated refusals differ—in other words the question of whether or not one slot is a prefix of another does not take refusals into consideration.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{pfx:ignores:ref:1}] & slot_1 \preceq slot_2 \wedge slot_2 \preceq slot_1 \not\equiv sref(slot_1) = sref(slot_2) \\
[\text{pfx:ignores:ref:2}] & slot_1 \preceq slot_2 \equiv \forall r_1, r_2 \bullet slot_1[r_1] \preceq slot_2[r_2]
\end{array}$$

Here we use the notation $s[r]$ to denote a slot s where its refusal component has been replaced by r . These properties are an immediate consequence of the pair-structure of slots and the definition of prefixing.

3.2.4 Slot Addition (Concatenation)

We also need to have the notion of adding slots in a manner analogous to concatenation:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{sadd:sig}] \quad & \text{sadd}_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} E \times \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\ & \text{sadd}_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} E \times \mathcal{S} E \rightarrow \mathcal{S} E \end{aligned}$$

We define the slot version in terms of the history version as retaining the refusals of the latter argument:

$$[\text{sadd:def}] \quad \text{sadd}_{\mathcal{S}}((h_1, r_1), (h_2, r_2)) \hat{=} (\text{sadd}_{\mathcal{H}}(h_1, h_2), r_2)$$

We require behaviour as below, requiring slot addition to be associative (but not generally commutative, as it is more a form of adding by concatenation):

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{sadd:events}] \quad & \boxtimes \quad \text{acc}(\text{sadd}(h_1, h_2)) = \text{acc}(h_1) \cup \text{acc}(h_2) \\ & \quad \text{acc}(\text{sadd}(s_1, s_2)) = \text{acc}(s_1) \cup \text{acc}(s_2) \\ [\text{sadd:ref}] \quad & \text{sref}(\text{sadd}(s_1, s_2)) = \text{sref}(s_2) \\ [\text{sadd:unit}] \quad & \boxtimes \quad \text{sadd}(h_1, h_2) = h_1 \equiv h_2 = \text{hnull} \\ & \boxtimes \quad \text{sadd}(h_1, h_2) = h_2 \equiv h_1 = \text{Hnull} \\ & \quad \text{sadd}(s_1, s_2) = s_1 \equiv s_2 = \text{snull}(\text{sref}(s_1)) \\ & \quad \text{sadd}(s_1, s_2) = s_2 \equiv \exists r_2 \bullet s_2 = \text{snull}(r_2) \\ [\text{sadd:assoc}] \quad & \boxtimes \quad \text{sadd}(h_1, \text{sadd}(h_2, h_3)) = \text{sadd}(\text{sadd}(h_1, h_2), h_3) \\ & \quad \text{sadd}(s_1, \text{sadd}(s_2, s_3)) = \text{sadd}(\text{sadd}(s_1, s_2), s_3) \\ [\text{sadd:prefix}] \quad & \boxtimes \quad h \preceq \text{sadd}(h, h') \\ & \quad s \preceq \text{sadd}(s, s') \end{aligned}$$

There is a derived notion of slot equivalence (\approx), introduced formally later (3.3.4), and we require sadd to have the following property w.r.t. to such an equivalence:

$$[\text{sadd:eqv:unit}] \quad \text{sadd}(s_1, s_2) \approx s_1 \equiv \exists r_2 \bullet s_2 = \text{snull}(r_2)$$

Note that this is weaker than the unit law $[\text{sadd:unit}]$, but is a consequence of the history version of it.

Finally, we introduce the following binary shorthand for sadd :

$$[\text{sadd:binop}] \quad s_1 \# s_2 \hat{=} \text{sadd}(s_1, s_2)$$

3.2.5 Slot Subtraction

The related notion of slot-subtraction is also required:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{ssub:sig}] \quad & \text{ssub}_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} E \times \mathcal{H} E \leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\ & \text{ssub}_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} E \times \mathcal{S} E \leftrightarrow \mathcal{S} E \end{aligned}$$

where the second argument is subtracted from the first.

As for addition, we define slot subtraction to retain the refusal of its first argument:

$$[\text{ssub:def}] \quad \text{ssub}_{\mathcal{S}}((h_1, r_1), (h_2, r_2)) \hat{=} (\text{ssub}_{\mathcal{H}}(h_1, h_2), r_1)$$

Subtraction is partial, and needs to obey a large collection of laws:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{ssub:pre}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & \text{pre } \text{ssub}(h_1, h_2) = h_2 \preceq h_1 \\
& \text{pre } \text{ssub}(s_1, s_2) = s_2 \preceq s_1 \\
[\text{ssub:events}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & h_2 \preceq h_1 \wedge h' = \text{ssub}(h_1, h_2) \Rightarrow \\
& \text{acc}(h_1) \setminus \text{acc}(h_2) \subseteq \text{acc}(h') \subseteq \text{acc}(h_1) \\
[\text{ssub:events}]_{\mathcal{S}} \quad & s_2 \preceq s_1 \wedge s' = \text{ssub}(s_1, s_2) \Rightarrow \\
& \text{acc}(s_1) \setminus \text{acc}(s_2) \subseteq \text{acc}(s') \subseteq \text{acc}(s_1) \\
[\text{SSub:ref}] \quad & \text{sref}(\text{ssub}(\text{slot}', \text{slot})) = \text{sref}(\text{slot}') \\
[\text{SSub:self}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & \text{ssub}(h, h) = \text{hnull} \\
& \text{ssub}(s, s) = \text{snull}(\text{sref}(s)) \\
[\text{SSub:nil}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & \text{ssub}(h, \text{hnull}) = h \\
& \text{ssub}(s, \text{snull}(r)) = s \\
[\text{SSub:same}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & \text{hist} \preceq \text{hist}'_a \wedge \text{hist} \preceq \text{hist}'_b \Rightarrow \\
& \text{ssub}(\text{hist}'_a, \text{hist}) = \text{ssub}(\text{hist}'_b, \text{hist}) \equiv \text{hist}'_a = \text{hist}'_b \\
[\text{SSub:same}]_{\mathcal{S}} \quad & \text{slot} \preceq \text{slot}'_a \wedge \text{slot} \preceq \text{slot}'_b \Rightarrow \\
& \text{ssub}(\text{slot}'_a, \text{slot}) = \text{ssub}(\text{slot}'_b, \text{slot}) \equiv \text{slot}'_a = \text{slot}'_b \\
[\text{SSub:subsub}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & \text{hist}_c \preceq \text{hist}_a \wedge \text{hist}_c \preceq \text{hist}_b \wedge \text{hist}_b \preceq \text{hist}_a \\
& \Rightarrow \text{ssub}(\text{ssub}(\text{hist}_a, \text{hist}_c), \text{ssub}(\text{hist}_b, \text{hist}_c)) = \text{ssub}(\text{hist}_a, \text{hist}_b) \\
[\text{SSub:subsub}]_{\mathcal{S}} \quad & \text{slot}_c \preceq \text{slot}_a \wedge \text{slot}_c \preceq \text{slot}_b \wedge \text{slot}_b \preceq \text{slot}_a \\
& \Rightarrow \text{ssub}(\text{ssub}(\text{slot}_a, \text{slot}_c), \text{ssub}(\text{slot}_b, \text{slot}_c)) = \text{ssub}(\text{slot}_a, \text{slot}_b)
\end{aligned}$$

The law $[\text{ssub:events}]$ may seem a little weak, but in general subtracting s_2 from s_1 does not guarantee that the result will not mention events in s_2 . As for sadd , we need a property linking ssub and slot equivalence (3.3.4):

$$[\text{SSub:eqv}] \quad s_1 \approx s_2 \equiv \text{ssub}(s_1, s_2) = \text{snull}(\text{sref}(s_1))$$

This law is a consequence of the anti-symmetric of $\preceq_{\mathcal{H}}$, and the laws $[\text{SSub:self}]$ and $[\text{ssub:def}]$.

Also useful is a law allowing us to handle slot-subtractions that cause no change:

$$[\text{SSub:equal}] \quad s = \text{ssub}(s, \text{sn}) \equiv \exists rn \bullet \text{sn} = \text{snull}(rn)$$

For proof see $[\text{SSub:equal}]:\text{p206}$

Finally, we introduce the following binary shorthand for ssub :

$$[\text{sadd:binop}] \quad s_1 \setminus s_2 \hat{=} \text{ssub}(s_1, s_2)$$

3.2.6 Relating Addition and Subtraction

We also require addition and subtraction to satisfy the following laws, the first of which can be considered a defining feature of subtraction, and the second being required to ensure that **R2** (see

[R2:def]:p23) is idempotent:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{sadd:ssub}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & \text{hist} \preceq \text{hist}' \Rightarrow \text{sadd}(\text{hist}, \text{ssub}(\text{hist}', \text{hist})) = \text{hist}' \\
& \text{slot} \preceq \text{slot}' \Rightarrow \text{sadd}(\text{slot}, \text{ssub}(\text{slot}', \text{slot})) = \text{slot}' \\
[\text{ssub:sadd}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & \text{ssub}(\text{sadd}(h_1, h_2), h_1) = h_2 \\
& \text{ssub}(\text{sadd}(s_1, s_2), s_1) = s_2
\end{aligned}$$

We will allow certain variants of slotted-*Circus* that fail to satisfy [ssub:sadd], provided a different form of the **R2** healthiness condition is used. An example of this is that case where we model the event occurrences as a set, and *sadd* and *ssub* correspond to set union and set difference respectively. In this case it is generally the case that:

$$(S_1 \cup S_2) \setminus S_1 \neq S_2 \quad \text{e.g.: } (\{a\} \cup \{a\}) \setminus \{a\} = \emptyset \neq \{a\}.$$

3.2.7 Hiding Slot Events

We need to specify how to hide events in a slot:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SHid:sig}] \quad & \text{shide}_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\
& \text{shide}_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathcal{S} E \rightarrow \mathcal{S} E
\end{aligned}$$

Hiding shrinks the event-set:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SHid:def}] \quad & \text{shide}_{\mathcal{S}}(\text{hid})(\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \hat{=} (\text{shide}_{\mathcal{H}}(\text{hid})\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \\
[\text{SHid:evts}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & \text{acc}(\text{shide}(\text{hid})(h)) = \text{acc}(h) \setminus \text{hid} \\
& \text{acc}(\text{shide}(\text{hid})(s)) = \text{acc}(s) \setminus \text{hid} \\
[\text{SHid:refs}] \quad & \text{sref}(\text{shide}(\text{hid})(s)) = \text{sref}(s) \\
[\text{hide:it:is:null}] \quad & (\exists t \bullet \text{acc}(t) = \{c\} \wedge tt = \text{SHide}_H\{c\}(t)) \equiv \text{acc}(tt) = \emptyset
\end{aligned}$$

3.2.8 Slot Synchronisation

Finally, we need a function that captures the way in which two slots can synchronise on a given channel-set:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SNC:sig}] \quad & \text{ssync}_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \times \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H} E) \\
& \text{ssync}_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathcal{S} E \times \mathcal{S} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{S} E)
\end{aligned}$$

We define the slot-version in terms of the history one as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SNC:def}] \quad & \text{ssync}_{\mathcal{S}}(cs)((\text{hist}_1, \text{ref}_1), (\text{hist}_2, \text{ref}_2)) \\
& \hat{=} \text{ssync}_{\mathcal{H}}(cs)(\text{hist}_1, \text{hist}_2) \times \{\text{rsync}(cs)(\text{ref}_1, \text{ref}_2)\} \\
[\text{RSYN:sig}] \quad & \text{rsync} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \times \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\
[\text{RSYN:def}] \quad & \text{rsync}(cs)(r_1, r_2) \hat{=} ((r_1 \cup r_2) \cap cs) \cup ((r_1 \cap r_2) \setminus cs) \\
[\text{RSYN:sym}] \quad & \text{rsync}(cs)(r_1, r_2) = \text{rsync}(cs)(r_2, r_1) \\
[\text{RSYN:assoc}] \quad & \text{rsync}(cs)(r_1, \text{rsync}(cs)(r_2, r_3)) = \text{rsync}(cs)(\text{rsync}(cs)(r_1, r_2), r_3)
\end{aligned}$$

Synchronisation needs to satisfy the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SNC:sym}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & \text{ssync}(cs)(h_1, h_2) = \text{ssync}(cs)(h_2, h_1) \\
& \text{ssync}(cs)(s_1, s_2) = \text{ssync}(cs)(s_2, s_1) \\
[\text{SNC:null}] \quad & \text{sync}(cs)(\text{snull}(r_1), \text{snull}(r_2)) = \{\text{snull}(\text{rsync}(r_1, r_2))\} \\
[\text{SNC:one}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & \forall h' \in \text{ssync}(cs)(h_1, \text{hnull}) \bullet \text{acc}(h') \subseteq \text{acc}(h_1) \setminus cs \\
& \forall r_2 \bullet \forall s' \in \text{ssync}(cs)(s_1, \text{snull}(r_2)) \bullet \text{acc}(s') \subseteq \text{acc}(s_1) \setminus cs \\
[\text{SNC:only}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & h' \in \text{acc}(\text{ssync}(cs)(h_1, h_2)) \Rightarrow \text{acc}(h') \subseteq \text{acc}(h_1) \cup \text{acc}(h_2) \\
& s' \in \text{acc}(\text{ssync}(cs)(s_1, s_2)) \Rightarrow \text{acc}(s') \subseteq \text{acc}(s_1) \cup \text{acc}(s_2) \\
[\text{SNC:sync}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & h' \in \text{acc}(\text{ssync}(cs)(h_1, h_2)) \Rightarrow cs \cap \text{acc}(h') \subseteq cs \cap (\text{acc}(h_1) \cap \text{acc}(h_2)) \\
& s' \in \text{acc}(\text{ssync}(cs)(s_1, s_2)) \Rightarrow cs \cap \text{acc}(s') \subseteq cs \cap (\text{acc}(s_1) \cap \text{acc}(s_2))
\end{aligned}$$

Note that these laws are weaker than might be expected—in particular, they do not specify the difference between what happens to events common to both slots, *vis-a-vis* their membership of the synchronisation set. This aspect of behaviour depends on the specifics of a given slotted theory.

We would like an associativity principle, but in order to do that we need to handle synchronisation of one history against a set of same:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SNCS:sig}] \quad & \text{syncset} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H} E) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H} E) \\
[\text{SNCS:def}] \quad & \text{syncset}(cs)(h)(H) \hat{=} \bigcup \{\text{ssync}(cs)(h, h') \mid h' \in H\} \\
[\text{SNC:assoc}] \quad \boxtimes \quad & \text{syncset}(cs)(h_1)(\text{ssync}(cs)(h_2, h_3)) = \text{syncset}(cs)(h_3)(\text{ssync}(cs)(h_1, h_2)) \\
& \text{syncset}(cs)(s_1)(\text{ssync}(cs)(s_2, s_3)) = \text{syncset}(cs)(s_3)(\text{ssync}(cs)(s_1, s_2))
\end{aligned}$$

3.2.9 Parameter Summary

We recap: the parameters required to define a slotted-*Circus* theory, itself parametric on *Events*, are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} & : E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\
\text{acc}_{\mathcal{H}} & : \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\
\text{EQVTRC}_{\mathcal{H}} & : E^* \leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\
\text{hnull}_{\mathcal{H}} & : \mathcal{H} E \\
\preceq_{\mathcal{H}} & : \mathcal{H} E \leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\
\text{ssub}_{\mathcal{H}} & : \mathcal{H} E \times \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\
\text{sadd}_{\mathcal{H}} & : \mathcal{H} E \times \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\
\text{shide}_{\mathcal{H}} & : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\
\text{ssync}_{\mathcal{H}} & : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \times \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H} E)
\end{aligned}$$

These need to satisfy the following laws:

- [ET:elems] ✘ $EQVTRC(tr, hist) \Rightarrow elems(tr) = acc(hist)$
- [HIST:exists] ✘ $\exists hist \bullet acc(hist) = S$
- [HIST:eq] ✘ $(h_1 = h_2) \equiv \forall tr \bullet EQVTRC(tr, h_1) \equiv EQVTRC(tr, h_2)$
- [HN:null] ✘ $acc(hnull) = \{\}$
- [pfx:refl] ✘ $hist \preceq hist = \text{TRUE}$
- [pfx:trans] ✘ $hist_1 \preceq hist_2 \wedge hist_2 \preceq hist_3 \Rightarrow hist_1 \preceq hist_3$
- [pfx:anti-sym] ✘ $hist_1 \preceq hist_2 \wedge hist_2 \preceq hist_1 \Rightarrow hist_1 = hist_2$
- [SN:pfx] ✘ $hnull \preceq hist$
- [ET:pfx] ✘ $hist_1 \preceq hist_2 \Rightarrow \exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet EQVTRC(tr_1, hist_1) \wedge EQVTRC(tr_2, hist_2) \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2$
(continued overleaf)

- [sadd:events] ✘ $acc(sadd(h_1, h_2)) = acc(h_1) \cup acc(h_2)$
- [sadd:unit:r] ✘ $sadd(h_1, h_2) = h_1 \equiv h_2 = hnull$
- [sadd:unit:l] ✘ $sadd(h_1, h_2) = h_2 \equiv h_1 = hnull$
- [sadd:assoc] ✘ $sadd(h_1, sadd(h_2, h_3)) = sadd(sadd(h_1, h_2), h_3)$
- [sadd:prefix] ✘ $h \preceq sadd(h, h')$
- [ssub:pre] ✘ $pre ssub(h_1, h_2) = h_2 \preceq h_1$
- [ssub:events] ✘ $h_2 \preceq h_1 \wedge h' = ssub(h_1, h_2) \Rightarrow$
 $acc(h_1) \setminus acc(h_2) \subseteq acc(h') \subseteq acc(h_1)$
- [SSub:self] ✘ $ssub(h, h) = hnull$
- [SSub:nil] ✘ $ssub(h, hnull) = h$
- [SSub:same] ✘ $hist \preceq hist'_a \wedge hist \preceq hist'_b \Rightarrow$
 $ssub(hist'_a, hist) = ssub(hist'_b, hist) \equiv hist'_a = hist'_b$
- [SSub:subsub] ✘ $hist_c \preceq hist_a \wedge hist_c \preceq hist_b \wedge hist_b \preceq hist_a$
 $\Rightarrow ssub(ssub(hist_a, hist_c), ssub(hist_b, hist_c)) = ssub(hist_a, hist_b)$
- [sadd:ssub] ✘ $hist \preceq hist' \Rightarrow sadd(hist, ssub(hist', hist)) = hist'$
- [ssub:sadd] ✘ $ssub(sadd(h_1, h_2), h_1) = h_2$
- [SHid:evts] ✘ $acc(shide(hid)(h)) = acc(h) \setminus hid$
- [SNC:sym] ✘ $ssync(cs)(h_1, h_2) = ssync(cs)(h_2, h_1)$
- [SNC:one] ✘ $\forall h' \in ssync(cs)(h_1, hnull) \bullet acc(h') \subseteq acc(h_1) \setminus cs$
- [SNC:only] ✘ $h' \in acc(ssync(cs)(h_1, h_2)) \Rightarrow acc(h') \subseteq acc(h_1) \cup acc(h_2)$
- [SNC:sync] ✘ $h' \in acc(ssync(cs)(h_1, h_2)) \Rightarrow cs \cap acc(h') \subseteq cs \cap (acc(h_1) \cap acc(h_2))$
- [SNC:assoc] ✘ $syncset(cs)(h_1)(ssync(cs)(h_2, h_3)) = syncset(cs)(h_3)(ssync(cs)(h_1, h_2))$

3.3 Derived Definitions

The following relations have general use throughout the theory:

3.3.1 Trace Equivalence of a Slot-Sequence

First, we define the notion of a trace equivalent to a slot-sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{ETs:sig}] \quad & EQVTRACE_S : E^* \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^* \\
[\text{ETs:def:nil}] \quad & EQVTRACE(tr, \langle \rangle) \hat{=} tr = \langle \rangle \\
[\text{ETs:def:cons}] \quad & EQVTRACE(tr, slot \circ slots) \\
& \hat{=} \exists tr_0 \bullet tr_0 \leq tr \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr - tr_0, slots)
\end{aligned}$$

This relationship links slotted-sequences back to the tr observation of *Circus*. We find that $EQVTRACE$ obeys the following laws:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{ETs:sng}] \quad & EQVTRACE(tr, \langle slot \rangle) \hat{=} EQVTRC(tr, slot) \\
[\text{ETs:cat}] \quad & EQVTRACE(tr_a, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
& \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(tr_a \hat{\wedge} tr_b, slots_a \hat{\wedge} slots_b) \\
[\text{ETs:elems}] \quad & EQVTRACE(tr, slots) \Rightarrow elems(tr) = \bigcup_{i \in 1 \dots \#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
[\text{ETs:null}] \quad & EQVTRACE(\langle \rangle, slots) \hat{=} \forall i \in 1 \dots \#slots \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, slots(i))
\end{aligned}$$

Proofs: [ETs:sng]:p67 , [ETs:cat]:p68 , [ETs:elems]:p72 , [ETs:null]:p75 .

Some slotted theories, define an auxiliary variable $trace'$ that captures the notion of which events have occurred since the current action began. This only makes sense if the $EQVTRACE$ relation is functional. If not, the best we can come up with is a predicate that defines possible values for $trace'$:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{PEV:sig}] \quad & POSSEVTS : E^* \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \times (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\
[\text{PEV:def}] \quad & POSSEVTS(trace', (slots, slots')) \\
& \hat{=} \exists tr, tr' \bullet EQVTRACE(tr, slots) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr', slots') \wedge trace' = tr' - tr
\end{aligned}$$

In fact, we make no further use of this in the sequel, instead relying on more specific relations to capture specific cases regarding the nature of $trace'$ (null, non-null, starting with a particular element, etc..).

3.3.2 Extracting Refusal Sequences

We can extract sequence of refusals from a slot-sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{RFS:sig}] \quad & srefs_S : (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \rightarrow (\mathbb{P} E)^+ \\
[\text{RFS:def}] \quad & srefs(slots) = map(sref)(slots)
\end{aligned}$$

We then specialise this to a relationship between a single refusal set and a slot-sequence, where the refusal corresponds to the last slot:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{ER:sig}] \quad & eqvrefs_S : (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\
[\text{ER:def}] \quad & eqvrefs(slots) \hat{=} sref(last(slots))
\end{aligned}$$

This is in fact the relationship used to link a slotted theory back to the ref observation of *Circus*.

3.3.3 Slot-Sequence Prefix Ordering (\preceq)

We define a prefix ordering (\preceq) on slot-sequences by noting that all but the last slot of $slots$ is a prefix of $slots'$, and that the last slot of $slots$ is a slot-prefix to the corresponding slot of $slots'$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{EX:sig}] \quad & \preceq_{\mathcal{S}}: (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\ [\text{EX:def}] \quad & slots \preceq slots' \hat{=} front(slots) < slots' \wedge last(slots) \preceq slots'(\#slots) \end{aligned}$$

Note that we insist on strict prefixing between $front(slots)$ and $slots$, because the definition above is undefined if $front(slots) = slots'$, as then $slots$ is in fact longer than $slots'$ by one element, so $slots'(\#slots)$ is undefined. This corrects a common error found in the literature of slotted-like theories (see ??).

We also give an alternative definition in terms of a formulation that makes the last slot explicit (an exercise in sequence properties):

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{EX:prior-hist-ref:def}] \quad & p \hat{\wedge} \langle (h, r) \rangle \preceq p' \hat{\wedge} \langle (h', r') \rangle \\ & = p \leq p' \wedge (h, r) \preceq (p' \hat{\wedge} \langle (h', r') \rangle)(\#p + 1) \end{aligned}$$

The sequence-ordering relation (\leq) on slot-sequences is a sub-relation of \preceq . We also note that $\preceq_{\mathcal{S}}$ is only a pre-order, inheriting this property from slot-prefixing. However, if \preceq in a particular theory is anti-symmetric, then so is \preceq .

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{EX:subseq}] \quad & slots_a \leq slots_b \Rightarrow slots_a \preceq slots_b \\ [\text{EX:refl}] \quad & slots \preceq slots \\ [\text{EX:trans}] \quad & slots_a \preceq slots_b \wedge slots_b \preceq slots_c \Rightarrow slots_a \preceq slots_c \\ [\text{EX:anti}] \quad & (\forall slot_a, slot_b \bullet slot_a \preceq slot_b \wedge slot_b \preceq slot_a \Rightarrow slot_a = slot_b) \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & (slots_a \preceq slots_b \wedge slots_b \preceq slots_a \Rightarrow slots_a = slots_b) \end{aligned}$$

Proofs: [EX:subseq]:p77, [EX:refl]:p78, [EX:trans]:p79, [EX:anti]:p82. We also expect the (almost) empty slot-sequence to be a prefix of any other sequence:

$$[\text{EX:null}] \quad \langle snull(r) \rangle \preceq slots$$

Proof: [EX:null]:p83.

At this point we note that when $slots \preceq slots'$ holds, we can write $slots$ and $slots'$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} slots & = pfx \hat{\wedge} \langle slot \rangle \\ slots' & = pfx \hat{\wedge} \langle slot' \rangle \hat{\wedge} sfx \\ [\text{EX:pfx}] \end{aligned}$$

here pfx denotes their common prefix, while $slot$ and $slot'$ are the first slot at which they differ, this always being the last slot of $slots$. Then sfx is the subsequent behaviour of $slots'$ after $slot'$. We note that both pfx and sfx can be empty lists, and that $pfx = front(slots)$.

In addition to the above, there are a few properties regarding slot-sequences with common sub-parts worth noting:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{EX:prefix}] \quad & ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2 \preceq ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_3 \equiv ss_2 \preceq ss_3 \\ [\text{EX:sngl}] \quad & \langle s_1 \rangle \preceq s_2 \circ ss \equiv s_1 \preceq s_2 \end{aligned}$$

Proofs: [EX:prefix]:p87 , [EX:sngl]:p88 . Finally, it is worth noting the following relationships between slot-prefixing and sequential composition¹:

$$[\text{EX};\text{EX}] \quad (\text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}'); (\text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}') = (\text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}')$$

Proof: [EX;EX]:p89.

3.3.4 Slot Equivalences

We define an equivalence on slots based on mutual prefixing:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SEQV:sig}] \quad & \approx_{\mathcal{S}}: \mathcal{S} E \leftrightarrow \mathcal{S} E \\ [\text{SEQV:def}] \quad & \text{slot}_1 \approx \text{slot}_2 \hat{=} \text{slot}_1 \preceq \text{slot}_2 \wedge \text{slot}_2 \preceq \text{slot}_1 \end{aligned}$$

A key property is that it amounts to equality of the history component:

$$[\text{SEQV:equal-h}] \quad (h_1, -) \approx (h_2, -) \equiv h_1 = h_2$$

Proof is an elementary use of definitions and the property [pfx:anti-sym]:p17.

We then extend this slot-equivalence to slot-sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SSEQV:sig}] \quad & \cong_{\mathcal{S}}: (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\ [\text{SSEQV:def}] \quad & \text{slots}_1 \cong \text{slots}_2 \hat{=} \text{slots}_1 \preceq \text{slots}_2 \wedge \text{slots}_2 \preceq \text{slots}_1 \end{aligned}$$

That \approx and \cong are equivalence relations is an immediate consequence of \preceq , and hence \preceq , being a pre-order (exercise):

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SEQV:refl}] \quad & \text{slot} \approx \text{slot} \\ [\text{SEQV:symm}] \quad & \text{slot}_1 \approx \text{slot}_2 \equiv \text{slot}_2 \approx \text{slot}_1 \\ [\text{SEQV:trans}] \quad & \text{slot}_1 \approx \text{slot}_2 \wedge \text{slot}_2 \approx \text{slot}_3 \Rightarrow \text{slot}_1 \approx \text{slot}_3 \\ [\text{SSEQV:refl}] \quad & \text{slots} \cong \text{slots} \\ [\text{SSEQV:symm}] \quad & \text{slots}_1 \cong \text{slots}_2 \equiv \text{slots}_2 \cong \text{slots}_1 \\ [\text{SSEQV:trans}] \quad & \text{slots}_1 \cong \text{slots}_2 \wedge \text{slots}_2 \cong \text{slots}_3 \Rightarrow \text{slots}_1 \cong \text{slots}_3 \end{aligned}$$

It is useful to have a direct expansion of \cong :

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SSEQV:expand}] \quad & \text{slots}_1 \cong \text{slots}_2 \\ & = \text{front}(\text{slots}_1) = \text{front}(\text{slots}_2) \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}_1) \approx \text{last}(\text{slots}_2) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: [SSEQV:expand]:p90

We get the following relationships between \preceq , \cong and sequential composition:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{EX};\text{SSEQV}] \quad & (\text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}'); (\text{slots} \cong \text{slots}') \equiv \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}' \\ [\text{SSEQV};\text{EX}] \quad & (\text{slots} \cong \text{slots}'); (\text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}') \equiv \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}' \\ [\text{SSEQV};\text{SSEQV}] \quad & (\text{slots} \cong \text{slots}'); (\text{slots} \cong \text{slots}') \equiv \text{slots} \cong \text{slots}' \end{aligned}$$

¹This is an instance of the general law $GROW$; $GROW \equiv GROW$ introduced in the algebraic approach to reactive theories (work in progress)

Proofs—similar to that for [EX;EX]:p89.

We also expect the following interactions between \approx , $sadd$ and $ssub$::

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{sadd:eqv:unit}] : p13 \quad sadd(s_1, s_2) \approx s_1 &\equiv? \exists r \bullet s_2 = snull(r) \\ [\text{SSub:eqv}] : p14 \quad (\exists r \bullet ssub(s_1, s_2) = snull(r)) &\equiv? s_1 \approx s_2 \end{aligned}$$

These are listed as required properties of $sadd$ and $ssub$.

3.3.5 Slot-Sequence Addition

We need to introduce the slot-analogue of sequence addition ($\#$) here, which merges the last slot of its first argument and the first slot of its second argument using $\#$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{CAT:sig}] \quad \#_{\mathcal{S}} : ((\mathcal{S} E)^+ \times (\mathcal{S} E)^+) &\rightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\ [\text{CAT:def}] \quad slots_1 \# slots_2 &\hat{=} front(slots_1) \wedge \langle last(slots_1) \# head(slots_2) \rangle \wedge tail(slots_2) \end{aligned}$$

We find that $\#$ satisfies the following laws:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{CAT:assoc}] \quad sl_1 \# (sl_2 \# sl_3) &= (sl_1 \# sl_2) \# sl_3 \\ [\text{CAT:PFX}] \quad ss \preceq ss \# tt & \\ [\text{CAT:ER:last}] \quad eqvref(sl_1 \# sl_2) &= eqvref(sl_2) \\ [\text{CAT:eqv}] \quad sl_1 \cong sl_1 \# sl_2 &\equiv \exists r_2 \bullet sl_2 = \langle snull(r_2) \rangle \\ [\text{CAT:equal}] \quad sl_1 = sl_1 \# sl_2 &\equiv sl_2 = \langle snull(eqvref(sl_1)) \rangle \\ [\text{CAT:len}] \quad \#(sl_1 \# sl_2) &= \#(sl_1) + \#(sl_2) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: [CAT:assoc]:p92, [CAT:PFX]:p95, [CAT:ER:last]:p96, [CAT:eqv]:p97, [CAT:equal]:p98, [CAT:len]:p99.

3.3.6 Slot-Sequence Subtraction

We need to introduce the slot-analogue of sequence subtraction here:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{DF:sig}] \quad dif_{\mathcal{S}} : ((\mathcal{S} E)^+ \times (\mathcal{S} E)^+) &\leftrightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\ [\text{DF:pre}] \quad \text{pre } dif(slots', slots) &= slots \preceq slots' \\ [\text{DF:def}] \quad dif(slots', slots) &\hat{=} ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx \\ \textbf{where} \quad slot &= last(slots) \\ &(slot' \circ sfx) = slots' - front(slots) \\ [\text{DF:pfx}] \quad dif(pfx \wedge \langle slot' \rangle \wedge sfx, &pfx \wedge \langle slot \rangle) = ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx \end{aligned}$$

We find that dif satisfies the following laws:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{[DF:equal]} & slots = dif(slots, sln) \equiv \exists rn \bullet sln = \langle snull(rn) \rangle \\
\text{[DF:self]} & dif(slots, slots) = \langle snull(eqvref(slots)) \rangle \\
\text{[DF:nil]} & dif(slots, \langle snull(r) \rangle) = slots \\
\text{[DF:Null:equal]} & slots' \searrow slots = \langle snull(r') \rangle \equiv slots' \cong slots \wedge eqvref(slots') = r' \\
\text{[DF:Null:eqv]} & (\exists r' \bullet slots' \searrow slots \cong \langle snull(r') \rangle) \equiv slots' \cong slots \\
\text{[DF:same]} & slots_b \preceq slots_a \wedge slots_b \preceq slots_c \Rightarrow \\
& \quad dif(slots_a, slots_b) = dif(slots_c, slots_b) \equiv slots_a = slots_c \\
\text{[DF:all-null]} & EQVTRACE(\langle \rangle, dif(slots_a, slots_b)) \equiv \\
& \quad \forall i \in 1 \dots \#dif(slots_a, slots_b) \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, (dif(slots_a, slots_b))(i)) \\
\text{[DF:ref]} & srefs(dif(slots_a, slots_b)) = srefs(slots_a - front(slots_b)) \\
\text{[DF:hd-Evt]} & EQVTRACE(\langle \rangle, dif(slots', slots)) \Rightarrow EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, head(dif(slots', slots))) \\
\text{[DF:subsub]} & slots_c \preceq slots_a \wedge slots_c \preceq slots_b \wedge slots_b \preceq slots_a \\
& \Rightarrow dif(dif(slots_a, slots_c), dif(slots_b, slots_c)) = dif(slots_a, slots_b) \\
\text{[EX:dif]} & slots \preceq slots' \equiv \langle snull(r) \rangle \preceq dif(slots', slots) \\
\text{[DF:ER:first]} & eqvref(sl_1 \searrow sl_2) = eqvref(sl_1) \\
\text{[DF:len]} & \#(sl_1 \searrow sl_2) = (\#(sl_1) - \#(sl_2)) + 1
\end{array}$$

Proofs: [DF:equal]:p100, [DF:self]:p101, [DF:nil]:p102, [DF:Null:equal]:p103, [DF:Null:eqv]:p104, [DF:same]:p105, [DF:all-null](see [ETs:null]:p18), [DF:ref]:p108, [DF:hd-Evt](see [ETs:null]:p18, instantiated with $i = 1$), [DF:subsub]:p109, [EX:dif]:p83, [DF:ER:first]:p84, [DF:len]:p86.

We introduce a binary notation:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{[DF:binop]} & slots_1 \searrow slots_2 \hat{=} dif(slots_1, slots_2) \\
& = \mathbf{let} \ rest = slots_1 - front(slots_2) \\
& \quad \mathbf{in} \ (head(rest) \searrow last(slots_2));tail(rest)
\end{array}$$

3.3.7 Relating Slot-Sequence Addition and Subtraction

We expect slot-sequence subtraction and addition to obey the following laws:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{[CAT:DF:id]} & (ss \# tt) \searrow ss = tt \\
\text{[CAT:DF:px]} & (ss \# tt) \searrow (ss \# uu) = tt \searrow uu, \quad \mathbf{if} \ uu \preceq tt
\end{array}$$

Proof: [CAT:DF:id]:p111, [CAT:DF:px]:p112.

3.4 Healthiness Conditions

We are going to give analogues in slotted-*Circus* to the reactive and CSP healthiness conditions of UTP, namely **R** (**R1**,**R2**,**R3**) and **CSP1**–**5**.

3.4.1 Reactive Healthiness 1 (**R1**)

In *Circus* this asserts that $tr \leq tr'$. In a slotted theory, we use \preceq .

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{GROW:def}] \quad & GROW \hat{=} slots \preceq slots' \\ [\text{R1:def}] \quad & \mathbf{R1}(P) \hat{=} P \wedge GROW \\ [\text{R1:idem}] \quad & \mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{R1} = \mathbf{R1} \end{aligned}$$

Proofs: [R1:idem]:p113.

As **R1** has the form and_P , we immediately get the following distributive laws (exercises in elementary predicate calculus):

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{R1:distr:and}] \quad & \mathbf{R1}(P \wedge Q) = \mathbf{R1}(P) \wedge \mathbf{R1}(Q) = \mathbf{R1}(P) \wedge Q = P \wedge \mathbf{R1}(Q) \\ [\text{R1:distr:or}] \quad & \mathbf{R1}(P \vee Q) = \mathbf{R1}(P) \vee \mathbf{R1}(Q) \\ [\text{R1:distr:all}] \quad & \mathbf{R1}(\forall x \bullet P) = \forall x \bullet \mathbf{R1}(P), x \notin \{slots, slots'\} \\ [\text{R1:distr:any}] \quad & \mathbf{R1}(\exists x \bullet P) = \exists x \bullet \mathbf{R1}(P), x \notin \{slots, slots'\} \\ [\text{R1:distr:cond}] \quad & \mathbf{R1}(P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) = \mathbf{R1}(P) \triangleleft c \triangleright \mathbf{R1}(Q) \end{aligned}$$

Distributivity of healthiness through sequential composition generally doesn't hold, but it should preserve healthiness:

$$[\text{comp:R1:closed}] \quad (P \equiv \mathbf{R1}(P)) \wedge (Q \equiv \mathbf{R1}(Q)) \Rightarrow ((P; Q) \equiv \mathbf{R1}(P; Q))$$

Proofs: [comp:R1:closed]:p147. A consequence of this is:

$$[\text{GROW-GROW:eq:GROW}] \quad GROW; GROW \equiv GROW$$

Sometimes it is worth exploring the consequences of being healthy/un-healthy in a little more detail:

$$\begin{aligned} & (P \equiv \mathbf{R1}(P)) \equiv (P \equiv P \wedge GROW) \\ & \equiv P \Rightarrow GROW \\ [\text{R1:alt}] \quad & \equiv \neg P \vee GROW \\ & (P \not\equiv \mathbf{R1}(P)) \equiv P \not\Rightarrow GROW \\ [\text{not-R1:alt}] \quad & \equiv P \wedge \neg GROW \end{aligned}$$

3.4.2 Reactive Healthiness 2 (**R2**)

The appropriate definition of **R2** is the following, which is just strong enough to ensure that slot-sequence equality is itself **R2**-healthy:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{R2:def}] \quad & \mathbf{R2}(P) \hat{=} \exists ss \bullet \\ & P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge eqvref(ss) = eqvref(slots) \end{aligned}$$

See §?? for details of how this formulation was developed.

For convenience we define the following shorthand:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[EQRF:def]} \quad ER(ss_1, ss_2) &\hat{=} eqvref(ss_1) = eqvref(ss_2) \\ \text{[R2:subs]} \quad [r2] &= [ss \hat{\cap} \langle s \rangle, ss \hat{\cap} Shift(s, slots, slots') / slots, slots'] \end{aligned}$$

Using this and [R2:subs]:p24 gives the alternate compact definition of **R2**:

$$\text{[R2:alt]} \quad \mathbf{R2}(P) \hat{=} \exists ss \bullet R2_{ss}(P) \wedge ER(ss, slots)$$

We note that $R2$ distributes over/through most predicate constructs, except through quantification over $slots$ and $slots'$. The substitution and **R2** are both idempotent:

$$\text{[R2:idem]} \quad \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R2} = \mathbf{R2}$$

Proof: [R2:idem]:p117.

As **R2** has the form $\exists x \bullet P[f(x), g(x)/slots, slots'] \wedge h(x)$, we immediately get the following distributive laws

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[R2:distr:and]} \quad \mathbf{R2}(P \wedge Q) &\equiv \mathbf{R2}(P) \wedge Q, \quad slots, slots' \text{ not free in } Q \\ \text{[R2:distr:or]} \quad \mathbf{R2}(P \vee Q) &\equiv \mathbf{R2}(P) \vee \mathbf{R2}(Q) \\ \text{[R2:distr:cond]} \quad \mathbf{R2}(P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) &\equiv \mathbf{R2}(P) \triangleleft c \triangleright \mathbf{R2}(Q), \quad slots, slots' \text{ not free in } c \end{aligned}$$

Proofs: [R2:distr:and]:p121, [R2:distr:or]:p121, [R2:distr:cond]:p122.

Note also that any predicate not mentioning $slots$ and $slots'$ is **R2**-healthy, so:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[R2:no-slots]} \quad \mathbf{R2}(Q) &= Q, \quad slots, slots' \text{ not free in } Q \\ \text{[R2:distr:and']} \quad \mathbf{R2}(P \wedge Q) &= \mathbf{R2}(P) \wedge \mathbf{R2}(Q), \\ &\quad (slots, slots' \text{ not free in } P) \vee (slots, slots' \text{ not free in } Q) \end{aligned}$$

Distributivity of healthiness through sequential composition generally doesn't hold, but it should preserve healthiness:

$$\text{[comp:R2:closed]} \quad (P \equiv \mathbf{R2}(P)) \wedge (Q \equiv \mathbf{R2}(Q)) \Rightarrow ((P; Q) \equiv \mathbf{R2}(P; Q))$$

Proof: [comp:R2:closed]:p150.

3.4.3 Reactive Healthiness 3 (**R3**)

The definition of **R3** in the UTP book has to be adapted to avoid generating miracles as an interaction between state and external choice. The nature of this problem and various possible solutions are discussed in [BGW09]. In essence, we have to encapsulate the principle that while a healthy process is waiting for events, its variable state is unobservable. We need to define \mathbb{I}_R in order to specify **R3**.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[DIV:def]} \quad DIV &\hat{=} \neg ok \wedge GROW \\ \text{[RSTET:def]} \quad RSTET &\hat{=} wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots \\ \text{[IIR:def]} \quad \mathbb{I}_R &\hat{=} DIV \vee ok' \wedge RSTET \\ \text{[R3:def]} \quad \mathbf{R3}(P) &\hat{=} \mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft wait \triangleright P \\ \text{[R3:idem]} \quad \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R3} &= \mathbf{R3} \end{aligned}$$

Proofs: [R3:idem]:p123. \mathbb{I}_R is a self-identity for composition:

$$[\text{SkipR-SkipR:eq:SkipR}] \quad \mathbb{I}_R; \mathbb{I}_R \equiv \mathbb{I}_R$$

Proofs: straightforward.

As $\mathbf{R3}$ has the form $\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright _$, we immediately get the following distributive laws (exercises in elementary predicate calculus):

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{R3:distr:and}] \quad & \mathbf{R3}(P \wedge Q) = \mathbf{R3}(P) \wedge \mathbf{R3}(Q) \\ [\text{R3:distr:or}] \quad & \mathbf{R3}(P \vee Q) = \mathbf{R3}(P) \vee \mathbf{R3}(Q) \\ [\text{R3:distr:cond}] \quad & \mathbf{R3}(P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) = \mathbf{R3}(P) \triangleleft c \triangleright \mathbf{R3}(Q) \\ [\text{R3:wait:Skip}] \quad & (P \equiv \mathbf{R3}(P)) \Rightarrow \text{wait} \wedge P \equiv \text{wait} \wedge \mathbb{I}_R \end{aligned}$$

Distributivity of healthiness through sequential composition generally doesn't hold, but it should preserve healthiness:

$$[\text{comp:R3:closed}] \quad (P \equiv \mathbf{R3}(P)) \wedge (Q \equiv \mathbf{R3}(Q)) \Rightarrow ((P; Q) \equiv \mathbf{R3}(P; Q))$$

Proof: [comp:R3:closed]:p156.

We also have some laws regarding DIV and $RSTET$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{one-point:RSTET}] \quad & (\exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet P \wedge (RSTET[\text{obs}_0/\text{obs}])) \equiv (\exists \text{ok}_0, \text{state}_0 \bullet P[\text{wait}', \text{slots}'/\text{wait}_0, \text{slots}_0]) \\ [\text{one-point:RSTET}'] \quad & (\exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet P \wedge (RSTET[\text{obs}_0/\text{obs}'])) \equiv (\exists \text{ok}_0, \text{state}_0 \bullet P[\text{wait}, \text{slots}/\text{wait}_0, \text{slots}_0]) \\ [\text{comp:RSTET}] \quad & (P; Q \wedge RSTET) \\ & \equiv \exists \text{ok}_0, \text{state}_0 \bullet P[\text{ok}_0, \text{state}_0/\text{ok}', \text{state}'] \wedge Q[\text{ok}_0, \text{state}_0, \text{rest}'/\text{ok}, \text{state}, \text{rest}] \\ [\text{comp:RSTET}'] \quad & (P \wedge RSTET; Q) \\ & \equiv \exists \text{ok}_0, \text{state}_0 \bullet P[\text{ok}_0, \text{state}_0, \text{rest}/\text{ok}', \text{state}', \text{rest}'] \wedge Q[\text{ok}_0, \text{state}_0/\text{ok}, \text{state}] \\ [\text{DIV:DIV:eq:DIV}] \quad & DIV; DIV \equiv DIV \\ [\text{llr:DIV:eq:DIV}] \quad & \mathbb{I}_R; DIV \equiv DIV \\ [\text{DIV:llr:eq:DIV}] \quad & DIV; \mathbb{I}_R \equiv DIV \end{aligned}$$

Proofs: the first two are an easy exercise in the one-point rule, the second two are straightforward corollaries of the first two whilst for the rest see: [DIV:DIV:eq:DIV]:p158, [llr:DIV:eq:DIV]:p160, [DIV:llr:eq:DIV]:p160.

3.4.4 Reactive Healthiness (\mathbf{R})

$$[\text{R:def}] \quad \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R1}$$

In order to prove that \mathbf{R} is idempotent, we shall show that each of the \mathbf{Ri} commutes with each of the others. It is also useful to prove that some of these conditions, when applied to \mathbf{true} also

satisfy other healthiness conditions.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\mathbf{R1:is:R2}] & \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{true})) \equiv \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{true}) \\
[\llbracket\mathbf{r:is:R1}\rrbracket] & \mathbf{R1}(\mathbb{I}_R) \equiv \mathbb{I}_R \\
[\mathbf{R3:is:R1}] & \mathbf{R1}(P) \equiv P \Rightarrow \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R3}(P)) \equiv \mathbf{R3}(P) \\
[\llbracket\mathbf{r:is:R2}\rrbracket] & \mathbf{R2}(\mathbb{I}_R) \equiv \mathbb{I}_R \\
[\llbracket\mathbf{r:is:R3}\rrbracket] & \mathbf{R3}(\mathbb{I}_R) \equiv \mathbb{I}_R \\
[\mathbf{R1:R2:comm}] & \mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{R2} = \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R1} \\
[\mathbf{R1:R3:comm}] & \mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{R3} = \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R1} \\
[\mathbf{R2:R3:comm}] & \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R3} = \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R2} \\
[\mathbf{R:idem}] & \mathbf{R} \circ \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}
\end{array}$$

Proofs: $[\mathbf{R1:is:R2}]$:p124, $[\llbracket\mathbf{r:is:R1}\rrbracket]$:p133, $[\mathbf{R3:is:R1}]$:p134, $[\llbracket\mathbf{r:is:R2}\rrbracket]$:p??, $[\llbracket\mathbf{r:is:R3}\rrbracket]$:p136, $[\mathbf{R1:R2:comm}]$:p137, $[\mathbf{R1:R3:comm}]$:p138, $[\mathbf{R2:R3:comm}]$:p139, $[\mathbf{R:idem}]$:p139.

We immediately obtain the following distributive laws for \mathbf{R} , as a consequence of those above, and the definition of function composition:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\mathbf{R:distr:and}] & \mathbf{R}(P \wedge Q) = \mathbf{R}(P) \wedge \mathbf{R}(Q), \\
& \quad (slots, slots' \text{ not free in } P) \vee (slots, slots' \text{ not free in } Q) \\
[\mathbf{R:distr:or}] & \mathbf{R}(P \vee Q) = \mathbf{R}(P) \vee \mathbf{R}(Q) \\
[\mathbf{R:distr:cond}] & \mathbf{R}(P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) = \mathbf{R}(P) \triangleleft c \triangleright \mathbf{R}(Q), \quad slots, slots' \text{ not free in } c
\end{array}$$

It can be useful to expand out \mathbf{R} -healthiness in a variety of ways:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
& \mathbf{R}(P) \\
[\mathbf{R:expand:1}] & \equiv \mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft wait \triangleright (\mathbf{R2}(P) \wedge slots \preceq slots') \\
[\mathbf{R:expand:2}] & \equiv (\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft wait \triangleright \mathbf{R2}(P)) \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
[\mathbf{R:expand:3}] & \equiv \mathbf{R2}((\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft wait \triangleright (P)) \wedge slots \preceq slots') \\
[\mathbf{R:expand:3}] & \equiv \mathbf{R2}(\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft wait \triangleright (P \wedge slots \preceq slots'))
\end{array}$$

A surprising result is the following (Lemma 3.3, [She06, p47]):

$$[\mathbf{expand-R:eq:expand}] \quad P \equiv \mathbf{R}(P) \Rightarrow GROW; P = GROW$$

Proof: $[\mathbf{expand-R:eq:expand}]$:p141

Less surprising is

$$[\mathbf{DIV-R:eq:DIV}] \quad P \equiv \mathbf{R}(P) \Rightarrow DIV; P = DIV$$

Proof: $[\mathbf{DIV-R:eq:DIV}]$:p142

In both of the above, $\mathbf{R1}$ and $\mathbf{R3}$ are necessary, but $\mathbf{R2}$ is not.

We also have:

$$[\mathbf{R-DIV:eq:DIV}] \quad P \equiv \mathbf{R}(P) \Rightarrow P; DIV = DIV$$

Proof: $[\mathbf{R-DIV:eq:DIV}]$:p??

3.4.5 CSP Healthiness 1 (CSP1)

A process is **CSP1**-healthy if it behaves like *DIV* when started in an unstable state:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{CSP1:def}] & \mathbf{CSP1}(P) \hat{=} P \vee \mathit{DIV} \\
[\text{CSP1:alt}] & \mathbf{CSP1}(P) \equiv P \vee \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{false}) \\
[\text{DIV:is:CSP1}] & \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathit{DIV}) \equiv \mathit{DIV}
\end{array}$$

Laws [CSP1:alt] and [DIV:is:CSP1] are easy exercises in prop. calculus.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{DIV:is:R1}] & \mathbf{R1}(\mathit{DIV}) = \mathit{DIV} \\
[\text{DIV:is:R2}] & \mathbf{R2}(\mathit{DIV}) = \mathit{DIV} \\
[\text{IIr:is:CSP1}] & \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathit{II}_R) = \mathit{II}_R \\
[\text{R1:CSP1:comm}] & \mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{CSP1} = \mathbf{CSP1} \circ \mathbf{R1} \\
[\text{R2:CSP1:comm}] & \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{CSP1} = \mathbf{CSP1} \circ \mathbf{R2} \\
[\text{R3:CSP1:comm}] & \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{CSP1} = \mathbf{CSP1} \circ \mathbf{R3}
\end{array}$$

Proofs: [DIV:is:R1], [DIV:is:R2] are trivial; for the rest, see: [IIr:is:CSP1]:p143, [R1:CSP1:comm]:p144, [R2:CSP1:comm]:p144, [R3:CSP1:comm]:p146.

As **CSP1** has the form or_P , we get:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{CSP1:distr:and}] & \mathbf{CSP1}(P \wedge Q) = \mathbf{CSP1}(P) \wedge \mathbf{CSP1}(Q) \\
[\text{CSP1:distr:or}] & \mathbf{CSP1}(P \vee Q) = \mathbf{CSP1}(P) \vee \mathbf{CSP1}(Q) \\
[\text{CSP1:distr:cond}] & \mathbf{CSP1}(P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) = \mathbf{CSP1}(P) \triangleleft c \triangleright \mathbf{CSP1}(Q) \\
[\text{CSP1:distr:all}] & \mathbf{CSP1}(\forall x \bullet P) = \forall x \bullet \mathbf{CSP1}(P), x \notin \{ok, slots, slots'\} \\
[\text{CSP1:distr:any}] & \mathbf{CSP1}(\exists x \bullet P) = \exists x \bullet \mathbf{CSP1}(P), x \notin \{ok, slots, slots'\}
\end{array}$$

We would also expect **CSP1** to be closed under sequential composition:

$$[\text{comp:CSP1:closed}] \quad (P \equiv \mathbf{CSP1}(P)) \wedge (Q \equiv \mathbf{CSP1}(Q)) \Rightarrow ((P; Q) \equiv \mathbf{CSP1}(P; Q))$$

Proof: [comp:CSP1:closed]:p153.

3.4.6 CSP Healthiness 2 (CSP2)

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{CSP2:def}] & \mathbf{CSP2}(P) \hat{=} P; (ok \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge state' = state \wedge slots' = slots) \\
[\text{CSP:alt}] & \exists ok_0 \bullet P[ok_0/ok'] \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok'
\end{array}$$

Note that here we use equality on slots rather than equivalence in **CSP2**, (*Revisit proofs below*) which allows the alternative form to be used, as the one-point rule can immediately be used to eliminate variables $wait_0$, $state_0$ and $slots_0$.

Condition **CSP2** uses sequential composition, which embodies existential quantification over ok_0 so its distributivity properties are non-trivial exercises in predicate calculus:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{CSP2:distr:and}] & \mathbf{CSP2}(P \wedge Q) = \mathbf{CSP2}(P) \wedge Q, \quad ok' \text{ not free in } Q \\
[\text{CSP2:distr:or}] & \mathbf{CSP2}(P \vee Q) = \mathbf{CSP2}(P) \vee \mathbf{CSP2}(Q) \\
[\text{CSP2:distr:any}] & \mathbf{CSP2}(\exists x \bullet P) = \exists x \bullet \mathbf{CSP2}(P), \quad x \neq ok' \\
[\text{CSP2:distr:cond}] & \mathbf{CSP2}(P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) = \mathbf{CSP2}(P) \triangleleft c \triangleright \mathbf{CSP2}(Q), \quad ok' \text{ not free in } c
\end{array}$$

We explore its relationship with the other healthiness conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{IIr:is:CSP2}] & \mathbf{CSP2}(\mathbb{I}_R) = \mathbb{I}_R \\
[\text{DIV:is:CSP2}] & \mathbf{CSP2}(DIV) = DIV \\
[\text{R1:CSP2:comm}] & \mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{CSP2} = \mathbf{CSP2} \circ \mathbf{R1} \\
[\text{R2:CSP2:comm}] & \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{CSP2} = \mathbf{CSP2} \circ \mathbf{R2} \\
[\text{R3:CSP2:comm}] & \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{CSP2} = \mathbf{CSP2} \circ \mathbf{R3} \\
[\text{CSP1:CSP2:comm}] & \mathbf{CSP1} \circ \mathbf{CSP2} = \mathbf{CSP2} \circ \mathbf{CSP1}
\end{array}$$

Proofs: [IIr:is:CSP2]:p161, [DIV:is:CSP2]:p162, [R1:CSP2:comm]:p163, [R2:CSP2:comm]:p164, [R3:CSP2:comm]:p165, [CSP1:CSP2:comm]:p166.

3.4.7 CSP Healthiness 3 (CSP3)

$$[\text{CSP3:def}] \quad \mathbf{CSP3}(P) \hat{=} Skip; P$$

The definition of *Skip* is given later.

Condition **CSP3** uses sequential composition, which embodies existential quantification over obs_0 so its distributivity properties are non-trivial exercises in predicate calculus:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{CSP3:distr:and}] & \mathbf{CSP3}(P \wedge Q) = \mathbf{CSP3}(P) \wedge Q, \quad obs \text{ not free in } Q \\
[\text{CSP3:distr:or}] & \mathbf{CSP3}(P \vee Q) = \mathbf{CSP3}(P) \vee \mathbf{CSP3}(Q) \\
[\text{CSP3:distr:any}] & \mathbf{CSP3}(\exists x \bullet P) = \exists x \bullet \mathbf{CSP3}(P), \quad x \notin obs \\
[\text{CSP3:distr:cond}] & \mathbf{CSP3}(P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) = \mathbf{CSP3}(P) \triangleleft c \triangleright \mathbf{CSP3}(Q), \quad obs \text{ not free in } c
\end{array}$$

The idempotence of this, as well as **CSP4** below depends on the following law:

$$[\text{Skip;Skip}] \quad Skip; Skip \equiv Skip$$

3.4.8 CSP Healthiness 4 (CSP4)

$$[\text{CSP4:def}] \quad \mathbf{CSP4}(P) \hat{=} P; Skip$$

Condition **CSP4** uses sequential composition, which embodies existential quantification over obs_0 so its distributivity properties are non-trivial exercises in predicate calculus:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{CSP4:distr:and}] & \mathbf{CSP4}(P \wedge Q) = \mathbf{CSP4}(P) \wedge Q, \quad obs' \text{ not free in } Q \\
[\text{CSP4:distr:or}] & \mathbf{CSP4}(P \vee Q) = \mathbf{CSP4}(P) \vee \mathbf{CSP4}(Q) \\
[\text{CSP4:distr:any}] & \mathbf{CSP4}(\exists x \bullet P) = \exists x \bullet \mathbf{CSP4}(P), \quad x \notin obs' \\
[\text{CSP4:distr:cond}] & \mathbf{CSP4}(P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) = \mathbf{CSP4}(P) \triangleleft c \triangleright \mathbf{CSP4}(Q), \quad obs' \text{ not free in } c
\end{array}$$

In order for external choice to give a healthy result for healthy components, we have to require that “healthy” encompasses at least **R3** (as modified by us) and **CSP4**. Without these, then we can get miracles (*False*) from external choice.

3.4.9 CSP Healthiness 5 (CSP5)

$$[\text{CSP5:def}] \quad \mathbf{CSP5}(P) \hat{=} P \llbracket \Delta P \mid \{ \phi \} \mid \phi \rrbracket \text{Skip}$$

The definition of $\llbracket \dots \mid \dots \mid \dots \rrbracket$ is given later.

3.4.10 Healthy Processes

In general a process is “healthy” if it satisfies **R**, **CSP1**, **CSP2** and **CSP4**. For now we reserve judgment regarding **CSP3** and **CSP5**, viewing them as “ultra-healthy”.

3.5 Slotted-Circus Specific Actions

Now, we define some relations that are useful in defining the slotted-*Circus* actions. Most of these are a strengthening of *POSSEVTS* [PEV:def]:p18.

Sometimes we will want to allow time to pass ($\#slots' > \#slots$) but disallow the occurrence of any events ($trace' = \langle \rangle$). This leads us to define a stronger version of *POSSEVTS*, called *NOEVTS*:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{NEV:sig}] \quad & \text{NOEVTS}_S : (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\ [\text{NEV:def}] \quad & \text{NOEVTS}(slots, slots') \hat{=} \text{EQVTRACE}(nil, slots' \setminus slots) \end{aligned}$$

Another useful strengthening is a predicate that asserts that a given set of events have occurred, but that no time has passed:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{EVN:sig}] \quad & \text{EVTSNOW}_S : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\ [\text{EVN:def}] \quad & \text{EVTSNOW}(E)(slots, slots') \\ & \hat{=} \exists tt \bullet \text{elems}(tt) = E \wedge \text{EQVTRACE}(tt, slots' \setminus slots) \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \end{aligned}$$

We can then use these as building blocks for other predicates.

In some situations, we want to describe events that occur immediately (in the first slot), as described by the relation *IMMEVTS*:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{IME:sig}] \quad & \text{IMMEVTS}_S : (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\ [\text{IME:def}] \quad & \text{IMMEVTS}(slots, slots') \hat{=} \exists E \bullet E \neq \emptyset \wedge \text{EVTSNOW}(E)(slots, slots'); \text{GROW} \\ [\text{FSE:sig}] \quad & \text{FSTEVT}_S : E \rightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\ [\text{FSE:def}] \quad & \text{FSTEVT}(c.e)(slots, slots') \hat{=} \text{EVTSNOW}\{c.e\}(slots, slots'); \text{GROW} \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, we also want to describe situation when events occur, but only in the last slot:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{EEV:sig}] \quad & \text{ENDEVTS}_S : (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\ [\text{EEV:def}] \quad & \text{ENDEVTS}(slots, slots') \\ & \hat{=} \exists E \bullet E \neq \emptyset \wedge (\text{EVTSNOW}(E)(slots, slots') \vee (\text{NOEVTS}(slots, slots') \\ & \quad ; \text{EVTSNOW}(E)(slots, slots'))) \\ [\text{LSE:sig}] \quad & \text{LASTEVT}_S : E \rightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\ [\text{LSE:def}] \quad & \text{LASTEVT}(c.e)(slots, slots') \\ & \hat{=} \text{EVTSNOW}\{c.e\}(slots, slots') \vee (\text{NOEVTS}(slots, slots'); \text{EVTSNOW}\{c.e\}(slots, slots')) \end{aligned}$$

The above definitions cover only the *slots* variables, and so it only makes sense to check if they are **R1** and **R2**:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{NEV:is:R1:R2}] \quad & \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\text{NOEVTS}(slots, slots'))) \equiv \text{NOEVTS}(slots, slots') \\ [\text{EVN:is:R1:R2}] \quad & \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\text{EVTSNOW}(E)(slots, slots'))) \equiv \text{EVTSNOW}(E)(slots, slots') \\ [\text{FSE:is:R1:R2}] \quad & \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\text{FSTEVT}(c.e)(slots, slots'))) \equiv ??? \text{FSTEVT}(c.e)(slots, slots') \\ [\text{IME:is:R1:R2}] \quad & \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\text{IMMEVTS}(slots, slots'))) \equiv ??? \text{IMMEVTS}(slots, slots') \end{aligned}$$

Proofs (to be redone with new definitions): [NEV:is:R1:R2]:p168, [EVN:is:R1:R2]:p169, [FSE:is:R1:R2]:p???, [IME:is:R1:R2]:p170.

We have just introduced three relations on slot-sequences, and it is a good idea to determine what, if any, of the “classical” relation properties they possess:

[NEV:refl]	$NOEVTS(ss, ss) \equiv \text{TRUE}$
[NEV:trans]	$NOEVTS(ss, tt) \wedge NOEVTS(tt, uu) \Rightarrow NOEVTS(ss, uu)$ $NOEVTS(slots, slots'); NOEVTS(slots, slots') \equiv NOEVTS(slots, slots')$
[NEV:anti]	$NOEVTS(ss, tt) \wedge NOEVTS(tt, ss) \Rightarrow ss \cong tt$
[EVN:irr]	$EVTSNOW(E)(ss, ss) \equiv \text{FALSE}$
[FSE:irr]	$FSTEVT(c.e)(ss, ss) \equiv \text{FALSE}$
[IME:irr]	$IMMEVTS(ss, ss) \equiv \text{FALSE}$

Proofs yet to be done.

3.5.1 Laws

[specificALaw-1]	$EVTSNOW(\emptyset)(ss, tt) \equiv ss \cong tt$
[specificALaw-2]	$EVTSNOW(\emptyset)(ss, tt) \equiv NOEVTS(ss, tt) \wedge \#ss = \#tt$
[specificALaw-3]	$(c.e \rightarrow P) \wedge wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots')$
[specificALaw-4]	$\exists s, s' \bullet EVTSNOW\{c\}(s, s') \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \text{map}(SHide(\{c\}))(s' \searrow s) \equiv sl' \cong sl$

3.6 Actions

First, we define those relations whose definitions are the same as those found in UTP or *Circus*:

3.6.1 Nondeterministic Choice

$$[\text{NDet:def}] \quad P \sqcap Q \hat{=} P \vee Q$$

3.6.2 Conditional Choice

$$[\text{Cond:def}] \quad P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q \hat{=} c \wedge P \vee \neg c \wedge Q$$

$$[\text{Cond:alt}] \quad P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q \equiv (c \Rightarrow P) \wedge (\neg c \Rightarrow Q)$$

3.6.3 Sequential Composition

$$[\text{Seq:subs}] \quad [seq] \hat{=} [obs_0/obs] = [ok_0, wait_0, state_0, slots_0/ok, wait, state, slots]$$

$$[\text{Seq:subs}'] \quad [seq'] \hat{=} [obs_0/obs'] = [ok_0, wait_0, state_0, slots_0/ok', wait', state', slots']$$

$$[\text{Seq:def}] \quad P; Q \hat{=} \exists obs_0 \bullet P[seq'] \wedge Q[seq]$$

3.6.4 Chaos

$$[\text{Chaos:def}] \quad Chaos \hat{=} \mathbf{R}(\text{true})$$

3.6.5 Deadlock

We can now define *Stop* as:

$$[\text{Stop:def}] \quad Stop \hat{=} \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(ok' \wedge wait' \wedge \mathbf{NOEVTS}(slots, slots')))$$

3.6.6 Guard

We can now define $\&$ as:

$$[\text{Grd:def}] \quad b \& A \hat{=} A \triangleleft b \triangleright Stop$$

3.6.7 Termination

Process *Skip* is reactive, and ignores any refusals at the start:

$$[\text{Skip:def}] \quad Skip \hat{=} \mathbf{R}(\exists slots_r \bullet slots_r \cong slots \wedge \mathbf{II}_R[slots_r/slots] \wedge state = state')$$

This definition corrects an erroneous one that appears in the literature (§??).

3.6.8 Delay

Waiting means time passes with no events occurring:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{Wait:def}] \quad & \text{Wait } t \hat{=} \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R}(ok' \wedge \text{DELAY}(t) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}')))) \\
[\text{Del:def}] \quad & \text{DELAY}(t) \hat{=} \text{DELW}(t) \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright \text{DELD}(t) \\
[\text{DELW:def}] \quad & \text{DELW}(t) \hat{=} (\# \text{slots}' - \# \text{slots} < t) \\
[\text{DELD:def}] \quad & \text{DELD}(t) \hat{=} (\# \text{slots}' - \# \text{slots} = t \wedge \text{state}' = \text{state})
\end{aligned}$$

3.6.9 Assignment

Assignment takes zero time in slotted-*Circus* (here *val* is a standard expression evaluator):

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{Asg:def}] \quad & x := e \hat{=} \mathbf{CSP1} \left(\mathbf{R} \left(\begin{array}{l} ok = ok' \wedge \text{wait} = \text{wait}' \wedge \text{slots} = \text{slots}' \\ \wedge \text{state}' = \text{state} \oplus \{x \mapsto \text{val}(e, \text{state})\} \end{array} \right) \right) \\
\text{val} \quad & : \text{Expr} \times (\text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Value}) \leftrightarrow \text{Value}
\end{aligned}$$

3.6.10 Communication (Prefix)

We distinguish between waiting for communication (*WTC*) and terminating it (*TRMC*).

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{Comm:def}] \quad & c.e \rightarrow \text{Skip} \hat{=} \mathbf{CSP1} \left(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3} \left(\text{WTC}(c) \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{state}' = \text{state} \wedge \\ \text{WTC}(c); \text{TRMC}(c) \end{array} \right) \right) \right) \\
[\text{WTC:def}] \quad & \text{WTC}(c) \hat{=} \text{POSS}(c) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
[\text{POSS:def}] \quad & \text{POSS}(c) \hat{=} c \notin \bigcup \text{Refs}(\text{slots}' \searrow \text{slots}) \\
[\text{TRMC:def}] \quad & \text{TRMC}(c) \hat{=} \text{EVTSNOW}\{c\}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}')
\end{aligned}$$

We show first that the key fragments are in fact **R2**- and **R1**-healthy:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{WTC:is:R1:R2}] \quad & \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\text{WTC}(c))) \equiv ??? \text{WTC}(c) \\
[\text{TRMC:is:R1:R2}] \quad & \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\text{TRMC}(c.e))) \equiv ??? \text{TRMC}(c.e)
\end{aligned}$$

Proofs: [WTC:is:R1:R2]:p172, [CMPC:is:R1:R2]:p??

General prefixing can then be defined in the standard way:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{Out:def}] \quad & c!e \rightarrow \text{Skip} \hat{=} c.e \rightarrow \text{Skip} \\
[\text{In:def}] \quad & c?x \rightarrow \text{Skip} \hat{=} \square_{k:T} \bullet (c.k \rightarrow \text{Skip}; x := k) \\
[\text{Pfx:def}] \quad & \text{comm} \rightarrow A \hat{=} (\text{comm} \rightarrow \text{Skip}); A
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\square_{k:T} \bullet P(x)$ is shorthand for $P(k_1) \square P(k_2) \square \dots$

3.6.11 External Choice

The definition here is a major adaptation of that in [She06, p69].

$$[\text{Ext:def}] \quad A \square B \hat{=} A \wedge B \wedge \text{Stop} \vee \text{Choice}(A, B) \vee \text{Choice}(B, A)$$

$$[\text{Choice:def}] \text{Choice}(C, R) \hat{=} \mathbf{CSP2} \left(C \wedge \left(\begin{array}{l} R \wedge \text{NoEvents}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\ ; \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{ImmEvents}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \vee \\ \text{slots} \cong \text{slots}' \wedge (\neg \text{wait}' \vee \neg \text{ok}') \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right) \right)$$

The definition of **R3** using \mathbb{I}_R rather than \mathbb{I} is crucial here, otherwise the following outcome results:

$$(x := 1; a \rightarrow \text{Skip}) \square (x := 2; b \rightarrow \text{Skip}) = \text{FALSE} \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright \dots$$

As *STET* propagates state changes through, and we are *waiting* after the assignments, but before a or b is chosen, then the clause $A \wedge B \wedge \text{Stop}$ results a contradiction as it asserts, among other things that $x' = 1 \wedge x' = 2$. With *RSTET* used in **R3**, this state information does not appear, so there is no conflict, and once the a or b event is complete, so that we are no longer *waiting*, then the actual assignment outcome becomes visible. Details regarding this issue have been published in [BGW09].

3.6.12 Parallel Composition

We define slotted-parallel in a direct fashion, similar to that used for *Circus*, avoiding the complexities of the UTP/CTA approaches, and also handling error cases in passing:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{Par:def}] & A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \text{cs} \rrbracket \mid s_B \rrbracket B \hat{=} \exists \text{obs}_A, \text{obs}_B \bullet \\
& A[\text{obs}_A/\text{obs}'] \wedge B[\text{obs}_B/\text{obs}'] \wedge \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{if} \left(\begin{array}{l} s_A \triangleleft \text{state}_A \neq s_A \triangleleft \text{state} \vee \\ s_B \triangleleft \text{state}_B \neq s_B \triangleleft \text{state} \vee \\ s_A \cap s_B \neq \emptyset \end{array} \right) \\ \text{then} \neg \text{ok}' \wedge \text{slots} \not\leq \text{slots}' \\ \text{else} \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{ok}' = \text{ok}_A \wedge \text{ok}_B \wedge \\ \text{wait}' = (\text{wait}_A \vee 1.\text{wait}_B) \wedge \\ (\text{wait}' \Rightarrow \text{state}' = (\text{state}_A - s_B) \oplus (\text{state}_B - s_A)) \wedge \\ (\text{wait}_A \Rightarrow \# \text{slots}_A \geq \# \text{slots}_B) \wedge \\ (\text{wait}_B \Rightarrow \# \text{slots}_B \geq \# \text{slots}_A) \wedge \\ \text{VALIDMRG}(\text{cs})(\text{slots}, \text{slots}', \text{slots}_A, \text{slots}_B) \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right) \\
[\text{VMrg:sig}] & \text{VALIDMRG} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow ((\mathcal{S} E)^+)^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\
[\text{VMrg:def}] & \text{VALIDMRG}(\text{cs})(s, s', s_0, s_1) \hat{=} s' \searrow s \in \text{tsync}(\text{cs})(s_0 \searrow s, s_1 \searrow s) \\
[\text{TSnc:sig}] & \text{tsync} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^* \times (\mathcal{S} E)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{P}((\mathcal{S} E)^+) \\
[\text{TSnc:sym}] & \text{tsync}(\text{cs})(s_1, s_2) = \text{tsync}(\text{cs})(s_2, s_1) \\
[\text{TSnc:nil}] & \text{tsync}(\text{cs})(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle) \hat{=} \{ \} \\
[\text{TSnc:one}] & \text{tsync}(\text{cs})(\langle s \rangle, \langle \rangle) \hat{=} \{ \langle s' \rangle \mid s' \in \text{ssync}(\text{cs})(s, \text{snul}(sref(s))) \} \\
[\text{TSnc:both}] & \text{tsync}(\text{cs}) \left(\begin{array}{l} s_1 \circ S_1, \\ s_2 \circ S_2 \end{array} \right) \hat{=} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} s' \circ S' \\ \mid s' \in \text{ssync}(\text{cs})(s_1, s_2) \wedge \\ S' \in \text{tsync}(\text{cs})(S_1, S_2) \end{array} \right\}
\end{array}$$

The clause [TSnc:one] matches that on [She06, pp81], which amounts to treating the missing slot as refusing the same as the one present, in order to ensure that the refusal is unchanged. This may not be correct. If the missing slot should be treated as refusing everything, then we should have:

$$[\text{TSnc:one}'] \quad \text{tsync}(\text{cs})(\langle s \rangle, \langle \rangle) \hat{=} \{ \langle s' \rangle \mid s' \in \text{ssync}(\text{cs})(s, \text{snul}(\text{Events})) \}$$

Or should we select all s' with refusals the same as s , resulting from synchronising with null-slots ranging over arbitrary refusals:

$$[\text{TSnc:one''}] \quad \text{tsync}(cs)(\langle s \rangle, \langle \rangle) \hat{=} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle s' \rangle \mid \\ \exists r \bullet \\ s' \in \text{ssync}(cs)(s, \text{snul}(r)) \wedge \text{sref}(s') = \text{sref}(s) \end{array} \right\}$$

3.6.13 Hiding

Hiding events in a slotted-action means they no longer appears as events that occurred, but are now considered as refusals (by the outside world). Also, hidden events do not wait, but occur immediately.

$$[\text{Hid:def}] \quad A \setminus \text{hidn} \hat{=} \mathbf{R3} \left(\begin{array}{l} \exists s' \bullet A[s'/\text{slots'}] \wedge \\ \text{slots}' \setminus \setminus \text{slots} = \text{map}(\text{SHide}(\text{hidn}))(s' \setminus \setminus \text{slots}) \wedge \\ \text{hidn} \subseteq \bigcap \text{Refs}(s' \setminus \setminus \text{slots}) \end{array} \right); \text{Skip}$$

3.6.14 Timeout

Timeout is modelled as per [She06, p86]

$$[\text{Tout:def}] \quad A \triangleright^d B \hat{=} (A \square (\text{Wait } d; \text{int} \rightarrow B)) \setminus \{\text{int}\}$$

3.6.15 Recursion

$$[\text{Rec:def}] \quad \mu X \bullet F(X) \hat{=} \bigcap \{X \mid X \supseteq F(X)\}$$

3.7 Laws

3.7.1 Prefix

Laws [She06, Property 3.5, p46]:

$$[\text{prefixLaw-1}] \quad (c.e \rightarrow \text{Skip}) \wedge \text{wait}' \equiv \mathbf{CSP1}(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R}(WTC(c))) \wedge \text{wait}'$$

$$[\text{prefixLaw-2}] \quad (c.e \rightarrow \text{Skip}) \wedge \neg \text{wait}' \equiv \mathbf{CSP1} \left(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3} \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{state}' = \text{state} \wedge \\ WTC(c); \text{TRMC}(c) \end{array} \right) \right) \wedge \neg \text{wait}'$$

$$[\text{prefixLaw-3}] \quad (c.e \rightarrow P) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\ \equiv \text{for healthy } P \\ \mathbf{CSP1}(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3}(WTC(c) \wedge \text{wait}')) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}')$$

3.7.2 Sequential Composition

Laws [She06, Property 3.6, p55]:

- [seqLaw-1] $STOP; A \equiv STOP, \quad A \text{ healthy}$
- [seqLaw-2] $((x := e); (x := f(x))) \equiv x := f(e)$
- [seqLaw-3] $Wait\ n; Wait\ m \equiv Wait\ (m + n)$
- [seqLaw-4] $A; (B; C) \equiv (A; B); C$
- [seqLaw-5] $(A \triangleleft c \triangleright B); Z \equiv (A; Z) \triangleleft c \triangleright (B; Z)$

The proofs of the last two are predicate calculus exercises, while the first three require a little more work: [seqLaw-1]:p186, [seqLaw-2]:p188, [seqLaw-3]:p189.

3.7.3 Conditional

Laws [She06, Property 3.7, pp55–6]:

- [condLaw-1] $P \triangleleft c \triangleright P \equiv P$
- [condLaw-2] $P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q \equiv Q \triangleleft \neg c \triangleright P$
- [condLaw-3] $(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \triangleleft c \triangleright R \equiv P \triangleleft b \wedge c \triangleright (Q \triangleleft c \triangleright R)$
- [condLaw-4] $P \triangleleft b \triangleright (Q \triangleleft c \triangleright R) \equiv (P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \triangleleft c \triangleright (P \triangleleft b \triangleright R)$
- [condLaw-5] $P \triangleleft \text{TRUE} \triangleright Q \equiv P \equiv Q \triangleleft \text{FALSE} \triangleright P$
- [condLaw-6] $P \triangleleft c \triangleright (Q \triangleleft c \triangleright R) \equiv P \triangleleft c \triangleright R$
- [condLaw-7] $P \triangleleft b \triangleright (P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) \equiv P \triangleleft b \wedge c \triangleright Q$
- [condLaw-8] $(P \sqcap Q) \triangleleft c \triangleright R \equiv (P \triangleleft c \triangleright R) \sqcap (Q \triangleleft c \triangleright R)$

All are straightforward exercises in propositional calculus.

3.7.4 Guards

Laws [She06, Property 3.8, pp56]:

- [guardLaw-1] $\text{FALSE} \& P \equiv \text{Stop}$
- [guardLaw-2] $\text{TRUE} \& P \equiv P$
- [guardLaw-3] $c \& \text{Stop} \equiv \text{Stop}$
- [guardLaw-4] $b \& (c \& P) \equiv (b \wedge c) \& P$
- [guardLaw-5] $b \& (P \sqcap Q) \equiv (b \& P) \sqcap (b \& Q)$
- [guardLaw-6] $b \& (P; Q) \equiv ??? (b \& P); Q$
- [guardLaw-7] $b \& P \equiv ??? (b \& \text{Skip}); P$

All but the last two are straightforward exercises in propositional calculus.

3.7.5 Non-deterministic Choice

Laws [She06, Property 3.9, p57]:

[intchoiceLaw-1]	$Chaos \sqcap P \equiv Chaos$
[intchoiceLaw-2]	$P \sqcap P \equiv P$
[intchoiceLaw-3]	$P \sqcap Q \equiv Q \sqcap P$
[intchoiceLaw-4]	$P \sqcap (Q \sqcap R) \equiv (P \sqcap Q) \sqcap R$
[intchoiceLaw-5]	$(P \sqcap Q); R \equiv P; R \sqcap Q; R$
[intchoiceLaw-6]	$P; (Q \sqcap R) \equiv P; Q \sqcap P; R$
[intchoiceLaw-7]	$P \triangleleft c \triangleright (Q \sqcap R) \equiv (P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) \sqcap (P \triangleleft c \triangleright R)$
[intchoiceLaw-8]	$P \sqcap (Q \triangleleft c \triangleright R) \equiv (P \sqcap Q) \triangleleft c \triangleright (P \sqcap R)$
[intchoiceLaw-9]	$(c \rightarrow P) \sqcap (c \rightarrow R) \equiv ??? c \rightarrow (P \sqcap R)$

All but the last are straightforward exercises in propositional calculus.

3.7.6 External Choice Composition

Laws [She06, Property 3.10, pp70–7]:

[extchoiceLaw-1]	$P \sqcap Stop \equiv ??? P, \quad P \text{ healthy}$
[extchoiceLaw-2]	$P \sqcap P \equiv ??? P$
[extchoiceLaw-3]	$P \sqcap Q \equiv ??? Q \sqcap P$
[extchoiceLaw-4]	$P \sqcap (Q \sqcap R) \equiv ??? (P \sqcap Q) \sqcap R$
[extchoiceLaw-5]	$P \sqcap (Q \sqcap R) \equiv ??? (P \sqcap Q) \sqcap (P \sqcap R)$
[extchoiceLaw-6]	$(a \rightarrow P) \sqcap (b \rightarrow P) \equiv ??? ((a \rightarrow Skip) \sqcap (b \rightarrow Skip)); P$
[extchoiceLaw-7]	$Wait\ n \sqcap Wait\ n + m \equiv ??? Wait\ n$
[extchoiceLaw-8]	$(Wait\ n; P) \sqcap (Wait\ n; Q) \equiv ??? Wait\ n; (P \sqcap Q)$
[extchoiceLaw-9]	$(P \sqcap Q) \sqcap (P \sqcap R) \equiv ??? P \sqcap (Q \sqcap R)$
[extchoiceLaw-10]	$(Skip \sqcap (Wait\ n; P)) \equiv ??? Skip, \quad n > 0$
[extchoiceLaw-11]	$(a \rightarrow P) \sqcap (Wait\ n; (a \rightarrow P)) \equiv ??? (a \rightarrow P)$

None of these are straightforward!

Law [extchoiceLaw-9] may not be true... Counter example. $P = Stop, Q = Skip, R = Wait1; a \rightarrow Skip$

$$LeftSide = (P \sqcap Q) \sqcap (P \sqcap R) = P \sqcap Q \sqcap R$$

$$RightSide = P \sqcap (Q \sqcap R) = P \sqcap Q$$

3.7.7 Parallel Composition

Laws [She06, Property 3.12, pp82–3]:

[parallelLaw-1]	$A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \rrbracket B \equiv ??? B \llbracket s_B \mid \{ \} \mid s_A \rrbracket A$
[parallelLaw-2]	$A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \cup s_C \rrbracket (B \llbracket s_B \mid \{ \} \mid s_C \rrbracket C)$ $\equiv ??? (A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \rrbracket B) \llbracket s_A \cup s_B \mid \{ \} \mid s_C \rrbracket C$
[parallelLaw-3]	$Skip \llbracket \{ \} \mid \{ \} \mid \{ \} \rrbracket Skip \equiv ??? Skip$
[parallelLaw-4]	$A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid \{ \} \rrbracket Chaos \equiv ??? Chaos$
[parallelLaw-5]	$Stop \llbracket \{ \} \mid \{ \} \mid s_A \rrbracket c \rightarrow A \equiv ??? Stop, \quad c \in cs$
[parallelLaw-6]	$(A \triangleleft b \triangleright B) \llbracket s_A \cup s_B \mid \{ \} \mid s_C \rrbracket C$ $\equiv ??? (A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_C \rrbracket C) \triangleleft (\triangleright B \llbracket s_B \mid \{ \} \mid s_C \rrbracket C)$
[parallelLaw-7]	$(A \sqcap B) \llbracket s_A \cup s_B \mid \{ \} \mid s_C \rrbracket C$ $\equiv ??? (A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_C \rrbracket C) \sqcap (B \llbracket s_B \mid \{ \} \mid s_C \rrbracket C)$
[parallelLaw-8]	$(x := e; A) \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \rrbracket B$ $\equiv ??? x := e; (A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \rrbracket B)$ if m (?) not in e , and $x \in s_A \setminus s_B$
[parallelLaw-9]	$(Wait\ n; A) \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \rrbracket (Wait\ n; B)$ $\equiv ??? Wait\ n; (A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \rrbracket B)$
[parallelLaw-10]	$a \notin cs \wedge b \notin cs \wedge c \in cs \Rightarrow$ $((a \rightarrow A) \sqcap (b \rightarrow B) \llbracket s_A \cup s_B \mid \{ \} \mid s_C \rrbracket C) \setminus cs$ $\equiv ??? ((a \rightarrow A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_C \rrbracket C) \sqcap (b \rightarrow B \llbracket s_B \mid \{ \} \mid s_C \rrbracket C)) \setminus cs$
[parallelLaw-11]	$a \in cs \wedge b \in cs \Rightarrow$ $((a \rightarrow A) \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \rrbracket ((a \rightarrow A') \sqcap (b \rightarrow B))) \setminus cs$ $\equiv ??? (a \rightarrow (A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \rrbracket A')) \setminus cs$
[parallelLaw-12]	$a \in cs \wedge b \in cs \Rightarrow$ $((a \rightarrow A) \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \rrbracket ((Wait\ d; a \rightarrow A') \sqcap (b \rightarrow B))) \setminus cs$ $\equiv ??? Wait\ d; (a \rightarrow (A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \rrbracket A')) \setminus cs$
[parallelLaw-13]	$a \notin cs \Rightarrow$ $(a \rightarrow A) \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \rrbracket (b \rightarrow B)$ $\equiv ??? a \rightarrow (A \llbracket s_A \mid \{ \} \mid s_B \rrbracket (b \rightarrow B))$

All complicated!

3.7.8 Hiding

Laws [She06, Property 3.14, p85]:

- [hidingLaw-1] $P \setminus \{\} \equiv P, \quad P \text{ healthy}$
- [hidingLaw-2] $P \setminus S \setminus T \equiv P \setminus (S \cup T)$
- [hidingLaw-3] $(P \sqcap Q) \setminus S \equiv (P \setminus S) \sqcap (Q \setminus S)$
- [hidingLaw-4] $(c \rightarrow P) \setminus S \equiv c \rightarrow (P \setminus S), \quad c \notin S$
- [hidingLaw-5] $(c \rightarrow P) \setminus S \equiv (P \setminus S), \quad c \in S$
- [hidingLaw-6] $(\text{Wait } n) \setminus S \equiv \text{Wait } n$
- [hidingLaw-7] $\text{Skip} \setminus S \equiv \text{Skip}$
- [hidingLaw-8] $(P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) \setminus S \equiv (P \setminus S) \triangleleft c \triangleright (Q \setminus S)$
- [hidingLaw-9] $((a \rightarrow \text{Skip}) \sqcap (b \rightarrow \text{Skip})) \setminus \{a\} \equiv (\text{Skip} \sqcap (b \rightarrow \text{Skip}))$
- [hidingLaw-10] $((a \rightarrow P) \sqcap (\text{Wait } n; Q)) \setminus \{a\} \equiv P \setminus \{a\}$
- [hidingLaw-11] $(P; Q) \setminus S \equiv (P \setminus S); (Q \setminus S)$
- [hidingLaw-12] $\text{Chaos} \setminus S \equiv \text{Chaos}$
- [hidingLaw-13] $(x := e) \setminus S \equiv (x := e)$
- [hidingLaw-14] $a \notin S \wedge b \notin S \Rightarrow$
 $((a \rightarrow P) \sqcap (b \rightarrow Q)) \setminus S \equiv (a \rightarrow (P \setminus S)) \sqcap (b \rightarrow (Q \setminus S))$

All non-trivial.

4 Slotted-Circus—CTA Incarnation

This section presents the CTA theory of [She06, pp27–88] in the slotted-*Circus* framework:

4.1 Observational Variables

In CTA, a history is simply an event trace str so the history type-constructor for CTA is

$$[\text{CTA:HIST}] \quad \text{CTA } E \cong E^*$$

4.2 Required Definitions and Proofs

4.2.1 Defining acc_{CTA}

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{CTA:ACC:sig}] \quad & acc_{CTA} : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\ [\text{CTA:ACC:def}] \quad & acc(str) \cong elems(str) \end{aligned}$$

4.2.2 Defining $EQVTRC_{CTA}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{CTA:ET:sig}] \quad & EQVTRC_{CTA} : E^* \leftrightarrow \text{CTA } E \\ [\text{CTA:ET:def}] \quad & EQVTRC(tr, str) \cong tr = str \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{CTA:ET:elems}] \quad EQVTRC(tr, str) \Rightarrow elems(tr) = acc(str)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & EQVTRC(tr, str) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{CTA:ET:defs}] \text{ ”} \\ & tr = str \\ \Rightarrow & \quad \text{“ } elems \text{ is a function ”} \\ & elems(tr) = elems(str) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{CTA:ACC:def}] \text{ ”} \\ & elems(tr) = acc(str) \end{aligned}$$

4.2.3 Defining $hnull_{CTA}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{CTA:HN:sig}] \quad & hnull_{CTA} : E^* \\ [\text{CTA:HN:def}] \quad & hnull \cong \langle \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{CTA:HN:null}] \quad acc(hnull) = \{\}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& acc(hnull) \\
= & \text{“ [CTA:HN:def] ”} \\
& acc(\langle \rangle) \\
= & \text{“ [CTA:ACC:def]:p40 ”} \\
& elems(\langle \rangle) \\
= & \text{“ defn. elems ”} \\
& \{\}
\end{aligned}$$

4.2.4 Defining \preceq_{CTA}

$$\begin{aligned}
[CTA:px:sig] & \quad \preceq_{CTA}: E^* \leftrightarrow E^* \\
[CTA:px:def] & \quad str_1 \preceq str_2 \hat{=} str_1 \leq str_2
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[CTA:px:refl] \quad str \preceq str = \text{TRUE}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& str \preceq str \\
\equiv & \text{“ [CTA:px:def] ”} \\
& str \leq str \\
\equiv & \text{“ sequence } \leq \text{ is reflexive ”} \\
& \text{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[CTA:px:trans] \quad str_1 \preceq str_2 \wedge str_2 \preceq str_3 \Rightarrow str_1 \preceq str_3$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& str_1 \preceq str_2 \wedge str_2 \preceq str_3 \\
\equiv & \text{“ [CTA:px:def] ”} \\
& str_1 \leq str_2 \wedge str_2 \leq str_3 \\
\Rightarrow & \text{“ sequence } \leq \text{ is transitive ”} \\
& str_1 \leq str_3 \\
\equiv & \text{“ [CTA:px:def], backwards ”} \\
& str_1 \preceq str_3
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[CTA:px:anti-sym] \quad str_1 \preceq str_2 \wedge str_2 \preceq str_1 \Rightarrow str_1 = str_2$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& str_1 \preceq str_2 \wedge str_2 \preceq str_1 \Rightarrow str_1 = str_2 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:pxf:def] ”} \\
& str_1 \leq str_2 \wedge str_2 \leq str_1 \Rightarrow str_1 = str_2 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ string prefix order is anti-symmetric ”} \\
& \mathbf{true}
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{CTA:SN:pxf}] \quad hnull \preceq str$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& hnull \preceq str \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:HN:def] ”} \\
& \langle \rangle \preceq str \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:pxf:def] ”} \\
& \langle \rangle \leq str \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ property of } \langle \rangle \text{ and } \leq \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{CTA:ET:pxf}] \quad str_1 \preceq str_2 \\
\Rightarrow \\
\exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet EQVTRC(tr_1, str_1) \wedge EQVTRC(tr_2, str_2) \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2
\end{aligned}$$

Proof (first step):

$$\begin{aligned}
& str_1 \preceq str_2 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:pxf:def] ”} \\
& str_1 \leq str_2 \quad [\text{CTA:ET:pxf:hyp}]
\end{aligned}$$

Proof (second step)—assume [CTA:ET:pxf:hyp]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet EQVTRC(tr_1, str_1) \wedge EQVTRC(tr_2, str_2) \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ET:def]:p40 ”} \\
& \exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet tr_1 = str_1 \wedge tr_2 = str_2 \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ one-point rule ”} \\
& str_1 \leq str_2 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ By hypothesis: [CTA:ET:pxf:hyp] ”} \\
& \mathbf{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

4.2.5 Defining $sadd_{CTA}$

We also need to have the notion of adding and subtracting slots, obeying laws, some obvious, the others not so:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[CTA:sadd:sig]} \quad & sadd_{CTA} : E^* \times E^* \rightarrow E^* \\ \text{[CTA:sadd:def]} \quad & sadd(str_1, str_2) \hat{=} str_1 \hat{\wedge} str_2 \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[CTA:sadd:events]} \quad acc(sadd(str_1, str_2)) = acc(str_1) \cup acc(str_2)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & acc(sadd(str_1, str_2)) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [CTA:sadd:def] ”} \\ & acc(str_1 \hat{\wedge} str_2) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ACC:def]:p40 ”} \\ & elems(str_1 \hat{\wedge} str_2) \\ = & \quad \text{“ elems homomorphism ”} \\ & elems(str_1) \cup elems(str_2) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ACC:def]:p40, backwards ”} \\ & acc(str_1) \cup acc(str_2) \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[CTA:sadd:unit]} \quad sadd(str_1, str_2) = str_1 \equiv (str_2 = hnull)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & sadd(str_1, str_2) = str_1 \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:sadd:def]:p43 ”} \\ & str_1 \hat{\wedge} str_2 = str_1 \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ seq. prop ”} \\ & str_2 = \langle \rangle \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:SN:def]:p??, backwards ”} \\ & str_2 = hnull \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[CTA:sadd:assoc]} \quad sadd(str_1, sadd(str_2, str_3)) = sadd(sadd(str_1, str_2), str_3)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{sadd}(str_1, \text{sadd}(str_2, str_3,)) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:sadd:def]:p43 ”} \\
& \text{sadd}(str_1, str_2 \hat{\wedge} str_3) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:sadd:def]:p43 ”} \\
& str_1 \hat{\wedge} (str_2 \hat{\wedge} str_3) \\
= & \quad \text{“ } \hat{\wedge} \text{ assoc. ”} \\
& (str_1 \hat{\wedge} str_2) \hat{\wedge} str_3 \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:sadd:def]:p43, backwards ”} \\
& \text{sadd}(str_1 \hat{\wedge} str_2, str_3) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:sadd:def]:p43, backwards ”} \\
& \text{sadd}(\text{sadd}(str_1), str_2), str_3)
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{CTA:sadd:prefix}] \quad str \preceq \text{sadd}(str, str)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& str \preceq \text{sadd}(str, str') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:sadd:def]:p43 ”} \\
& str \preceq str \hat{\wedge} str' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:pfx:def]:p41 ”} \\
& str \leq str \hat{\wedge} str' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ seq property ”} \\
& \text{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

4.2.6 Defining $ssub_{CTA}$

We also need to have the notion of adding and subtracting slots, obeying laws, some obvious, the others not so:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{CTA:ssub:sig}] \quad & ssub_{CTA} : E^* \times E^* \mapsto E^* \\
[\text{CTA:ssub:def}] \quad & ssub(str_1, str_2) \hat{=} str_1 - str_2
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{CTA:ssub:pre}] \quad \text{pre } ssub(str_1, str_2) = str_2 \preceq str_1$$

Here we want to show that the pre-condition above implies the definition is well-defined. First we expand the precondition as supplied:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{pre } ssub(str_1, str_2) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ssub:pre] ”} \\
& str_2 \preceq str_1 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:pfx:def]:p41 ”} \\
& str_2 \leq str_1
\end{aligned}$$

We now compute the precondition of $ssub$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}(ssub(str_1, str_2)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ssub:def] ”} \\
& \mathcal{D}(str_1 - str_2) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ pre-condition for sequence-subtraction ”} \\
& str_2 \leq str_1
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{[CTA:ssub:events]} \quad str_2 \preceq str_1 \wedge s' = ssub(str_1, str_2) \\
\Rightarrow acc(str_1) \setminus acc(str_2) \subseteq acc(s') \subseteq acc(str_1)
\end{aligned}$$

We expand and simplify the antecedent:

$$\begin{aligned}
& str_2 \preceq str_1 \wedge s' = ssub(str_1, str_2) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:px:def]:p41,[CTA:ssub:def]:p44 ”} \\
& str_2 \leq str_1 \wedge s' = str_1 - str_2 \quad \text{[CTA:ssub:events:hyp]}
\end{aligned}$$

Now assume the above and look at consequent:

$$\begin{aligned}
& acc(str_1) \setminus acc(str_2) \subseteq acc(s') \subseteq acc(str_1) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ACC:def]:p40 ”} \\
& elems(str_1) \setminus elems(str_2) \subseteq elems(s') \subseteq elems(str_1) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ssub:events:hyp] ”} \\
& elems(str_1) \setminus elems(str_2) \subseteq elems(str_1 - str_2) \subseteq elems(str_1) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ properties of } elems \text{ w.r.t } -, \subseteq \text{ and } \setminus \text{.”} \\
& \text{TRUE} \wedge \text{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[CTA:ssub:self]} \quad SSub(str, str) = hnull$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& SSub(str, (str,)) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ssub:def]:p44 ”} \\
& str - str \\
= & \quad \text{“ property of seq. sub. ”} \\
& \langle \rangle \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:HN:def]:p40 ”} \\
& hnull
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[CTA:ssub:nil]} \quad SSub(str, hnull) = str$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& SSub(str, hnull) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ssub:def]:p44,[CTA:HN:def]:p40 ”} \\
& \quad str - \langle \rangle \\
= & \quad \text{“ property of seq. sub. ”} \\
& \quad str
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{[CTA:Ssub:same]} \quad str \preceq str'_a \wedge str \preceq str'_b & \Rightarrow \\
& \quad ssub(str'_a, str, ref) = ssub(str'_b, str) \\
& \quad \equiv str'_a = str'_b
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: the antecedent reduces by [CTA:px:def]:p41 to

$$str \leq str'_a \wedge str \leq str'_b$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ssub(str'_a, str) = ssub(str'_b, str) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ssub:def]:p44 ”} \\
& \quad str'_a - str = str'_b - str \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \sigma - \tau = \nu - \tau \equiv \sigma = \nu \text{ ”} \\
& \quad str'_a = str'_b
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{[CTA:ssub:subsub]} \quad str_c \preceq str_a \wedge str_c \preceq str_b \\
& \quad \wedge str_b \preceq str_a \\
& \quad \Rightarrow ssub(ssub(str_a, str_c), ssub(str_b, str_c)) \\
& \quad = ssub(str_a, str_b)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: The antecedent reduces to:

$$str_c \leq str_a \wedge str_c \leq str_b \wedge str_b \leq str_a$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ssub(ssub(str_a, str_c), ssub(str_b, str_c)) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ssub:def]:p44 ”} \\
& \quad ssub(str_a - str_c, str_b - str_c) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ssub:def]:p44 ”} \\
& \quad (str_a - str_c) - (str_b - str_c) \\
= & \quad \text{“ antecedents, and sequence subtraction property ”} \\
& \quad str_a - str_b \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ssub:def]:p44, backwards ”} \\
& \quad ssub(str_a, str_b)
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[CTA:sadd:ssub]} \quad str \preceq str' &\Rightarrow \\ & \quad sadd(str, ssub(str', str)) = str' \end{aligned}$$

First, simplify the antecedent:

$$\begin{aligned} & str \preceq str' \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [CTA:pfx:def]:p41 ”} \\ & str \leq str' \quad \text{[CTA:sadd:ssub:hyp]} \end{aligned}$$

Now, the consequent:

$$\begin{aligned} & sadd(str, ssub(str', str)) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ssub:def]:p44 ”} \\ & sadd(str, str' - str) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [CTA:sadd:def]:p43 ”} \\ & str \wedge (str' - str) \\ = & \quad \text{“ law of sequence subtraction, [CTA:sadd:ssub:hyp] ”} \\ & str' \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[CTA:ssub:sadd]} \quad ssub(sadd(str_1, str_2), str_1) = str_2$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & ssub(sadd(str_1, str_2), str_1) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [CTA:sadd:def]:p43 ”} \\ & ssub(str_1 \wedge str_2, str_1) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ssub:def]:p44 ”} \\ & (str_1 \wedge str_2) - str_1 \\ = & \quad \text{“ law of sequences ”} \\ & str_2 \end{aligned}$$

4.2.7 Defining $ssync_{CTA}$

$$\text{[CTA:SNC:sig]} \quad ssync_{CTA} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow E^* \times E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E^*)$$

We would like the following to hold:

$$ssync(cs)(str_1, str_2) = Sync(str_1, str_2, cs) \text{ as defined in [She06, p81]}$$

We shall follow the definition as given in [Ros97, pp69–70]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{[CTA:SNC:sym]} && \text{ssync}(cs)(s_1, s_2) = \text{ssync}(cs)(s_2, s_1) \\
& \text{[CTA:SNC:def]} && \\
& \text{ssync}(cs)(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle) &\hat{=}& \{ \langle \rangle \} \\
& \text{ssync}(cs)(\langle \rangle, \langle a \rangle) &\hat{=}& \emptyset \triangleleft a \in cs \triangleright \{ \langle a \rangle \} \\
& \text{ssync}(cs)(a\circ s, b\circ t) &\hat{=}& \\
& a \notin cs \wedge b \notin cs &\Rightarrow& (a\circ)(\text{ssync}(cs)(s, b\circ t)) \cup (b\circ)(\text{ssync}(cs)(a\circ s, t)) \\
& a \notin cs \wedge b \in cs &\Rightarrow& (a\circ)(\text{ssync}(cs)(s, b\circ t)) \\
& a \in cs \wedge b \notin cs &\Rightarrow& (b\circ)(\text{ssync}(cs)(a\circ s, t)) \\
& a \in cs \wedge b \in cs &\Rightarrow& \emptyset \triangleleft a \neq b \triangleright (a\circ)(\text{ssync}(cs)(s, t))
\end{aligned}$$

The following laws need proving:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{[CTA:SNC:one]} && \forall s' \in \text{ssync}(cs)(s_1, \text{hnull}) \bullet \text{acc}(s') \subseteq \text{acc}(s_1) \setminus cs \\
& \text{[CTA:SNC:only]} && s' \in \text{acc}(\text{ssync}(cs)(s_1, s_2)) \Rightarrow \text{acc}(s') \subseteq \text{acc}(s_1) \cup \text{acc}(s_2) \\
& \text{[CTA:SNC:sync]} && s' \in \text{acc}(\text{ssync}(cs)(s_1, s_2)) \Rightarrow cs \cap \text{acc}(s') \subseteq cs \cap (\text{acc}(s_1) \cap \text{acc}(s_2)) \\
& \text{[CTA:SNC:assoc]} && \text{syncset}(cs)(s_1)(\text{ssync}(cs)(s_2, s_3)) = \text{syncset}(cs)(s_3)(\text{ssync}(cs)(s_1, s_2))
\end{aligned}$$

4.2.8 Defining shide_{CTA}

Finally we need to specify how to hide events in a slot:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{[CTA:SHid:sig]} && \text{shide}_{CTA} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow E^* \rightarrow E^* \\
& \text{[CTA:SHid:def]} && \text{shide}(\text{hdn})str \hat{=} str \setminus \text{hdn}
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[CTA:SHid:evts]} \quad \text{acc}(\text{shide}(\text{hdn})str) = \text{acc}(str) \setminus \text{hdn}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{acc}(\text{shide}(\text{hdn})str) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:SHid:def] ”} \\
& \text{acc}(str \setminus \text{hdn}) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ACC:def]:p40 ”} \\
& \text{elems}(str \setminus \text{hdn}) \\
= & \quad \text{“ sequence property ”} \\
& \text{elems}(str) \setminus \text{hdn} \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CTA:ACC:def]:p40, backwards ”} \\
& \text{acc}(str) \setminus \text{hdn}
\end{aligned}$$

5 Slotted-Circus—MSA Incarnation

This section presents an incarnation based on the notion of an event history being a multiset (bag) of events.

5.1 Observational Variables

In MSA, a history is simply an event bag so the history type-constructor for MSA is

$$[\text{MSA:HIST}] \quad \mathcal{MSA} E \hat{=} E \leftrightarrow \mathbb{N}_1$$

5.2 Required Definitions and Proofs

5.2.1 Defining $acc_{\mathcal{MSA}}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{MSA:ACC:sig}] \quad & acc_{\mathcal{MSA}} : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\ [\text{MSA:ACC:def}] \quad & acc(bag) \hat{=} dom(bag) \end{aligned}$$

5.2.2 Defining $EQVTRC_{\mathcal{MSA}}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{MSA:ET:sig}] \quad & EQVTRC_{\mathcal{MSA}} : E^* \leftrightarrow \mathcal{MSA} E \\ [\text{MSA:ET:def}] \quad & EQVTRC(tr, bag) \hat{=} items(tr) = bag \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{MSA:ET:elems}] \quad EQVTRC(tr, bag) \Rightarrow elems(tr) = acc(bag)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & EQVTRC(tr, bag) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{MSA:ET:defs}] \text{ ”} \\ & items(tr) = bag \\ \Rightarrow & \quad \text{“ } dom \text{ is a function ”} \\ & dom(items(tr)) = dom(bag) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{MSA:ACC:def}] \text{ ”} \\ & dom(items(tr)) = acc(bag) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } dom \circ items = elems \text{ ”} \\ & elems(tr) = acc(bag) \end{aligned}$$

5.2.3 Defining $hnull_{\mathcal{MSA}}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{MSA:HN:sig}] \quad & hnull_{\mathcal{MSA}} : E^* \\ [\text{MSA:HN:def}] \quad & hnull \hat{=} [] \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{MSA:HN:null}] \quad \text{acc}(hnull) = \{\}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{acc}(hnull) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [MSA:HN:def] ”} \\ & \text{acc}(\{\}) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [MSA:ACC:def]:p49 ”} \\ & \text{ran}(\{\}) \\ = & \quad \text{“ defn. ran, \{\} ”} \\ & \{\} \end{aligned}$$

5.2.4 Defining \preceq_{MSA}

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{MSA:px:sig}] \quad & \preceq_{\text{MSA}}: E^* \leftrightarrow E^* \\ [\text{MSA:px:def}] \quad & bag_1 \preceq bag_2 \hat{=} bag_1 \sqsubseteq bag_2 \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{MSA:px:refl}] \quad bag \preceq bag = \text{TRUE}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & bag \preceq bag \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [MSA:px:def] ”} \\ & bag \sqsubseteq bag \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ bag } \sqsubseteq \text{ is reflexive ”} \\ & \text{TRUE} \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{MSA:px:trans}] \quad bag_1 \preceq bag_2 \wedge bag_2 \preceq bag_3 \Rightarrow bag_1 \preceq bag_3$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & bag_1 \preceq bag_2 \wedge bag_2 \preceq bag_3 \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [MSA:px:def] ”} \\ & bag_1 \sqsubseteq bag_2 \wedge bag_2 \sqsubseteq bag_3 \\ \Rightarrow & \quad \text{“ bag } \sqsubseteq \text{ is transitive ”} \\ & bag_1 \sqsubseteq bag_3 \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [MSA:px:def], backwards ”} \\ & bag_1 \preceq bag_3 \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{MSA:pfX:anti-sym}] \quad \text{bag}_1 \preceq \text{bag}_2 \wedge \text{bag}_2 \preceq \text{bag}_1 \Rightarrow \text{bag}_1 = \text{bag}_2$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{bag}_1 \preceq \text{bag}_2 \wedge \text{bag}_2 \preceq \text{bag}_1 \Rightarrow \text{bag}_1 = \text{bag}_2 \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [MSA:pfX:def] ”} \\ & \text{bag}_1 \sqsubseteq \text{bag}_2 \wedge \text{bag}_2 \sqsubseteq \text{bag}_1 \Rightarrow \text{bag}_1 = \text{bag}_2 \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ sub-bag relation is anti-symmetric ”} \\ & \mathbf{true} \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{MSA:SN:pfX}] \quad \text{hnull} \preceq \text{bag}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{hnull} \preceq \text{bag} \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [MSA:HN:def] ”} \\ & \square \preceq \text{bag} \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [MSA:pfX:def] ”} \\ & \square \sqsubseteq \text{bag} \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ property of } \square \text{ and } \sqsubseteq \text{ ”} \\ & \mathbf{TRUE} \end{aligned}$$

Law, which in this case can be strengthened to an equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{MSA:ET:pfX}] \quad & \text{bag}_1 \preceq \text{bag}_2 \\ \equiv & \\ & \exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet \text{EQVTRC}(tr_1, \text{bag}_1) \wedge \text{EQVTRC}(tr_2, \text{bag}_2) \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2 \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& bag_1 \preceq bag_2 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [MSA:pxf:def]:p50 ”} \\
& bag_1 \sqsubseteq bag_2 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ bag property ”} \\
& \exists bag_\Delta \bullet bag_2 = bag_1 \oplus bag_\Delta \\
\equiv & \text{ “ bag property: } \forall bag \bullet \exists tr \bullet items(tr) = bag \text{ ”} \\
& \exists bag_\Delta, tr_\Delta, tr_1, \bullet bag_2 = bag_1 \oplus bag_\Delta \wedge items(tr_\Delta) = bag_\Delta \wedge items(tr_1) = bag_1 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ One-point rule backwards } tr_2 = tr_1 \hat{\wedge} tr_\Delta \text{ ”} \\
& \exists bag_\Delta, tr_\Delta, tr_1, tr_2 \bullet bag_2 = bag_1 \oplus bag_\Delta \wedge items(tr_\Delta) = bag_\Delta \wedge items(tr_1) = bag_1 \wedge tr_2 = tr_1 \hat{\wedge} tr_\Delta \\
\equiv & \text{ “ One-point rule } bag_\Delta, \text{ Leibniz } bag_1 \text{ ”} \\
& \exists tr_\Delta, tr_1, tr_2 \bullet bag_2 = items(tr_1) \oplus items(tr_\Delta) \wedge items(tr_1) = bag_1 \wedge tr_2 = tr_1 \hat{\wedge} tr_\Delta \\
\equiv & \text{ “ items is a sequence homomorphism ”} \\
& \exists tr_\Delta, tr_1, tr_2 \bullet bag_2 = items(tr_2) \wedge bag_1 = items(tr_1) \wedge tr_2 = tr_1 \hat{\wedge} tr_\Delta \\
\equiv & \text{ “ sequence property ”} \\
& \exists tr_\Delta, tr_1, tr_2 \bullet bag_2 = items(tr_2) \wedge bag_1 = items(tr_1) \wedge tr_\Delta = tr_2 - tr_1 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ One point rule: } tr_\Delta, \text{ requires definedness of } tr_2 - tr_1 \text{ ”} \\
& \exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet bag_2 = items(tr_2) \wedge bag_1 = items(tr_1) \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [MSA:ET:def]:p49, backwards ”} \\
& \exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet EQVTRC(tr_2, bag_2) \wedge EQVTRC(tr_1, bag_1) \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2
\end{aligned}$$

5.2.5 Defining $sadd_{MSA}$

We also need to have the notion of adding and subtracting slots, obeying laws, some obvious, the others not so:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{[MSA:sadd:sig]} \quad & sadd_{MSA} : E^* \times E^* \rightarrow E^* \\
\text{[MSA:sadd:def]} \quad & sadd(bag_1, bag_2) \hat{=} bag_1 \oplus bag_2
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[MSA:sadd:events]} \quad acc(sadd(bag_1, bag_2)) = acc(bag_1) \cup acc(bag_2)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& acc(sadd(bag_1, bag_2)) \\
= & \text{ “ [MSA:sadd:def] ”} \\
& acc(bag_1 \oplus bag_2) \\
= & \text{ “ [MSA:ACC:def]:p49 ”} \\
& dom(bag_1 \oplus bag_2) \\
= & \text{ “ elems homomorphism ”} \\
& dom(bag_1) \cup dom(bag_2) \\
= & \text{ “ [MSA:ACC:def]:p49, backwards ”} \\
& acc(bag_1) \cup acc(bag_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{MSA:sadd:unit}] \quad \text{sadd}(bag_1, bag_2) = bag_1 \equiv (bag_2 = hnull)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{sadd}(bag_1, bag_2) = bag_1 \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [MSA:sadd:def]:p52 ”} \\ & bag_1 \oplus bag_2 = bag_1 \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ seq. prop ”} \\ & bag_2 = [] \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [MSA:SN:def]:p??, backwards ”} \\ & bag_2 = hnull \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{MSA:sadd:assoc}] \quad \text{sadd}(bag_1, \text{sadd}(bag_2, bag_3)) = \text{sadd}(\text{sadd}(bag_1, bag_2), bag_3)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{sadd}(bag_1, \text{sadd}(bag_2, bag_3)) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [MSA:sadd:def]:p52 ”} \\ & \text{sadd}(bag_1, bag_2 \oplus bag_3) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [MSA:sadd:def]:p52 ”} \\ & bag_1 \oplus (bag_2 \oplus bag_3) \\ = & \quad \text{“ } \oplus \text{ assoc. ”} \\ & (bag_1 \oplus bag_2) \oplus bag_3 \\ = & \quad \text{“ [MSA:sadd:def]:p52, backwards ”} \\ & \text{sadd}(bag_1 \oplus bag_2, bag_3) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [MSA:sadd:def]:p52, backwards ”} \\ & \text{sadd}(\text{sadd}(bag_1), bag_2), bag_3 \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{MSA:sadd:prefix}] \quad bag \preceq \text{sadd}(bag, bag)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & bag \preceq \text{sadd}(bag, bag') \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [MSA:sadd:def]:p52 ”} \\ & bag \preceq bag \oplus bag' \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [MSA:px:def]:p50 ”} \\ & bag \sqsubseteq bag \oplus bag' \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ bag property ”} \\ & \text{TRUE} \end{aligned}$$

5.2.6 Defining $ssub_{MSA}$

We also need to have the notion of adding and subtracting slots, obeying laws, some obvious, the others not so:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{MSA:ssub:sig}] \quad & ssub_{MSA} : E^* \times E^* \leftrightarrow E^* \\ [\text{MSA:ssub:def}] \quad & ssub(bag_1, bag_2) \hat{=} bag_1 \ominus bag_2 \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{MSA:ssub:pre}] \quad \text{pre } ssub(bag_1, bag_2) = bag_2 \preceq bag_1$$

Here we want to show that the pre-condition above implies the definition is well-defined. First we expand the precondition as supplied:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{pre } ssub(bag_1, bag_2) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{MSA:ssub:pre}] \text{ ”} \\ & bag_2 \preceq bag_1 \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{MSA:px:def}] : p50 \text{ ”} \\ & bag_2 \sqsubseteq bag_1 \end{aligned}$$

We now compute the precondition of $ssub$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}(ssub(bag_1, bag_2)) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{MSA:ssub:def}] \text{ ”} \\ & \mathcal{D}(bag_1 \ominus bag_2) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ pre-condition for sequence-subtraction ”} \\ & bag_2 \sqsubseteq bag_1 \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{MSA:ssub:events}] \quad & bag_2 \preceq bag_1 \wedge s' = ssub(bag_1, bag_2) \\ & \Rightarrow acc(bag_1) \setminus acc(bag_2) \subseteq acc(s') \subseteq acc(bag_1) \end{aligned}$$

We expand and simplify the antecedent:

$$\begin{aligned} & bag_2 \preceq bag_1 \wedge s' = ssub(bag_1, bag_2) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{MSA:px:def}] : p50, [\text{MSA:ssub:def}] : p54 \text{ ”} \\ & bag_2 \sqsubseteq bag_1 \wedge s' = bag_1 \ominus bag_2 \quad [\text{MSA:ssub:events:hyp}] \end{aligned}$$

Now assume the above and look at consequent:

$$\begin{aligned} & acc(bag_1) \setminus acc(bag_2) \subseteq acc(s') \subseteq acc(bag_1) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{MSA:ACC:def}] : p49 \text{ ”} \\ & dom(bag_1) \setminus dom(bag_2) \subseteq dom(s') \subseteq dom(bag_1) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{MSA:ssub:events:hyp}] \text{ ”} \\ & dom(bag_1) \setminus dom(bag_2) \subseteq dom(bag_1 \ominus bag_2) \subseteq dom(bag_1) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ properties of } dom \text{ w.r.t } \ominus, \subseteq \text{ and } \setminus \text{ ”} \\ & \text{TRUE} \wedge \text{TRUE} \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{MSA:ssub:self}] \quad \text{SSub}(bag, bag) = hnull$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{SSub}(bag, bag) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [MSA:ssub:def]:p54 ”} \\ & bag \ominus bag \\ = & \quad \text{“ property of seq. sub. ”} \\ & \square \\ = & \quad \text{“ [MSA:HN:def]:p49 ”} \\ & hnull \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{MSA:ssub:nil}] \quad \text{SSub}(bag, hnull) = bag$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{SSub}(bag, hnull) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [MSA:ssub:def]:p54, [MSA:HN:def]:p49 ”} \\ & bag \ominus \square \\ = & \quad \text{“ property of seq. sub. ”} \\ & bag \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{MSA:SSub:same}] \quad bag \preceq bag'_a \wedge bag \preceq bag'_b \Rightarrow \\ \quad \text{ssub}(bag'_a, bag, ref) = \text{ssub}(bag'_b, bag) \\ \quad \equiv bag'_a = bag'_b \end{aligned}$$

Proof: the antecedent reduces by [MSA:px:def]:p50 to

$$bag \sqsubseteq bag'_a \wedge bag \sqsubseteq bag'_b$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ssub}(bag'_a, bag) = \text{ssub}(bag'_b, bag) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [MSA:ssub:def]:p54 ”} \\ & bag'_a \ominus bag = bag'_b \ominus bag \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } \sigma \ominus \tau = \nu \ominus \tau \equiv \sigma = \nu \text{ ”} \\ & bag'_a = bag'_b \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{MSA:ssub:subsub}] \quad bag_c \preceq bag_a \wedge bag_c \preceq bag_b \\ \wedge bag_b \preceq bag_a \\ \Rightarrow \text{ssub}(\text{ssub}(bag_a, bag_c), \text{ssub}(bag_b, bag_c)) \\ = \text{ssub}(bag_a, bag_b) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: The antecedent reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned}
& bag_c \sqsubseteq bag_a \wedge bag_c \sqsubseteq bag_b \wedge bag_b \sqsubseteq bag_a \\
& ssub(ssub(bag_a, bag_c), ssub(bag_b, bag_c)) \\
= & \text{ “ [MSA:ssub:def]:p54 ” } \\
& ssub(bag_a \ominus bag_c, bag_b \ominus bag_c) \\
= & \text{ “ [MSA:ssub:def]:p54 ” } \\
& (bag_a \ominus bag_c) \ominus (bag_b \ominus bag_c) \\
= & \text{ “ antecedents, and sequence subtraction property ” } \\
& bag_a \ominus bag_b \\
= & \text{ “ [MSA:ssub:def]:p54, backwards ” } \\
& ssub(bag_a, bag_b)
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{[MSA:sadd:ssub]} \quad bag \preceq bag' & \Rightarrow \\
& sadd(bag, ssub(bag', bag)) = bag'
\end{aligned}$$

First, simplify the antecedent:

$$\begin{aligned}
& bag \preceq bag' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [MSA:px:def]:p50 ” } \\
& bag \sqsubseteq bag' \quad \text{[MSA:sadd:ssub:hyp]}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the consequent:

$$\begin{aligned}
& sadd(bag, ssub(bag', bag)) \\
= & \text{ “ [MSA:ssub:def]:p54 ” } \\
& sadd(bag, bag' \ominus bag) \\
= & \text{ “ [MSA:sadd:def]:p52 ” } \\
& bag \oplus (bag' \ominus bag) \\
= & \text{ “ law of bag subtraction, [MSA:sadd:ssub:hyp] ” } \\
& bag'
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[MSA:ssub:sadd]} \quad ssub(sadd(bag_1, bag_2), bag_1) = bag_2$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& ssub(sadd(bag_1, bag_2), bag_1) \\
= & \text{ “ [MSA:sadd:def]:p52 ” } \\
& ssub(bag_1 \oplus bag_2, bag_1) \\
= & \text{ “ [MSA:ssub:def]:p54 ” } \\
& (bag_1 \oplus bag_2) \ominus bag_1 \\
= & \text{ “ law of bags ” } \\
& bag_2
\end{aligned}$$

5.2.7 Defining $ssync_{MSA}$

$$[MSA:SNC:sig] \quad ssync_{MSA} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow E^* \times E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E^*)$$

We simply work with bag restriction, removal sum and intersection, and return a singleton set:

$$\begin{aligned} [MSA:SNC:sym] \quad & ssync(cs)(bag_1, bag_2) = ssync(cs)(bag_2, bag_1) \\ [MSA:SNC:def] \quad & \\ ssync(cs)(bag_1, bag_2) \hat{=} & \{(cs \triangleleft (bag_1 \oplus bag_2)) \oplus (cs \triangleleft (bag_1 \cap bag_2))\} \\ \text{where} \quad & \cap \text{ is bag interesection} \end{aligned}$$

The following laws need proving:

$$\begin{aligned} [MSA:SNC:one] \quad & \forall s' \in ssync(cs)(s_1, hnull) \bullet acc(s') \subseteq acc(s_1) \setminus cs \\ [MSA:SNC:only] \quad & s' \in acc(ssync(cs)(s_1, s_2)) \Rightarrow acc(s') \subseteq acc(s_1) \cup acc(s_2) \\ [MSA:SNC:sync] \quad & s' \in acc(ssync(cs)(s_1, s_2)) \Rightarrow cs \cap acc(s') \subseteq cs \cap (acc(s_1) \cap acc(s_2)) \\ [MSA:SNC:assoc] \quad & syncset(cs)(s_1)(ssync(cs)(s_2, s_3)) = syncset(cs)(s_3)(ssync(cs)(s_1, s_2)) \end{aligned}$$

The first three can be strengthened to equalities.

5.2.8 Defining $shide_{MSA}$

Finally we need to specify how to hide events in a slot:

$$\begin{aligned} [MSA:SHid:sig] \quad & shide_{MSA} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow E^* \rightarrow E^* \\ [MSA:SHid:def] \quad & shide(hdn)bag \hat{=} hdn \triangleleft bag \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[MSA:SHid:evts] \quad acc(shide(hdn)bag) = acc(bag) \setminus hdn$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & acc(shide(hdn)bag) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [MSA:SHid:def] ”} \\ & acc(hdn \triangleleft bag) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [MSA:ACC:def]:p49 ”} \\ & dom(hdn \triangleleft bag) \\ = & \quad \text{“ bag property ”} \\ & dom(bag) \setminus hdn \\ = & \quad \text{“ [MSA:ACC:def]:p49, backwards ”} \\ & acc(bag) \setminus hdn \end{aligned}$$

6 Slotted-Circus—SA Incarnation

This section presents an incarnation based on the notion of an event history being a set of events.

6.1 Observational Variables

In SA, a history is simply an event set so the history type-constructor for SA is

$$[\text{SA:HIST}] \quad \mathcal{S}A \ E \hat{=} \mathbb{P} \ E$$

6.2 Required Definitions and Proofs

6.2.1 Defining $acc_{\mathcal{S}A}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SA:ACC:sig}] \quad & acc_{\mathcal{S}A} : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \ E \\ [\text{SA:ACC:def}] \quad & acc(evts) \hat{=} evts \end{aligned}$$

6.2.2 Defining $EQVTRC_{\mathcal{S}A}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SA:ET:sig}] \quad & EQVTRC_{\mathcal{S}A} : E^* \leftrightarrow \mathcal{S}A \ E \\ [\text{SA:ET:def}] \quad & EQVTRC(tr, evts) \hat{=} elems(tr) = evts \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{SA:ET:elems}] \quad EQVTRC(tr, evts) \Rightarrow elems(tr) = acc(evts)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & EQVTRC(tr, evts) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{SA:ET:defs}] \text{ ”} \\ & elems(tr) = evts \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{SA:ACC:def}] \text{ ”} \\ & elems(tr) = acc(evts) \end{aligned}$$

6.2.3 Defining $hnull_{\mathcal{S}A}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SA:HN:sig}] \quad & hnull_{\mathcal{S}A} : \mathbb{P} \ E \\ [\text{SA:HN:def}] \quad & hnull \hat{=} \{\} \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{SA:HN:null}] \quad acc(hnull) = \{\}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& acc(hnull) \\
= & \text{“ [SA:HN:def] ”} \\
& acc(\{\}) \\
= & \text{“ [SA:ACC:def]:p58 ”} \\
& \{\}
\end{aligned}$$

6.2.4 Defining \preceq_{SA}

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{[SA:px:sig]} & \quad \preceq_{SA}: \mathbb{P} E \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\
\text{[SA:px:def]} & \quad evts_1 \preceq evts_2 \hat{=} evts_1 \subseteq evts_2
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[SA:px:refl]} \quad evts \preceq evts = \text{TRUE}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& evts \preceq evts \\
\equiv & \text{“ [SA:px:def] ”} \\
& evts \subseteq evts \\
\equiv & \text{“ } \subseteq \text{ is reflexive ”} \\
& \text{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[SA:px:trans]} \quad evts_1 \preceq evts_2 \wedge evts_2 \preceq evts_3 \Rightarrow evts_1 \preceq evts_3$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& evts_1 \preceq evts_2 \wedge evts_2 \preceq evts_3 \\
\equiv & \text{“ [SA:px:def] ”} \\
& evts_1 \subseteq evts_2 \wedge evts_2 \subseteq evts_3 \\
\Rightarrow & \text{“ } \subseteq \text{ is transitive ”} \\
& evts_1 \subseteq evts_3 \\
\equiv & \text{“ [SA:px:def], backwards ”} \\
& evts_1 \preceq evts_3
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[SA:px:anti-sym]} \quad evts_1 \preceq evts_2 \wedge evts_2 \preceq evts_1 \Rightarrow evts_1 = evts_2$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& evts_1 \preceq evts_2 \wedge evts_2 \preceq evts_1 \Rightarrow evts_1 = evts_2 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SA:px:def] ”} \\
& evts_1 \subseteq evts_2 \wedge evts_2 \subseteq evts_1 \Rightarrow evts_1 = evts_2 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ subset relation is anti-symmetric ”} \\
& \mathbf{true}
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{SA:SN:px}] \quad hnull \preceq evts$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& hnull \preceq evts \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SA:HN:def] ”} \\
& \{\} \preceq evts \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SA:px:def] ”} \\
& \{\} \subseteq evts \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ property of } \{\} \text{ and } \subseteq \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

Law, which in this case can be strengthened to an equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SA:ET:px}] \quad evts_1 \preceq evts_2 \\
\equiv \\
\exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet EQVTRC(tr_1, evts_1) \wedge EQVTRC(tr_2, evts_2) \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2
\end{aligned}$$

Proof (Rhs implies Lhs):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet EQVTRC(tr_1, evts_1) \wedge EQVTRC(tr_2, evts_2) \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SA:ET:def]:p58, } s \leq t \Rightarrow elem(s) \subseteq elems(t) \text{ ”} \\
& \exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet elems(tr_1) = evts_1 \wedge elems(tr_2) = evts_2 \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2 \wedge elems(tr_1) \subseteq elems(tr_2) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Leibniz ”} \\
& \exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet elems(tr_1) = evts_1 \wedge elems(tr_2) = evts_2 \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2 \wedge evts_1 \subseteq evts_2 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Move last conjunct out of quantifier ”} \\
& (\exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet elems(tr_1) = evts_1 \wedge elems(tr_2) = evts_2 \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2) \wedge evts_1 \subseteq evts_2 \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \text{“ weaken conunct ”} \\
& evts_1 \subseteq evts_2
\end{aligned}$$

(Lhs implies Rhs): Intuitively true, but difficult to prove (???) . Note that this is the direction we require.

6.2.5 Defining $sadd_{SA}$

We also need to have the notion of adding and subtracting slots, obeying laws, some obvious, the others not so:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[SA:sadd:sig]} \quad & sadd_{SA} : \mathbb{P} E \times \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\ \text{[SA:sadd:def]} \quad & sadd(evts_1, evts_2) \hat{=} evts_1 \cup evts_2 \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[SA:sadd:events]} \quad acc(sadd(evts_1, evts_2)) = acc(evts_1) \cup acc(evts_2)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & acc(sadd(evts_1, evts_2)) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [SA:sadd:def] ”} \\ & evts_1 \cup evts_2 \\ = & \quad \text{“ [SA:ACC:def]:p58, backwards ”} \\ & acc(evts_1) \cup acc(evts_2) \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[SA:sadd:unit]} \quad sadd(evts_1, evts_2) = evts_1 \equiv (evts_2 = hnull)$$

Not true — simplest counterexample is $evts_1 = evts_2$.

Law:

$$\text{[SA:sadd:assoc]} \quad sadd(evts_1, sadd(evts_2, evts_3)) = sadd(sadd(evts_1, evts_2), evts_3)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & sadd(evts_1, sadd(evts_2, evts_3)) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [SA:sadd:def]:p61 ”} \\ & sadd(evts_1, evts_2 \cup evts_3) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [SA:sadd:def]:p61 ”} \\ & evts_1 \cup (evts_2 \cup evts_3) \\ = & \quad \text{“ \cup assoc. ”} \\ & (evts_1 \cup evts_2) \cup evts_3 \\ = & \quad \text{“ [SA:sadd:def]:p61, backwards ”} \\ & sadd(evts_1 \cup evts_2, evts_3) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [SA:sadd:def]:p61, backwards ”} \\ & sadd(sadd(evts_1), evts_2), evts_3 \end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[SA:sadd:prefix]} \quad evts \preceq sadd(evts, evts)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& evts \preceq sadd(evts, evts') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SA:sadd:def]:p61 ”} \\
& evts \preceq evts \cup evts' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SA:px:def]:p59 ”} \\
& evts \subseteq evts \cup evts' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ set property ”} \\
& \text{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

6.2.6 Defining $ssub_{SA}$

We also need to have the notion of adding and subtracting slots, obeying laws, some obvious, the others not so:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{[SA:ssub:sig]} \quad & ssub_{SA} : \mathbb{P} E \times \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\
\text{[SA:ssub:def]} \quad & ssub(evts_1, evts_2) \hat{=} evts_1 \setminus evts_2
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\text{[SA:ssub:pre]} \quad \text{pre } ssub(evts_1, evts_2) = evts_2 \preceq evts_1$$

Here we want to show that the pre-condition above implies the definition is well-defined. First we expand the precondition as supplied:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{pre } ssub(evts_1, evts_2) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SA:ssub:pre] ”} \\
& evts_2 \preceq evts_1 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SA:px:def]:p59 ”} \\
& evts_2 \subseteq evts_1
\end{aligned}$$

We now compute the precondition of $ssub$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}(ssub(evts_1, evts_2)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SA:ssub:def] ”} \\
& \mathcal{D}(evts_1 \setminus evts_2) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ pre-condition for sequence-subtraction ”} \\
& \text{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

We find that $SSub$ is in fact total.

Law:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{[SA:ssub:events]} \quad & evts_2 \preceq evts_1 \wedge s' = ssub(evts_1, evts_2) \\
& \Rightarrow acc(evts_1) \setminus acc(evts_2) \subseteq acc(s') \subseteq acc(evts_1)
\end{aligned}$$

We expand and simplify the antecedent:

$$\begin{aligned}
& evts_2 \preceq evts_1 \wedge s' = ssub(evts_1, evts_2) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SA:px:def]:p59, [SA:ssub:def]:p62 ”} \\
& evts_2 \subseteq evts_1 \wedge s' = evts_1 \setminus evts_2 \quad \text{[SA:ssub:events:hyp]}
\end{aligned}$$

Now assume the above and look at consequent:

$$\begin{aligned}
& acc(ests_1) \setminus acc(ests_2) \subseteq acc(s') \subseteq acc(ests_1) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [SA:ACC:def]:p58 ”} \\
& ests_1 \setminus ests_2 \subseteq s' \subseteq ests_1 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [SA:ssub:events:hyp] ”} \\
& ests_1 \setminus ests_2 \subseteq ests_1 \setminus ests_2 \subseteq ests_1 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ properties of } \setminus \text{ and } \subseteq. \text{ ”} \\
& \text{TRUE} \wedge \text{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{SA:ssub:self}] \quad SSub(ests, ests) = hnull$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& SSub(ests, ests) \\
= & \text{ “ [SA:ssub:def]:p62 ”} \\
& ests \setminus ests \\
= & \text{ “ property of set sub. ”} \\
& \{\} \\
= & \text{ “ [SA:HN:def]:p58 ”} \\
& hnull
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{SA:ssub:nil}] \quad SSub(ests, hnull) = ests$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& SSub(ests, hnull) \\
= & \text{ “ [SA:ssub:def]:p62,[SA:HN:def]:p58 ”} \\
& ests \setminus \{\} \\
= & \text{ “ property of set sub. ”} \\
& ests
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SA:Ssub:same}] \quad & ests \preceq ests'_a \wedge ests \preceq ests'_b \Rightarrow \\
& ssub(ests'_a, ests, ref) = ssub(ests'_b, ests) \\
& \equiv ests'_a = ests'_b
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: the antecedent reduces by [SA:px:def]:p59 to

$$ests \subseteq ests'_a \wedge ests \subseteq ests'_b$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ssub(evts'_a, evts) = ssub(evts'_b, evts) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SA:ssub:def]:p62 ”} \\
& evts'_a \setminus evts = evts'_b \setminus evts \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } S \setminus T = U \setminus T \equiv S = U, \text{ when } T \subseteq S, U. \text{ ”} \\
& evts'_a = evts'_b
\end{aligned}$$

Here we see that the precondition is important, as this property does not hold otherwise.

Law:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{[SA:ssub:subsub]} \quad & evts_c \preceq evts_a \wedge evts_c \preceq evts_b \\
& \wedge evts_b \preceq evts_a \\
& \Rightarrow ssub(ssub(evts_a, evts_c), ssub(evts_b, evts_c)) \\
& = ssub(evts_a, evts_b)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: The antecedent reduces to:

$$evts_c \subseteq evts_a \wedge evts_c \subseteq evts_b \wedge evts_b \subseteq evts_a$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ssub(ssub(evts_a, evts_c), ssub(evts_b, evts_c)) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [SA:ssub:def]:p62 ”} \\
& ssub(evts_a \setminus evts_c, evts_b \setminus evts_c) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [SA:ssub:def]:p62 ”} \\
& (evts_a \setminus evts_c) \setminus (evts_b \setminus evts_c) \\
= & \quad \text{“ antecedents, and set properties ”} \\
& evts_a \setminus evts_b \\
= & \quad \text{“ [SA:ssub:def]:p62, backwards ”} \\
& ssub(evts_a, evts_b)
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{[SA:sadd:ssub]} \quad & evts \preceq evts' \Rightarrow \\
& sadd(evts, ssub(evts', evts)) = evts'
\end{aligned}$$

First, simplify the antecedent:

$$\begin{aligned}
& evts \preceq evts' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SA:pfx:def]:p59 ”} \\
& evts \subseteq evts' \quad \text{[SA:sadd:ssub:hyp]}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the consequent:

$$\begin{aligned}
& sadd(evts, ssub(evts', evts)) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [SA:ssub:def]:p62 ”} \\
& sadd(evts, evts' \setminus evts) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [SA:sadd:def]:p61 ”} \\
& evts \cup (evts' \setminus evts) \\
= & \quad \text{“ law of set subtraction, [SA:sadd:ssub:hyp] ”} \\
& evts'
\end{aligned}$$

Law:

$$[\text{SA:ssub:sadd}] \quad ssub(sadd(evts_1, evts_2), evts_1) = evts_2$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& ssub(sadd(evts_1, evts_2), evts_1) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [SA:sadd:def]:p61 ”} \\
& ssub(evts_1 \cup evts_2, evts_1) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [SA:ssub:def]:p62 ”} \\
& (evts_1 \cup evts_2) \setminus evts_1 \\
= & \quad \text{“ law of bags ”} \\
& evts_2
\end{aligned}$$

6.2.7 Defining $ssync_{SA}$

$$[\text{SA:SNC:sig}] \quad ssync_{SA} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \times \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P} E)$$

We simply work with $evts$ restriction, removal sum and intersection, and return a singleton set:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SA:SNC:sym}] \quad & ssync(cs)(evts_1, evts_2) = ssync(cs)(evts_2, evts_1) \\
[\text{SA:SNC:def}] \quad & ssync(cs)(evts_1, evts_2) \hat{=} \{ ((evts_1 \cup evts_2) \setminus cs) \cup ((evts_1 \cap evts_2) \cap cs) \}
\end{aligned}$$

The following laws need proving:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SA:SNC:one}] \quad & \forall s' \in ssync(cs)(s_1, hnull) \bullet acc(s') \subseteq acc(s_1) \setminus cs \\
[\text{SA:SNC:only}] \quad & s' \in acc(ssync(cs)(s_1, s_2)) \Rightarrow acc(s') \subseteq acc(s_1) \cup acc(s_2) \\
[\text{SA:SNC:sync}] \quad & s' \in acc(ssync(cs)(s_1, s_2)) \Rightarrow cs \cap acc(s') \subseteq cs \cap (acc(s_1) \cap acc(s_2)) \\
[\text{SA:SNC:assoc}] \quad & syncset(cs)(s_1)(ssync(cs)(s_2, s_3)) = syncset(cs)(s_3)(ssync(cs)(s_1, s_2))
\end{aligned}$$

The first three can be strengthened to equalities.

6.2.8 Defining $shide_{SA}$

Finally we need to specify how to hide events in a slot:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{[SA:SHid:sig]} & shide_{SA} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\ \text{[SA:SHid:def]} & shide(hdn)evts \hat{=} evts \setminus hid \end{array}$$

Law:

$$\text{[SA:SHid:evts]} \quad acc(shide(hdn)evts) = acc(evts) \setminus hdn$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & acc(shide(hdn)evts) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [SA:SHid:def] ”} \\ & acc(evts \setminus hid) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [SA:ACC:def]:p58 ”} \\ & evts \setminus hid \\ = & \quad \text{“ [SA:ACC:def]:p58, backwards ”} \\ & acc(evts) \setminus hdn \end{aligned}$$

A Slotted-Circus Foundation Proofs

A.1 Proofs for Derived Definitions

A.1.1 Proof

Of [ETs:sng]:p18

$$EQVTRACE(tr, \langle slot \rangle) \equiv EQVTRC(tr, slot)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& EQVTRACE(tr, \langle slot \rangle) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ list cons notation ”} \\
& EQVTRACE(tr, slot \circ \langle \rangle) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [ETs:def:cons]:p18 ”} \\
& \exists tr_0 \bullet tr_0 \leq tr \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr - tr_0, \langle \rangle) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [ETs:def:nil]:p18 ”} \\
& \exists tr_0 \bullet tr_0 \leq tr \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge tr - tr_0 = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \sigma - \tau = \langle \rangle \equiv \sigma = \tau \text{ ”} \\
& \exists tr_0 \bullet tr_0 \leq tr \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge tr = tr_0 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ one-point rule on } tr_0 \text{ ”} \\
& tr \leq tr \wedge EQVTRC(tr, slot) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ simplify ”} \\
& EQVTRC(tr, slot) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.1.2 Proof

of [ETs:cat]:p18

$$\begin{aligned} & EQVTRACE(tr_a, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & EQVTRACE(tr_a \hat{\wedge} tr_b, slots_a \hat{\wedge} slots_b) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: by induction on structure of $slots_a$.

Base case:

$$\begin{aligned} & EQVTRACE(tr_a, \langle \rangle) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & EQVTRACE(tr_a \hat{\wedge} tr_b, \langle \rangle \hat{\wedge} slots_b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & EQVTRACE(tr_a, \langle \rangle) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(tr_a \hat{\wedge} tr_b, \langle \rangle \hat{\wedge} slots_b) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [ETs:def:nil]:p18, } \langle \rangle \text{ is unit for } \hat{\wedge} \text{ ”} \\ & tr_a = \langle \rangle \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(tr_a \hat{\wedge} tr_b, slots_b) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ Liebniz, } tr_a = \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\ & tr_a = \langle \rangle \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(\langle \rangle \hat{\wedge} tr_b, slots_b) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } \langle \rangle \text{ is unit for } \hat{\wedge} \text{ ”} \\ & tr_a = \langle \rangle \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } A \wedge B \Rightarrow B \text{ ”} \\ & \text{TRUE} \end{aligned}$$

Inductive step, assume:

$$\begin{aligned} & EQVTRACE(tr_a, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\ & \Rightarrow \quad \text{[prf:ETs:cat:hyp]} \\ & EQVTRACE(tr_a \hat{\wedge} tr_b, slots_a \hat{\wedge} slots_b) \end{aligned}$$

Show:

$$\begin{aligned} & EQVTRACE(tr_c, slot \circ slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & EQVTRACE(tr_c \hat{\wedge} tr_b, slot \circ slots_a \hat{\wedge} slots_b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& EQVTRACE(tr_c, slot \circ slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
& \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(tr_c \wedge tr_b, slot \circ slots_a \wedge slots_b) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [ETs:def:cons]:p18 ” } \\
& (\exists tr_0 \bullet tr_0 \leq tr_c \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_c - tr_0, slots_a)) \\
& \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
& \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(tr_c \wedge tr_b, slot \circ slots_a \wedge slots_b) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } tr_0 \text{ not free in } EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \text{ ” } \\
& (\exists tr_0 \bullet \\
& \quad tr_0 \leq tr_c \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_c - tr_0, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b)) \\
& \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(tr_c \wedge tr_b, slot \circ slots_a \wedge slots_b) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } (\exists x \bullet P(x)) \Rightarrow Q \text{ equivales } (\forall x \bullet P(x) \Rightarrow Q) \text{ ” } \\
& \forall tr_0 \bullet \\
& \quad tr_0 \leq tr_c \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_c - tr_0, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
& \quad \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(tr_c \wedge tr_b, slot \circ slots_a \wedge slots_b) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ assume univ. quant. over free vars ” } \\
& tr_0 \leq tr_c \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \\
& \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_c - tr_0, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
& \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(tr_c \wedge tr_b, slot \circ slots_a \wedge slots_b) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ introduce } tr_a = tr_c - tr_0, \text{ and hence } tr_c = tr_0 \wedge tr_a \text{ ” } \\
& tr_0 \leq tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \\
& \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_a, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
& \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge tr_b, slot \circ slots_a \wedge slots_b) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [ETs:def:cons]:p18 ” } \\
& tr_0 \leq tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \\
& \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_a, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
& \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \exists tr_1 \bullet tr_1 \leq tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge tr_b \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRC(tr_1, slot) \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge tr_b - tr_1, slots_a \wedge slots_b) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } P \Rightarrow (\exists x \bullet Q(x)) \text{ equivales } (\exists x \bullet P \Rightarrow Q(x)) \text{ ” } \\
& \exists tr_1 \bullet \\
& \quad tr_0 \leq tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_a, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
& \Rightarrow \\
& \quad tr_1 \leq tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge tr_b \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRC(tr_1, slot) \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge tr_b - tr_1, slots_a \wedge slots_b)
\end{aligned}$$

At this point we introduce:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(tr_1, tr_0) &\hat{=} tr_0 \leq tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \\
&\quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_a, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
&\Rightarrow \\
&\quad tr_1 \leq tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge tr_b \\
&\quad \wedge EQVTRC(tr_1, slot) \\
&\quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge tr_b - tr_1, slots_a \wedge slots_b)
\end{aligned}$$

We now continue our proof as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exists tr_1 \bullet P(tr_1, tr_0) \quad \text{by definition just given} \\
\equiv &\quad \text{“ case split on } tr_1 = tr_0 \text{ ”} \\
&\exists tr_1 \bullet tr_1 = tr_0 \wedge P(tr_1, tr_0) \vee tr_1 \neq tr_0 \wedge P(tr_1, tr_0) \\
\equiv &\quad \text{“ } \vee - \exists \text{ distr. ”} \\
&(\exists tr_1 \bullet tr_1 = tr_0 \wedge P(tr_1, tr_0)) \vee (\exists tr_1 \bullet tr_1 \neq tr_0 \wedge P(tr_1, tr_0)) \\
\equiv &\quad \text{“ one-point rule ”} \\
&P(tr_0, tr_0) \vee (\exists tr_1 \bullet tr_1 \neq tr_0 \wedge P(tr_1, tr_0)) \\
\equiv &\quad \text{“ [prf:ETs:cat:lemma]:p71 ”} \\
&\text{TRUE} \vee (\exists tr_1 \bullet tr_1 \neq tr_0 \wedge P(tr_1, tr_0)) \\
\equiv &\quad \text{“ simplify ”} \\
&\text{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

□

We need to show the following lemma:

$$P(tr_0, tr_0) \equiv \text{TRUE} \quad [\text{prf:ETs:cat:lemma}]$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(tr_0, tr_0) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. of } P \text{ above ”} \\
& tr_0 \leq tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \\
& \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_a, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
\Rightarrow & \\
& \quad tr_0 \leq tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge tr_b \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_0 \wedge tr_a \wedge tr_b - tr_0, slots_a \wedge slots_b) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \sigma \leq \sigma \wedge \dots, \alpha \wedge \beta - \alpha = \beta \text{ ”} \\
& EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_a, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
\Rightarrow & \\
& \quad EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_a \wedge tr_b, slots_a \wedge slots_b) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } A \wedge B \Rightarrow A \wedge C \text{ equivales } A \wedge B \Rightarrow C \text{ ”} \\
& EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_a, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
& \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(tr_a \wedge tr_b, slots_a \wedge slots_b) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } A \wedge B \Rightarrow C \text{ equivales } A \Rightarrow (\text{Bimplies } C \text{ ”} \\
& EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (EQVTRACE(tr_a, slots_a) \wedge EQVTRACE(tr_b, slots_b) \\
& \quad \Rightarrow EQVTRACE(tr_a \wedge tr_b, slots_a \wedge slots_b)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [prf:ETs:cat:hp]:p?? ”} \\
& EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \Rightarrow \text{TRUE} \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ simplify ”} \\
& \text{TRUE} \\
\Box &
\end{aligned}$$

A.1.3 Proof

of [ETs:elems]:p18

$$EqvTraces(tr, slots) \Rightarrow elems(tr) = \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\}$$

Proof by structural induction on slots.

Base case $slots = \langle \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} EqvTraces(tr, \langle \rangle) \Rightarrow elems(tr) &= \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#\langle \rangle} \{acc(\langle \rangle(i))\} \\ \equiv \text{“ [ETs:def:nil]:p18, } \#\langle \rangle &= 0 \text{”} \\ tr = \langle \rangle \Rightarrow elems(tr) &= \bigcup_{i \in 1}^0 \{acc(\langle \rangle(i))\} \\ \equiv \text{“ Liebniz, } tr - \langle \rangle, \text{ null index range”} \\ elems(\langle \rangle) &= \bigcup_{i \in 1}^0 \{\} \\ \equiv \text{“ defn. } elems, \bigcup \text{”} \\ \{\} &= \{\} \\ \equiv \text{“ reflexivity of =”} \\ & \text{TRUE} \end{aligned}$$

Inductive step, assuming

$$EqvTraces(tr, slots) \Rightarrow elems(tr) = \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \quad [\text{prf:ETs:elems:hyp}]$$

to show

$$EqvTraces(tr', slot \circledast slots) \Rightarrow elems(tr') = \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#(slot \circledast slots)} \{acc((slot \circledast slots)(i))\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& EqvTraces(tr', slot \circ slots) \\
& \Rightarrow elems(tr') = \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#(slot \circ slots)} \{acc((slot \circ slots)(i))\} \\
\equiv & \text{“ defs. of } \# \text{ and list indexing ”} \\
& EqvTraces(tr', slot \circ slots) \\
& \Rightarrow elems(tr') = \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#(slots)+1} \{acc(slot \triangleleft i = 1 \triangleright slots(i - 1))\} \\
\equiv & \text{“ range split, re-indexing, eval. conditional ”} \\
& EqvTraces(tr', slot \circ slots) \\
& \Rightarrow elems(tr') = acc(slot) \cup \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
\equiv & \text{“ [ETs:def:cons]:p18 ”} \\
& (\exists tr_0 \bullet \\
& \quad tr_0 \leq tr' \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EqvTraces(tr' - tr_0, slots)) \\
& \Rightarrow elems(tr') = acc(slot) \cup \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
\equiv & \text{“ } (\exists x \bullet P) \Rightarrow Q \equiv \forall x \bullet P \Rightarrow Q, \text{ drop } \forall \text{ ”} \\
& tr_0 \leq tr' \\
& \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EqvTraces(tr' - tr_0, slots) \\
& \Rightarrow elems(tr') = acc(slot) \cup \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
\equiv & \text{“ introduce } tr = tr' - tr_0 \text{ ”} \\
& tr_0 \leq tr' \\
& \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EqvTraces(tr, slots) \\
& \Rightarrow elems(tr') = acc(slot) \cup \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
\equiv & \text{“ } tr' = tr_0 \hat{\wedge} tr \text{ ”} \\
& tr_0 \leq tr_0 \hat{\wedge} tr \\
& \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EqvTraces(tr, slots) \\
& \Rightarrow elems(tr_0 \hat{\wedge} tr) = acc(slot) \cup \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
\equiv & \text{“ } \sigma \leq \sigma \hat{\wedge} \tau, \text{ defn. } elems \text{ ”}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EqvTraces(tr, slots) \\
& \Rightarrow elems(tr_0) \cup elems(tr) = acc(slot) \cup \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [ET:elems]:p17 ” } \\
& EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge elems(tr_0) = acc(slot) \wedge EqvTraces(tr, slots) \\
& \Rightarrow elems(tr_0) \cup elems(tr) = acc(slot) \cup \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
\equiv & \text{ “ Liebniz, elems(tr_0) = acc(slot) ” } \\
& EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EqvTraces(tr, slots) \\
& \Rightarrow acc(slot) \cup elems(tr) = acc(slot) \cup \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [prf:ETs:elems:hyp]:p72 ” } \\
& EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EqvTraces(tr, slots) \\
& \wedge elems(tr) = \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
& \Rightarrow acc(slot) \cup elems(tr) = acc(slot) \cup \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
\equiv & \text{ “ Liebniz, elems(tr) = ... ” } \\
& EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EqvTraces(tr, slots) \\
& \wedge elems(tr) = \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
& \Rightarrow acc(slot) \cup \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} = acc(slot) \cup \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
\equiv & \text{ “ reflexivity of = ” } \\
& EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EqvTraces(tr, slots) \\
& \wedge elems(tr) = \bigcup_{i \in 1}^{\#slots} \{acc(slots(i))\} \\
& \Rightarrow \text{TRUE} \\
\equiv & \text{ “ simplify ” } \\
& \text{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

□

A.1.4 Proof

of [ETs:null]:p18

$$EqvTraces(\langle \rangle, slots) \equiv \forall i \in 1 \dots \#slots \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, slots(i))$$

Proof by structural induction on *slots*.Base case $slots = \langle \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} & EqvTraces(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle) \equiv \forall i \in 1 \dots \#\langle \rangle \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle(i)) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [ETs:def:nil]:p18, and } \{1 \dots \#\langle \rangle\} = \emptyset \text{”} \\ & \langle \rangle = \langle \rangle \equiv \forall i \in \emptyset \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle(i)) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ refl. of } =, \forall x : \emptyset \bullet P = \text{TRUE} \text{”} \\ & \text{TRUE} \equiv \text{TRUE} \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ simplify ”} \\ & \text{TRUE} \end{aligned}$$

Inductive case, assuming

$$EqvTraces(\langle \rangle, slots) \equiv \forall i \in 1 \dots \#slots \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, slots(i)) \quad [\text{prf:ETs:null:hyp}]$$

to show

$$EqvTraces(\langle \rangle, slot \circledast slots) \equiv \forall i \in 1 \dots \#(slot \circledast slots) \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, (slot \circledast slots)(i))$$

We start with the lhs, to simplify:

$$\begin{aligned} & EqvTraces(\langle \rangle, slot \circledast slots) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [ETs:def:cons]:p18 ”} \\ & \exists tr_0 \bullet tr_0 \leq \langle \rangle \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EQVTRACE(\langle \rangle - tr_0, slots) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ } \sigma \leq \langle \rangle \Rightarrow \sigma = \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\ & \exists tr_0 \bullet tr_0 = \langle \rangle \wedge EQVTRC(tr_0, slot) \wedge EQVTRACE(\langle \rangle - tr_0, slots) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ one-point rule, } tr_0 = \langle \rangle, \langle \rangle - \langle \rangle = \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\ & EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, slot) \wedge EQVTRACE(\langle \rangle, slots) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [prf:ETs:null:hyp]:p75 ”} \\ & EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, slot) \wedge \forall i \in 1 \dots \#slots \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, slots(i)) \end{aligned}$$

We now look at the rhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall i \in 1 \dots \#(slot \circ slots) \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, (slot \circ slots)(i)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. \# ,sequence indexing ”} \\
& \forall i \in 1 \dots \#slots + 1 \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, (slot \triangleleft i = 1 \triangleright slots(i - 1))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ range split } 1 \mid 2 \dots \#slots + 1 \text{ ”} \\
& \forall i \in \{1\} \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, (slot \triangleleft i = 1 \triangleright slots(i - 1))) \\
& \wedge \\
& \forall i \in 2 \dots \#slots + 1 \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, (slot \triangleleft i = 1 \triangleright slots(i - 1))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ simplify for } i = 1, \text{ and let } j = i - 1 \text{ ”} \\
& EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, slot) \wedge \\
& \forall j - 1 \in 2 \dots \#slots + 1 \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, (slot \triangleleft j + 1 = 1 \triangleright slots((j + 1 - 1)))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ simplify, noting } j + 1 \neq 1 \text{ ”} \\
& EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, slot) \wedge \forall j \in 1 \dots \#slots \bullet EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, slots(j))
\end{aligned}$$

The lhs and rhs are the same, modulo α -substitution.

□

A.1.5 Proof

of [EX:subseq]:p19

$$slots_a \leq slots_b \Rightarrow slots_a \preceq slots_b$$

Proof by induction on rules for \leq , noting that $slots$ are non-emptyBase case $slots_a = \langle slot \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle slot \rangle \leq slot \circ slots'_b \Rightarrow \langle slot \rangle \preceq (slot \circ slots_b) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. } \leq, \text{ TRUE} \Rightarrow A = A \text{ ”} \\
& \langle slot \rangle \preceq (slot \circ slots_b) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EX:def]:p19 ”} \\
& front(\langle slot \rangle) < (slot \circ slots_b) \wedge last(\langle slot \rangle) \preceq (slot \circ slots_b)(\# \langle slot \rangle) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defs: } front, last \# \text{ ”} \\
& \langle \rangle < (slot \circ slots_b) \wedge slot \preceq (slot \circ slots_b)(1) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \langle \rangle < x \circ \dots, \text{ defn. indexing ”} \\
& \text{TRUE} \wedge slot \preceq slot \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [pfx:refl]:p17 ”} \\
& \text{TRUE} \wedge \text{TRUE} \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ simplify ”} \\
& \text{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

Inductive step, assuming [prf:EX:subseq:hyp], $slots_a \leq slots_b \Rightarrow slots_a \preceq slots_b$ to show

$$slot \circ slots_a \leq slot \circ slots_b \Rightarrow slot \circ slots_a \preceq slot \circ slots_b$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& slot \circ slots_a \leq slot \circ slots_b \Rightarrow slot \circ slots_a \preceq slot \circ slots_b \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn } \leq, \preceq \text{ ”} \\
& slots_a \leq slots_b \Rightarrow \\
& front(slot \circ slots_a) < slot \circ slots_b \\
& \quad \wedge last(slot \circ slots_a) \preceq (slot \circ slots_b)(\#(slot \circ slots_a)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn } front, last, \# \text{ ”} \\
& slots_a \leq slots_b \Rightarrow \\
& slot \circ front(slots_a) < slot \circ slots_b \\
& \quad \wedge last(slots_a) \preceq (slot \circ slots_b)(\#(slots_a) + 1) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. } <, \text{ indexing ”} \\
& slots_a \leq slots_b \Rightarrow \\
& front(slots_a) < slots_b \wedge last(slots_a) \preceq slots_b(\#slots_a) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EX:def]:p19, backwards ”} \\
& slots_a < slots_b \Rightarrow slots_a \preceq slots_b \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [prf:EX:subseq:hyp]:p77 ”} \\
& \text{TRUE}
\end{aligned}$$

□

A.1.6 Proof

of [EX:refl]:p19

$$slots \preceq slots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& slots \preceq slots \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [EX:def]:p19 ”} \\
& front(slots) < slots \wedge last(slots) \preceq slots(\#slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ property of front ”} \\
& \text{TRUE} \wedge last(slots) \preceq slots(\#slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } last(\sigma) = \sigma(\#\sigma) \text{ ”} \\
& \text{TRUE} \wedge last(slots) \preceq last(slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [pfx:refl]:p17 ”} \\
& \text{TRUE} \wedge \text{TRUE} \\
\equiv & \text{ “ simplify ”} \\
& \text{TRUE} \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.1.7 Proof

of [EX:trans]:p19

$$slots_a \preceq slots_b \wedge slots_b \preceq slots_c \Rightarrow slots_a \preceq slots_c$$

First expand the antecedent out:

$$\begin{aligned}
& slots_a \preceq slots_b \wedge slots_b \preceq slots_c \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [EX:def]:p19 ” } \\
& front(slots_a) < slots_b \wedge last(slots_a) \preceq slots_b(\#slots_a) \\
& \wedge \\
& front(slots_b) < slots_c \wedge last(slots_b) \preceq slots_c(\#slots_b) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ reorganise ” } \\
& front(slots_a) < slots_b \wedge front(slots_b) < slots_c \\
& \wedge \\
& last(slots_a) \preceq slots_b(\#slots_a) \wedge last(slots_b) \preceq slots_c(\#slots_b) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq:FrontLT:trans]:p215 ” } \\
& front(slots_a) < slots_b \wedge front(slots_b) < slots_c \wedge front(slots_a) < slots_c \\
& \wedge \\
& last(slots_a) \preceq slots_b(\#slots_a) \wedge last(slots_b) \preceq slots_c(\#slots_b)
\end{aligned}$$

At this point we do a case-split on $\#slots_a = \#slots_b$.Case 1 $\#slots_a = \#slots_b$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& front(slots_a) < slots_b \wedge front(slots_b) < slots_c \wedge front(slots_a) < slots_c \\
& \wedge \\
& last(slots_a) \preceq slots_b(\#slots_a) \wedge last(slots_b) \preceq slots_c(\#slots_b) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ Leibniz } \#slots_a = \#slots_b \text{ ” } \\
& front(slots_a) < slots_b \wedge front(slots_b) < slots_c \wedge front(slots_a) < slots_c \\
& \wedge \\
& last(slots_a) \preceq slots_b(\#slots_b) \wedge last(slots_b) \preceq slots_c(\#slots_a) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq>Last:index]:p?? ” } \\
& front(slots_a) < slots_b \wedge front(slots_b) < slots_c \wedge front(slots_a) < slots_c \\
& \wedge \\
& last(slots_a) \preceq last(slots_b) \wedge last(slots_b) \preceq slots_c(\#slots_a) \\
\Rightarrow & \text{ “ [pfx:trans]:p17, weaken ” } \\
& front(slots_a) < slots_c \\
& \wedge \\
& last(slots_a) \preceq slots_c(\#slots_a) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [EX:def]:p19, backwards ” } \\
& slots_a \preceq slots_c
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2 $\#slots_a \neq \#slots_b$.

First, we note that $front(slots_a) < slots_b \Rightarrow \#slots_a \leq \#slots_b$ (by [Seq:FrontLT:len]:p214), so we can strengthen the case to $\#slots_a < \#slots_b$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& front(slots_a) < slots_b \wedge front(slots_b) < slots_c \wedge front(slots_a) < slots_c \\
& \wedge \\
& last(slots_a) \preceq slots_b(\#slots_a) \wedge last(slots_b) \preceq slots_c(\#slots_b) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [prf:EX:trans:lemma1]:p81 ”} \\
& front(slots_a) < slots_b \wedge front(slots_b) < slots_c \wedge front(slots_a) < slots_c \\
& \wedge \\
& last(slots_a) \preceq slots_b(\#slots_a) \wedge last(slots_b) \preceq slots_c(\#slots_b) \\
& \wedge slots_b(\#slots_a) = slots_c(\#slots_a) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Liebniz } slots_b(\#slots_a) = slots_c(\#slots_a) \text{ ”} \\
& front(slots_a) < slots_b \wedge front(slots_b) < slots_c \wedge front(slots_a) < slots_c \\
& \wedge \\
& last(slots_a) \preceq slots_c(\#slots_a) \wedge last(slots_b) \preceq slots_c(\#slots_b) \\
& \wedge slots_b(\#slots_a) = slots_c(\#slots_a) \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \text{“ weaken ”} \\
& front(slots_a) < slots_c \wedge last(slots_a) \preceq slots_c(\#slots_a) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EX:def]:p19, backwards ”} \\
& slots_a \preceq slots_c
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma [prf:EX:trans:lemma1]

$$\#a < \#b \wedge \text{front}(a) \leq b \wedge \text{front}(b) \leq c \Rightarrow b(\#a) = c(\#a)$$

Start with antecedent:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \#a < \#b \wedge \text{front}(a) \leq b \wedge \text{front}(b) \leq c \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:LE:prefix]:p214 ”} \\
& \#a < \#b \wedge \text{front}(a) \leq b \wedge \text{front}(b) \leq c \\
& \wedge \forall i : 1 \dots \#(\text{front}(b)) \bullet (\text{front}(b))(i) = c(i) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:Front:index]:p214 ”} \\
& \#a < \#b \wedge \text{front}(a) \leq b \wedge \text{front}(b) \leq c \\
& \wedge \forall i : 1 \dots \#(\text{front}(b)) \bullet (\text{front}(b))(i) = c(i) \\
& \wedge \forall j : 1 \dots \#(\text{front}(b)) \bullet (\text{front}(b))(j) = b(j) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:Front:len]:p214 ”} \\
& \#a < \#b \wedge \text{front}(a) \leq b \wedge \text{front}(b) \leq c \\
& \wedge \forall i : 1 \dots (\#b) - 1 \bullet (\text{front}(b))(i) = c(i) \\
& \wedge \forall j : 1 \dots (\#b) - 1 \bullet (\text{front}(b))(j) = b(j) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ \#b \leq \#c, by [Seq:FrontLT:len]:p214 ”} \\
& \#a < \#b \wedge \#b \leq \#c \wedge \text{front}(a) \leq b \wedge \text{front}(b) \leq c \\
& \wedge \forall i : 1 \dots (\#b) - 1 \bullet (\text{front}(b))(i) = c(i) \\
& \wedge \forall j : 1 \dots (\#b) - 1 \bullet (\text{front}(b))(j) = b(j) \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \text{“ \#a \in 1 \dots (\#b) - 1, instantiate ”} \\
& \#a < \#b \wedge \#b \leq \#c \wedge \text{front}(a) \leq b \wedge \text{front}(b) \leq c \\
& \wedge (\text{front}(b))(\#a) = c(\#a) \wedge (\text{front}(b))(\#a) = b(\#a) \\
\Rightarrow & \quad \text{“ trans. of =, weaken ”} \\
& c(\#a) = b(\#a) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.1.8 Proof

of [EX:anti]:p19

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall \text{slot}_a, \text{slot}_b \bullet \text{slot}_a \preceq \text{slot}_b \wedge \text{slot}_b \preceq \text{slot}_a \Rightarrow \text{slot}_a = \text{slot}_b) \\
& \Rightarrow \\
& (\text{slots}_a \preccurlyeq \text{slots}_b \wedge \text{slots}_b \preccurlyeq \text{slots}_a \Rightarrow \text{slots}_a = \text{slots}_b)
\end{aligned}$$

We assume

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{[EX:anti:hyp1]} \quad & \text{slot}_a \preceq \text{slot}_b \wedge \text{slot}_b \preceq \text{slot}_a \Rightarrow \text{slot}_a = \text{slot}_b \\
\text{[EX:anti:hyp2]} \quad & \text{slots}_a \preccurlyeq \text{slots}_b \\
\text{[EX:anti:hyp3]} \quad & \text{slots}_b \preccurlyeq \text{slots}_a
\end{aligned}$$

in order to show

$$\text{slots}_a = \text{slots}_b$$

We first expand the definition of \preccurlyeq in the 2nd and 3rd hypotheses:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{[EX:anti:hyp2]'} \quad & \text{front}(\text{slots}_a) < \text{slots}_b \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}_a) \preceq \text{slots}_b(\#\text{slots}_a) \\
\text{[EX:anti:hyp3]'} \quad & \text{front}(\text{slots}_b) < \text{slots}_a \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \preceq \text{slots}_a(\#\text{slots}_b)
\end{aligned}$$

We start with this:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{front}(\text{slots}_a) < \text{slots}_b \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}_a) \preceq \text{slots}_b(\#\text{slots}_a) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{front}(\text{slots}_b) < \text{slots}_a \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \preceq \text{slots}_a(\#\text{slots}_b) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \text{“ [Seq:FrontLT:anti]:p215 ”} \\
& \text{front}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{front}(\text{slots}_b) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}_a) \preceq \text{slots}_b(\#\text{slots}_a) \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \preceq \text{slots}_a(\#\text{slots}_b) \\
\equiv \quad & \text{“ [Seq:Front:len]:p214 ”} \\
& \text{front}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{front}(\text{slots}_b) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}_a) \preceq \text{slots}_b(\#\text{slots}_b) \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \preceq \text{slots}_a(\#\text{slots}_a) \\
\equiv \quad & \text{“ [Seq>Last:index]:p??, slots}_a, \text{slots}_b \neq \langle \rangle \text{”} \\
& \text{front}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{front}(\text{slots}_b) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}_a) \preceq \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \preceq \text{last}(\text{slots}_a) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \text{“ [EX:anti:hyp1]:p82 ”} \\
& \text{front}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{front}(\text{slots}_b) \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \\
\equiv \quad & \text{“ [Seq:Front-Last:eq]:p214 ”} \\
& \text{slots}_a = \text{slots}_b
\end{aligned}$$

□

A.1.9 Proof

of [EX:null]:p19

$$\langle snull(r) \rangle \preceq slots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle snull(r) \rangle \preceq slots \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [EX:def]:p19 ”} \\
& front\langle snull(r) \rangle < slots \wedge last\langle snull(r) \rangle \preceq slots(\#\langle snull(r) \rangle) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ defn. front, last, \# ”} \\
& \langle \rangle < slots \wedge snull(r) \preceq slots(1) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq:SPfx:def:sng]:p?? noting slots \neq \langle \rangle, [SN:px]:p17 ”} \\
& \text{TRUE} \wedge \text{TRUE} \\
\equiv & \text{ “ simplify ”} \\
& \text{TRUE} \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.1.10 Proof

of [EX:dif]:p22

$$slots \preceq slots' \equiv \langle snull(r) \rangle \preceq dif(slots', slots)$$

The left-to-right implication is true, via [EX:null]:p19, as it asserts that [DF:pre]:p21 is satisfied in the antecedent.

So we focus on the right-to-left implication:

$$\langle snull(r) \rangle \preceq dif(slots', slots) \Rightarrow slots \preceq slots'$$

The antecedent is only well-defined if the consequent holds, so we can proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle snull(r) \rangle \preceq dif(slots', slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ defined if [DF:pre]:p21 holds ”} \\
& \langle snull(r) \rangle \preceq dif(slots', slots) \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\Rightarrow & \text{ “ } A \wedge B \Rightarrow B \text{ ”} \\
& slots \preceq slots' \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.1.11 Proof

of [DF:ER:first]:p22

$$eqvref(sl_1 \searrow sl_2) = eqvref(sl_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& eqvref(sl_1 \searrow sl_2) \\
= & \text{ “ [ER:def]:p18 ”} \\
& sref(last(sl_1 \searrow sl_2)) \\
= & \text{ “ [DF:def]:p21 ”} \\
& sref(last((s_1 \searrow s_2) \circ sfx)) \\
& \mathbf{where } s_2 = last(sl_2) \\
& (s_1 \circ sfx) = sl_1 - front(sl_2)
\end{aligned}$$

We do a case split on $sfx = \langle \rangle$ **Case Split** $sfx = \langle \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
& sref(last((s_1 \searrow s_2) \circ sfx)) \\
& \mathbf{where } s_2 = last(sl_2) \\
& (s_1 \circ sfx) = sl_1 - front(sl_2) \\
= & \text{ “ } sfx = \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& sref(last(\langle (s_1 \searrow s_2) \rangle)) \\
& \mathbf{where } s_2 = last(sl_2) \\
& (s_1 \circ \langle \rangle) = sl_1 - front(sl_2) \\
= & \text{ “ } last(\langle x \rangle) = x \text{ ”} \\
& sref(s_1 \searrow s_2) \\
& \mathbf{where } s_2 = last(sl_2) \\
& (s_1 \circ \langle \rangle) = sl_1 - front(sl_2) \\
= & \text{ “ [SSub:ref]:p14, discard } s_2 \text{ ”} \\
& sref(s_1) \\
& \mathbf{where } (s_1 \circ \langle \rangle) = sl_1 - front(sl_2) \\
= & \text{ “ } s_1 = head(sl_1 - front(sl_2)) = last(sl_1 - front(sl_2)), \text{ as tail is nil ”} \\
& sref(last(sl_1 - front(sl_2)))
\end{aligned}$$

Case Split $sfx \neq \langle \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
& sref(last((s_1 \setminus s_2) \circ sfx)) \\
& \text{where } s_2 = last(sl_2) \\
& \quad (s_1 \circ sfx) = sl_1 - front(sl_2) \\
= & \quad \text{“ } last(x \circ \sigma) = last(\sigma), \text{ when } \sigma \neq \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& sref(last(sfx)) \\
& \text{where } s_2 = last(sl_2) \\
& \quad (s_1 \circ sfx) = sl_1 - front(sl_2) \\
= & \quad \text{“ } last(\sigma) = last(x \circ \sigma), \text{ if } \sigma \neq \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& sref(last(s_1 \circ sfx)) \\
& \text{where } s_2 = last(sl_2) \\
& \quad (s_1 \circ sfx) = sl_1 - front(sl_2) \\
= & \quad \text{“ Apply where-clause ”} \\
& sref(last(sl_1 - front(sl_2)))
\end{aligned}$$

End Case Split

$$\begin{aligned}
& sref(last(sl_1 - front(sl_2))) \\
= & \quad \text{“ } last(\sigma - \tau) = last(\sigma), \text{ when } \sigma - \tau \neq \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& sref(last(sl_1)) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [ER:def]:p18 backwards ”} \\
& eqvref(sl_1) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.1.12 Proof

of [DF:len]:p22

$$\#(sl_1 \searrow sl_2) = 1 + \#sl_1 - \#sl_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \#(sl_1 \searrow sl_2) \\
= & \text{ “ [DF:def]:p21 ”} \\
& \#(ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx) \\
& \mathbf{where} \ slot = last(sl_2) \wedge (slot' \circ sfx) = sl_1 - front(sl_2) \\
= & \text{ “ } \#(x \circ \sigma) = 1 + \#\sigma \text{ ”} \\
& 1 + \#sfx \\
& \mathbf{where} \ slot = last(sl_2) \wedge (slot' \circ sfx) = sl_1 - front(sl_2) \\
= & \text{ “ drop } slot, slot' \text{ defns, and express } sfx \text{ as } tail(\dots) \text{ ”} \\
& 1 + \#(tail(sl_1 - front(sl_2))) \\
= & \text{ “ } tail \text{ reduces by 1, cancelling first one. ”} \\
& \#(sl_1 - front(sl_2)) \\
= & \text{ “ } \#(\sigma - \tau) = \#\sigma - \#\tau \text{ ”} \\
& \#sl_1 - \#(front(sl_1)) \\
= & \text{ “ } front \text{ reduces by 1 ”} \\
& \#sl_1 - (\#(sl_1) - 1) \\
= & \text{ “ arithmetic ”} \\
& 1 + \#sl_1 - \#sl_2 \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.1.13 Proof

of [EX:prefix]:p19

$$ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2 \preceq ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_3 \equiv ss_2 \preceq ss_3$$

Proof by induction on ss_1 .Case 1 : $ss_1 = \langle s \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
& s \circ ss_2 \preceq s \circ ss_3 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EX:def]:p19 ”} \\
& front(s \circ ss_2) < s \circ ss_3 \wedge last(s \circ ss_2) \preceq (s \circ ss_3)(\#(s \circ ss_2)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. front, last, \# ”} \\
& s \circ front(ss_2) < s \circ ss_3 \wedge last(ss_2) \preceq (s \circ ss_3)(\#ss_2 + 1) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. <, indexing ”} \\
& front(ss_2) < ss_3 \wedge last(ss_2) \preceq (ss_3)(\#ss_2) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EX:def]:p19, backwards ”} \\
& ss_2 \preceq ss_3
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2 : Assume

$$ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2 \preceq ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_3 \equiv ss_2 \preceq ss_3 \quad \text{[EX:prefix:hyp1]}$$

to show

$$s \circ ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2 \preceq s \circ ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_3 \equiv ss_2 \preceq ss_3$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& s \circ ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2 \preceq s \circ ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_3 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EX:def]:p19 ”} \\
& front(s \circ ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2) < s \circ ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_3 \wedge last(s \circ ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2) \preceq (s \circ ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_3)(\#(s \circ ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defns. front, last and \#, using } (x \circ \sigma) \hat{\wedge} \tau = x \circ (\sigma \hat{\wedge} \tau). \text{ ”} \\
& s \circ front(ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2) < s \circ ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_3 \wedge last(ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2) \preceq (s \circ ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_3)(\#(ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2) + 1) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. <, indexing ”} \\
& front(ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2) < ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_3 \wedge last(ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2) \preceq (ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_3)(\#(ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EX:def]:p19, backwards ”} \\
& ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_2 \preceq ss_1 \hat{\wedge} ss_3 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EX:prefix:hyp1]:p87 ”} \\
& ss_2 \preceq ss_3
\end{aligned}$$

□

A.1.14 Proof

of [EX:sng]:p19

$$\langle s_1 \rangle \preceq s_2 \circ ss \equiv s_1 \preceq s_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle s_1 \rangle \preceq s_2 \circ ss \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [EX:def]:p19 ” } \\
& \text{front}(\langle s_1 \rangle) < s_2 \circ ss \wedge \text{last}(\langle s_1 \rangle) \preceq (s_2 \circ ss)(\#\langle s_1 \rangle) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ defn. front, \# ” } \\
& \langle \rangle < s_2 \circ ss \wedge s_1 \preceq (s_2 \circ ss)(1) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ seq. ordering, seq. indexing ” } \\
& \text{TRUE} \wedge s_1 \preceq s_2 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ logic ” } \\
& s_1 \preceq s_2 \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.1.15 Proof

of [EX;EX]:p20

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{[EX;EX]} \quad (slots \preccurlyeq slots'); (slots \preccurlyeq slots') = (slots \preccurlyeq slots') \\
& \\
& \quad ((slots \preccurlyeq slots'); (slots \preccurlyeq slots')) \\
& \equiv \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32, drop un-used obs. vars. ”} \\
& \quad \exists slots_0 \bullet slots \preccurlyeq slots_0 \wedge slots_0 \preccurlyeq slots' \\
& \equiv \quad \text{“ [EX:trans]:p19 ”} \\
& \quad \exists slots_0 \bullet slots \preccurlyeq slots_0 \wedge slots_0 \preccurlyeq slots' \wedge slots \preccurlyeq slots' \\
& \equiv \quad \text{“ last conjunct free for bound vars ”} \\
& \quad slots \preccurlyeq slots' \wedge \exists slots_0 \bullet slots \preccurlyeq slots_0 \wedge slots_0 \preccurlyeq slots' \\
& \equiv \quad \text{“ [EX:refl]:p19 ”} \\
& \quad slots \preccurlyeq slots \wedge slots \preccurlyeq slots' \wedge \exists slots_0 \bullet slots \preccurlyeq slots_0 \wedge slots_0 \preccurlyeq slots' \\
& \equiv \quad \text{“ } (P(c) \wedge \exists x \bullet P(x)) \equiv P(c), \text{ here } x \text{ is } slots_0, c \text{ is } slots \text{ ”} \\
& \quad slots \preccurlyeq slots \wedge slots \preccurlyeq slots' \\
& \equiv \quad \text{“ [EX:refl]:p19 ”} \\
& \quad slots \preccurlyeq slots' \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.1.16 Proof

of [SEQV:expand]:p20

$$slots_1 \cong slots_2 = front(slots_1) = front(slots_2) \wedge last(slots_1) \approx last(slots_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& slots_1 \cong slots_2 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [SEQV:def]:p20 ” } \\
& slots_1 \preceq slots_2 \wedge slots_2 \preceq slots_1 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [EX:def]:p19 ” } \\
& front(slots_1) < slots_2 \wedge last(slots_1) \preceq slots_2(\#slots_1) \\
& \wedge front(slots_2) < slots_1 \wedge last(slots_2) \preceq slots_1(\#slots_2) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq:FrontLT:eqv] ” } \\
& front(slots_1) = front(slots_2) \\
& \wedge last(slots_1) \preceq slots_2(\#slots_1) \wedge last(slots_2) \preceq slots_1(\#slots_2) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq:Front:len] ” } \\
& front(slots_1) = front(slots_2) \wedge \#slots_1 = \#slots_2 \\
& \wedge last(slots_1) \preceq slots_2(\#slots_1) \wedge last(slots_2) \preceq slots_1(\#slots_2) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ Leibniz ” } \\
& front(slots_1) = front(slots_2) \wedge \#slots_1 = \#slots_2 \\
& \wedge last(slots_1) \preceq slots_2(\#slots_2) \wedge last(slots_2) \preceq slots_1(\#slots_1) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq>Last:index], noting sequences are non-empty ” } \\
& front(slots_1) = front(slots_2) \wedge \#slots_1 = \#slots_2 \\
& \wedge last(slots_1) \preceq last(slots_2) \wedge last(slots_2) \preceq last(slots_1) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [SEQV:def] ” } \\
& front(slots_1) = front(slots_2) \wedge \#slots_1 = \#slots_2 \wedge last(slots_1) \approx last(slots_2) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq:Front:len], reversed. ” } \\
& front(slots_1) = front(slots_2) \wedge last(slots_1) \approx last(slots_2) \\
\equiv & \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.2 Useful Sequence Shorthands

[F:s-hand]	$F = \textit{front}$
[L:s-hand]	$L = \textit{last}$
[H:s-hand]	$H = \textit{head}$
[T:s-hand]	$T = \textit{tail}$

A.2.1 Proof

of [CAT:assoc]:p21

$$sl_1 \# (sl_2 \# sl_3) = (sl_1 \# sl_2) \# sl_3$$

We use the shorthands on p91.

We let $sl_i = s_i \circ ss_i$, noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & (s_i \circ ss_i) \# (s_j \circ ss_j) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [CAT:def]:p21 ”} \\ & (s_i \# s_j) : ss_j \triangleleft ss_i = \langle \rangle \triangleright s_i \circ (rr_i \wedge (r_i \# s_j) \circ ss_j) \\ & \text{where } rr_i \circ r_i = ss_i \\ & \text{[CAT:assoc:def']} \end{aligned}$$

We reformulate the goal:

$$(s_1 \circ ss_1) \# ((s_2 \circ ss_2) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3)) = ((s_1 \circ ss_1) \# (s_2 \circ ss_2)) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3)$$

Looking at the expanded definition of $\#$ it is clear we need a case split on both $ss_1 = \langle \rangle$ and $ss_2 = \langle \rangle$.

We use the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[CAT:sngl]} \quad & (s_i \circ \langle \rangle) \# (s_j \circ ss_j) = (s_i \# s_j) \circ ss_j \\ \text{[CAT:many]} \quad & (s_i \circ (rr_i \circ r_i)) \# (s_j \circ ss_j) = s_i : (rr_i \wedge ((r_i \# s_j) \circ ss_j)) \\ \text{[CAT:click]} \quad & (rr_i \circ r_i) \# (s_j \circ ss_j) = rr_i \wedge ((r_i \# s_j) \circ ss_j) \\ & = (rr_i \circ (r_i \# s_j)) \wedge ss_j \end{aligned}$$

Case 1 $ss_1 = \langle \rangle \wedge ss_2 = \langle \rangle$

Lhs:

$$\begin{aligned} & (s_1 \circ \langle \rangle) \# ((s_2 \circ \langle \rangle) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3)) \\ = & \text{“ [CAT:sng]:p92 ”} \\ & (s_1 \circ \langle \rangle) \# (s_2 \# s_3) \circ ss_3 \end{aligned}$$

Rhs:

$$\begin{aligned} & ((s_1 \circ \langle \rangle) \# (s_2 \circ \langle \rangle)) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3) \\ = & \text{“ [CAT:sng]:p92 ”} \\ & (s_1 \# s_2) \circ \langle \rangle \# (s_3 \circ ss_3) \\ = & \text{“ [CAT:sng]:p92 ”} \\ & ((s_1 \# s_2) \# s_3) \circ ss_3 \\ = & \text{“ [sadd:assoc]:p17 ”} \\ & (s_1 \# (s_2 \# s_3)) \circ ss_3 \\ = & \text{“ [CAT:sng]:p92, backwards ”} \\ & (s_1 \circ \langle \rangle) \# (s_2 \# s_3) \circ ss_3 \end{aligned}$$

Case 1 is OK.

Case 2 $ss_1 = \langle \rangle \wedge ss_2 = rr_2 \circ r_2$

Lhs:

$$\begin{aligned} & (s_1 \circ \langle \rangle) \# ((s_2 \circ rr_2 \circ r_2) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3)) \\ = & \text{“ [CAT:many]:p92 ”} \\ & (s_1 \circ \langle \rangle) \# (s_2 \circ (rr_2 \wedge (r_2 \# s_3)) \circ ss_3) \\ = & \text{“ [CAT:sng]:p92 ”} \\ & (s_1 \# s_2) \circ (rr_2 \wedge (r_2 \# s_3)) \circ ss_3 \end{aligned}$$

Rhs:

$$\begin{aligned} & ((s_1 \circ \langle \rangle) \# (s_2 \circ rr_2 \circ r_2)) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3) \\ = & \text{“ [CAT:sng]:p92 ”} \\ & ((s_1 \# s_2) \circ rr_2 \circ r_2) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3) \\ = & \text{“ [CAT:many]:p92 ”} \\ & (s_1 \# s_2) \circ (rr_2 \wedge (r_2 \# s_3)) \circ ss_3 \end{aligned}$$

Case 2 is OK

Case 3 $ss_1 = rr_1 \circ r_1 \wedge ss_2 = \langle \rangle$

Lhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (s_1 \circ rr_1 \circ r_1) \# ((s_2 \circ \langle \rangle) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3)) \\
= & \text{“ [CAT:sngl]:p92 ”} \\
& (s_1 \circ rr_1 \circ r_1) \# ((s_2 \# s_3) \circ ss_3) \\
= & \text{“ [CAT:many]:p92 ”} \\
& s_1 \circ (rr_1 \wedge (r_1 \# (s_2 \# s_3)) \circ ss_3) \\
= & \text{“ [sadd:assoc]:p17 ”} \\
& s_1 \circ (rr_1 \wedge ((r_1 \# s_2) \# s_3) \circ ss_3)
\end{aligned}$$

Rhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((s_1 \circ rr_1 \circ r_1) \# (s_2 \circ \langle \rangle)) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3) \\
= & \text{“ [CAT:many]:p92 ”} \\
& (s_1 \circ (rr_1 \wedge (r_1 \# s_2 \circ \langle \rangle))) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3) \\
= & \text{“ [CAT:sngl]:p92 ”} \\
& s_1 \circ (rr_1 \wedge ((r_1 \# s_2) \# s_3) \circ ss_3)
\end{aligned}$$

Case3 is OK

Case 4 $ss_1 = rr_1 \circ r_1 \wedge ss_2 = rr_2 \circ r_2$

Lhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (s_1 \circ rr_1 \circ r_1) \# ((s_2 \circ rr_2 \circ r_2) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3)) \\
= & \text{“ [CAT:many]:p92 ”} \\
& (s_1 \circ rr_1 \circ r_1) \# (s_2 \circ (rr_2 \wedge ((r_2 \# s_3) \circ ss_3))) \\
= & \text{“ [CAT:many]:p92 ”} \\
& s_1 \circ (rr_1 \wedge (r_1 \# s_2) \circ (rr_2 \wedge ((r_2 \# s_3) \circ ss_3)))
\end{aligned}$$

Rhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((s_1 \circ rr_1 \circ r_1) \# (s_2 \circ rr_2 \circ r_2)) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3) \\
= & \text{“ [CAT:many]:p92 ”} \\
& ((s_1 \circ (rr_1 \wedge (r_1 \# s_2) \circ (rr_2 \circ r_2))) \# (s_3 \circ ss_3)) \\
= & \text{“ [CAT:click]:p92 ”} \\
& s_1 \circ (rr_1 \wedge (r_1 \# s_2) \circ (rr_2 \wedge ((r_2 \# s_3) \circ ss_3)))
\end{aligned}$$

Case 4 is OK \square

A.2.2 Proof

of [CAT:PFX]:p21

$$ss \preceq ss \# tt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ss \preceq ss \# tt \\
= & \text{ “ [CAT:def]:p21 ”} \\
& ss \preceq \text{front}(ss) \wedge \langle \text{last}(ss) \# \text{head}(tt) \rangle \wedge \text{tail}(tt) \\
= & \text{ “ [EX:def]:p19 ”} \\
& \text{front}(ss) < \text{front}(ss) \wedge \langle \text{last}(ss) \# \text{head}(tt) \rangle \wedge \text{tail}(tt) \\
& \wedge \text{last}(ss) \preceq (\text{front}(ss) \wedge \langle \text{last}(ss) \# \text{head}(tt) \rangle \wedge \text{tail}(tt))(\#ss) \\
= & \text{ “ } \sigma < \sigma \wedge \tau, \text{ when } \tau \neq \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& \text{last}(ss) \preceq (\text{front}(ss) \wedge \langle \text{last}(ss) \# \text{head}(tt) \rangle \wedge \text{tail}(tt))(\#ss) \\
= & \text{ “ [Seq:Front:len-index]:p214 ”} \\
& \text{last}(ss) \preceq \text{head}(\langle \text{last}(ss) \# \text{head}(tt) \rangle \wedge \text{tail}(tt)) \\
= & \text{ “ defn. of head ”} \\
& \text{last}(ss) \preceq \text{last}(ss) \# \text{head}(tt) \\
= & \text{ “ [sadd:prefix]:p17 ”} \\
& \mathbf{true} \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.2.3 Proof

of [CAT:ER:last]:p21

$$eqvref(sl_1 \# sl_2) = eqvref(sl_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & eqvref(sl_1 \# sl_2) \\ = & \text{“ [CAT:def]:p21 ”} \\ & eqvref(front(sl_1) \frown \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \frown tail(sl_2)) \\ = & \text{“ [ER:def]:p18 ”} \\ & sref(last(front(sl_1) \frown \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \frown tail(sl_2))) \end{aligned}$$

We do a case split on $tail(sl_2) = \langle \rangle$.**Case Split** $tail(sl_2) \neq \langle \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} & sref(last(front(sl_1) \frown \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \frown tail(sl_2))) \\ = & \text{“ } last(\sigma \frown \tau) = last(\tau), \text{ if } \tau \neq \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\ & sref(last(tail(sl_2))) \\ = & \text{“ } last(\sigma) = last(x : \sigma), \text{ if } \sigma \neq \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\ & sref(last(sl_2)) \\ = & \text{“ [ER:def]:p18 backwards ”} \\ & eqvref(sl_2) \end{aligned}$$

Case Split $tail(sl_2) = \langle \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} & sref(last(front(sl_1) \frown \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \frown tail(sl_2))) \\ = & \text{“ } last(\sigma \frown \tau) = last(\sigma), \text{ if } \tau = \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\ & sref(last(front(sl_1) \frown \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle)) \\ = & \text{“ } last(\sigma \frown \langle x \rangle) = x \text{ ”} \\ & sref(\langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle) \\ = & \text{“ [sadd:ref]:p13 ”} \\ & sref(head(sl_2)) \\ = & \text{“ } tail(\sigma) = \langle \rangle \Rightarrow head(\sigma) = last(\sigma) \text{ ”} \\ & sref(last(sl_2)) \\ = & \text{“ [ER:def]:p18 backwards ”} \\ & eqvref(sl_2) \end{aligned}$$

□

A.2.4 Proof

of [CAT:eqv]:p21

$$sl_1 \cong sl_1 \# sl_2 \equiv \exists r_2 \bullet sl_2 = \langle snull(r_2) \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& sl_1 \cong sl_1 \# sl_2 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [CAT:def]:p21 ”} \\
& sl_1 \cong front(sl_1) \wedge \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \wedge tail(sl_2) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [SSEQV:def]:p20 ”} \\
& sl_1 \preceq front(sl_1) \wedge \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \wedge tail(sl_2) \\
& \wedge front(sl_1) \wedge \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \wedge tail(sl_2) \preceq sl_1 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [EX:def]:p19 ”} \\
& front(sl_1) < ss \wedge last(sl_1) \preceq ss(\#sl_1) \\
& \wedge front(ss) < sl_1 \wedge last(ss) \preceq sl_1(\#ss) \\
& \mathbf{where} \ ss = front(sl_1) \wedge \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \wedge tail(sl_2) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq:Front:Cat:Le]:p215, } xx = sl_1, tt = \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \wedge tail(sl_2) \text{ ”} \\
& front(sl_1) < ss \wedge last(sl_1) \preceq ss(\#sl_1) \\
& \wedge front(ss) < sl_1 \wedge last(ss) \preceq sl_1(\#ss) \\
& \mathbf{where} \ ss = front(sl_1) \wedge \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \wedge tail(sl_2) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } front(\sigma \wedge \langle x \rangle) = \sigma, last(\sigma \wedge \langle x \rangle) = x \text{ ”} \\
& front(sl_1) < ss \wedge last(sl_1) \preceq ss(\#sl_1) \\
& \wedge front(sl_1) < sl_1 \wedge last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \preceq sl_1(\#ss) \\
& \mathbf{where} \ ss = front(sl_1) \wedge \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \wedge tail(sl_2) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } xx < xx \wedge tt, tt \neq \langle \rangle, \text{ and } front(xx) < xx, xx \neq \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& last(sl_1) \preceq ss(\#sl_1) \\
& \wedge last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \preceq sl_1(\#ss) \\
& \mathbf{where} \ ss = front(sl_1) \wedge \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \wedge tail(sl_2) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } ss = front(xx) \wedge \langle t \rangle \Rightarrow \#ss = \#xx \text{ ”} \\
& last(sl_1) \preceq ss(\#ss) \wedge last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \preceq sl_1(\#sl_1) \\
& \mathbf{where} \ ss = front(sl_1) \wedge \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \wedge tail(sl_2) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } \sigma(\#\sigma) = last(\sigma) \text{ ”} \\
& last(sl_1) \preceq last(ss) \wedge last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \preceq last(sl_1) \\
& \mathbf{where} \ ss = front(sl_1) \wedge \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \wedge tail(sl_2) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq>Last:def:alt]:p213 ”} \\
& last(sl_1) \preceq last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \wedge last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \preceq last(sl_1) \wedge tail(sl_2) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [SEQV:def]:p20 ”} \\
& last(sl_1) \approx last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \wedge tail(sl_2) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [sadd:eqv:unit]:p13 ”} \\
& (\exists r_2 \bullet head(sl_2) = snull(r_2)) \wedge tail(sl_2) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ expand scope, list property ”} \\
& \exists r_2 \bullet sl_2 = \langle snull(r_2) \rangle \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.2.5 Proof

of [CAT:equal]:p21

$$sl_1 = sl_1 \# sl_2 \equiv sl_2 = \langle snull(eqvref(sl_1)) \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& sl_1 = sl_1 \# sl_2 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [CAT:def]:p21 ”} \\
& sl_1 = front(sl_1) \wedge \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \wedge tail(sl_2) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } \sigma = front(\sigma) \wedge \tau = \langle last(\sigma) \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& last(sl_1) = last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \wedge tail(sl_2) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [sadd:unit]:p13 ”} \\
& head(sl_2) = snull(sref(last(sl_1))) \wedge tail(sl_2) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ list property ”} \\
& sl_2 = \langle snull(sref(last(sl_1))) \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [ER:def]:p18, backwards ”} \\
& sl_2 = \langle snull(eqvref(sl_1)) \rangle \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.2.6 Proof

of [CAT:len]:p21

$$\#(sl_1 \# sl_2) = \#(sl_1) + \#(sl_2) - 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \#(sl_1 \# sl_2) \\
= & \text{“ [CAT:def]:p21 ”} \\
& \#(front(sl_1) \wedge \langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle \wedge tail(sl_2)) \\
= & \text{“ } \#(\sigma \wedge \tau) = \#\sigma + \#\tau \text{ ”} \\
& \#front(sl_1) + \#\langle last(sl_1) \# head(sl_2) \rangle + \#tail(sl_2) \\
= & \text{“ } front \text{ and } tail \text{ shrink by one, } \#(x) = 1 \text{ ”} \\
& \#(sl_1) - 1 + 1 + \#(sl_2) - 1 \\
= & \text{“ arithmetic ”} \\
& \#(sl_1) + \#(sl_2) - 1 \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.2.7 Proof

of [DF:equal]:p22

$$\text{slots} = \text{dif}(\text{slots}, \text{sln}) \equiv \exists rn \bullet \text{sln} = \langle \text{snull}(rn) \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{slots} = \text{dif}(\text{slots}, \text{sln}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DF:def]:p21 ”} \\
& \text{slots} = \text{ssub}(\text{slot}', \text{slot}) \circ \text{sfx} \\
& \mathbf{where} \text{ slot} = \text{last}(\text{sln}) \wedge (\text{slot}' \circ \text{sfx}) = \text{slots} - \text{front}(\text{sln}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ pattern-match } \sigma = x \circ \tau \text{ ”} \\
& \text{head}(\text{slots}) = \text{ssub}(\text{slot}', \text{slot}) \wedge \text{tail}(\text{slots}) = \text{sfx} \\
& \mathbf{where} \text{ slot} = \text{last}(\text{sln}) \wedge \text{slot}' = \text{head}(\text{slots} - \text{front}(\text{sln})) \wedge \text{sfx} = \text{tail}(\text{slots} - \text{front}(\text{sln})) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ rewrite slot, sfx ”} \\
& \text{head}(\text{slots}) = \text{ssub}(\text{slot}', \text{last}(\text{sln})) \wedge \text{tail}(\text{slots}) = \text{tail}(\text{slots} - \text{front}(\text{sln})) \\
& \mathbf{where} \text{ slot}' = \text{head}(\text{slots} - \text{front}(\text{sln})) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:TailSub]:p215 ”} \\
& \text{head}(\text{slots}) = \text{ssub}(\text{slot}', \text{last}(\text{sln})) \wedge \text{front}(\text{sln}) = \langle \rangle \\
& \mathbf{where} \text{ slot}' = \text{head}(\text{slots} - \text{front}(\text{sln})) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \sigma - \langle \rangle = \sigma \text{ ”} \\
& \text{head}(\text{slots}) = \text{ssub}(\text{slot}', \text{last}(\text{sln})) \wedge \text{front}(\text{sln}) = \langle \rangle \\
& \mathbf{where} \text{ slot}' = \text{head}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ rewrite slot' ”} \\
& \text{head}(\text{slots}) = \text{ssub}(\text{head}(\text{slots}), \text{last}(\text{sln})) \wedge \text{front}(\text{sln}) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSub:equal]:p14 ”} \\
& (\exists rn \bullet \text{last}(\text{sln}) = \text{snull}(rn)) \wedge \text{front}(\text{sln}) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ extend } \exists \text{ scope ”} \\
& \exists rn \bullet \text{last}(\text{sln}) = \text{snull}(rn) \wedge \text{front}(\text{sln}) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \text{last}(\sigma) = x \wedge \text{front}(\sigma) = \langle \rangle \equiv \sigma = \langle x \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& \exists rn \bullet \text{sln} = \langle \text{snull}(rn) \rangle \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.2.8 Proof

of [DF:self]:p22

$$dif(slots, slots) = \langle snull(eqvref(slots)) \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& dif(slots, slots) \\
= & \text{“ [DF:def]:p21 ”} \\
& ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx \\
& \wedge slot = last(slots) \\
& \wedge (slot' \circ sfx) = slots - front(slots) \\
= & \text{“ } \sigma - front(\sigma) = \langle last(\sigma) \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx \\
& \wedge slot = last(slots) \\
& \wedge (slot' \circ sfx) = \langle last(slots) \rangle \\
= & \text{“ pattern match ”} \\
& ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx \\
& \wedge slot = last(slots) \\
& \wedge slot' = last(slots) \wedge sfx = \langle \rangle \\
= & \text{“ Liebniz } slot, slot', sfx \text{ ”} \\
& SSub(last(slot), last(slot)) \circ \langle \rangle \\
= & \text{“ [SSub:self]:p17, } x \circ \langle \rangle = \langle x \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& \langle snull(sref(last(slot))) \rangle \\
= & \text{“ [ER:def]:p18, backwards ”} \\
& \langle snull(eqvref(slots)) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

□

A.2.9 Proof

of [DF:nil]:p22

$$dif(slots, \langle snull(r) \rangle) = slots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& dif(slots, \langle snull(r) \rangle) \\
= & \text{“ [DF:def]:p21 ”} \\
& ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx \\
& \wedge slot = last(\langle snull(r) \rangle) \\
& \wedge (slot' \circ sfx) = slots - front(\langle snull(r) \rangle) \\
= & \text{“ defn. last, front ”} \\
& ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx \wedge slot = snull(r) \wedge (slot' \circ sfx) = slots - \langle \rangle \\
= & \text{“ } \sigma - \langle \rangle = \sigma \text{ ”} \\
& ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx \wedge slot = snull(r) \wedge (slot' \circ sfx) = slots \\
= & \text{“ pattern match ”} \\
& ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx \wedge slot = snull(r) \wedge slot' = head(slots) \wedge sfx = tail(slots) \\
= & \text{“ Liebniz slot, slots', sfx ”} \\
& ssub(head(slots), snull(r)) \circ tail(slots) \\
= & \text{“ [SSub:nil]:p17 ”} \\
& head(slots) \circ tail(slots) \\
= & \text{“ property of head and tail ”} \\
& slots \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.2.10 Proof

of [DF:Null:equal]:p22

$$\begin{aligned}
& slots' \searrow slots = \langle snull(r') \rangle \equiv slots' \cong slots \wedge eqvref(slots') = r' \\
& slots' \searrow slots = \langle snull(r') \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DF:def]:p21 ”} \\
& ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx = \langle snull(r') \rangle \\
\text{where} & \quad slot = last(slots) \\
& (slot' \circ sfx) = slots' - front(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ list properties ”} \\
& ssub(slot', slot) = snull(r') \wedge sfx = \langle \rangle \\
\text{where} & \quad slot = last(slots) \\
& slot' = head(slots' - front(slots)) \\
& sfx = tail(slots' - front(slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ eliminate sfx, more list properties ”} \\
& ssub(slot', slot) = snull(r') \\
\text{where} & \quad slot = last(slots) \\
& slots' - front(slots) = \langle slot' \rangle \\
& front(slots) = front(slots') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSub:eqv]:p14, given } r' = sref(slots') \text{ ”} \\
& slot' \approx slot \wedge sref(slot') = r' \\
\text{where} & \quad slot = last(slots) \\
& slots' - front(slots) = \langle slot' \rangle \\
& front(slots) = front(slots') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Liebniz, last line ”} \\
& slot' \approx slot \wedge sref(slot') = r' \\
\text{where} & \quad slot = last(slots) \\
& slots' - front(slots') = \langle slot' \rangle \\
& front(slots) = front(slots') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ list properties ”} \\
& slot' \approx slot \wedge sref(slot') = r' \\
\text{where} & \quad slot = last(slots) \\
& slot' = last(slots') \\
& front(slots) = front(slots') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSEQV:expand]:p20 ”} \\
& slots' \cong slots \\
& \wedge sref(slot') = r' \wedge slot' = last(slots') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [ER:def]:p18 ”} \\
& slots' \cong slots \wedge eqvref(slots') = r' \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.2.11 Proof

of [DF:Null:eqv]:p22

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists r' \bullet \text{slots}' \searrow \text{slots} = \langle \text{snull}(r') \rangle) \equiv \text{slots}' \cong \text{slots} \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DF:def]:p21 ”} \\
& (\exists r' \bullet \text{ssub}(\text{slot}', \text{slot}) \circ \text{sfx} = \langle \text{snull}(r') \rangle) \\
& \text{where } \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\
& \quad (\text{slot}' \circ \text{sfx} = \text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots})) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ list properties ”} \\
& (\exists r' \bullet \text{ssub}(\text{slot}', \text{slot}) = \text{snull}(r') \wedge \text{sfx} = \langle \rangle) \\
& \text{where } \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\
& \quad \text{slot}' = \text{head}(\text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots})) \\
& \quad \text{sfx} = \text{tail}(\text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots})) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ eliminate sfx, more list properties ”} \\
& (\exists r' \bullet \text{ssub}(\text{slot}', \text{slot}) = \text{snull}(r')) \\
& \text{where } \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\
& \quad \text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots}) = \langle \text{slot}' \rangle \\
& \quad \text{front}(\text{slots}) = \text{front}(\text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSub:eqv]:p14, given } r' = \text{sref}(\text{slots}') \text{ ”} \\
& (\exists r' \bullet \text{slot}' \approx \text{slot} \wedge \text{sref}(\text{slot}') = r') \\
& \text{where } \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\
& \quad \text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots}) = \langle \text{slot}' \rangle \\
& \quad \text{front}(\text{slots}) = \text{front}(\text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ narrow scope; Leibniz, last line ”} \\
& \text{slot}' \approx \text{slot} \wedge (\exists r' \bullet \text{sref}(\text{slot}') = r') \\
& \text{where } \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\
& \quad \text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots}') = \langle \text{slot}' \rangle \\
& \quad \text{front}(\text{slots}) = \text{front}(\text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ list properties, one-point rule ”} \\
& \text{last}(\text{slots}') \approx \text{last}(\text{slots}) \wedge \text{front}(\text{slots}) = \text{front}(\text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSEQV:expand]:p20 ”} \\
& \text{slots}' \cong \text{slots} \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.2.12 Proof

of [DF:same]:p22

$$\begin{aligned} \text{slots}_b \preceq \text{slots}_a \wedge \text{slots}_b \preceq \text{slots}_c &\Rightarrow \\ \text{dif}(\text{slots}_a, \text{slots}_b) = \text{dif}(\text{slots}_c, \text{slots}_b) &\equiv \text{slots}_a = \text{slots}_c \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{dif}(\text{slots}_a, \text{slots}_b) = \text{dif}(\text{slots}_c, \text{slots}_b) \\ \equiv &\quad \text{“ [DF:def]:p21 ”} \\ &\text{ssub}(\text{slot}'_a, \text{slot}_a) \circ \text{sfx}_a \\ &\mathbf{where} \text{ slot}_a = \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \\ &\quad \mathbf{and} (\text{slot}'_a \circ \text{sfx}_a) = \text{slots}_a - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b) \\ = & \\ &\text{ssub}(\text{slot}'_c, \text{slot}_c) \circ \text{sfx}_c \\ &\mathbf{where} \text{ slot}_c = \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \\ &\quad \mathbf{and} (\text{slot}'_c \circ \text{sfx}_c) = \text{slots}_c - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b) \\ \equiv &\quad \text{“ re-organise ”} \\ &\text{ssub}(\text{slot}'_a, \text{slot}_a) \circ \text{sfx}_a = \text{ssub}(\text{slot}'_c, \text{slot}_c) \circ \text{sfx}_c \\ &\wedge \text{slot}_a = \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \\ &\wedge (\text{slot}'_a \circ \text{sfx}_a) = \text{slots}_a - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b) \\ &\wedge \text{slot}_c = \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \\ &\wedge (\text{slot}'_c \circ \text{sfx}_c) = \text{slots}_c - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b) \\ \equiv &\quad \text{“ pattern matching ”} \\ &\text{ssub}(\text{slot}'_a, \text{slot}_a) \circ \text{sfx}_a = \text{ssub}(\text{slot}'_c, \text{slot}_c) \circ \text{sfx}_c \\ &\wedge \text{slot}_a = \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \\ &\wedge \text{slot}'_a = \text{head}(\text{slots}_a - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b)) \\ &\wedge \text{sfx}_a = \text{tail}(\text{slots}_a - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b)) \\ &\wedge \text{slot}_c = \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \\ &\wedge \text{slot}'_c = \text{head}(\text{slots}_c - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b)) \\ &\wedge \text{sfx}_c = \text{tail}(\text{slots}_c - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b)) \\ \equiv &\quad \text{“ defn. of list equality ”} \\ &\text{ssub}(\text{slot}'_a, \text{slot}_a) = \text{ssub}(\text{slot}'_c, \text{slot}_c) \\ &\wedge \text{sfx}_a = \text{sfx}_c \\ &\wedge \text{slot}_a = \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \\ &\wedge \text{slot}'_a = \text{head}(\text{slots}_a - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b)) \\ &\wedge \text{sfx}_a = \text{tail}(\text{slots}_a - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b)) \\ &\wedge \text{slot}_c = \text{last}(\text{slots}_b) \\ &\wedge \text{slot}'_c = \text{head}(\text{slots}_c - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b)) \\ &\wedge \text{sfx}_c = \text{tail}(\text{slots}_c - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b)) \\ \equiv &\quad \text{“ rearrange ”} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ssub(slot'_a, slot_a) = ssub(slot'_c, slot_c) \\
& \wedge sfx_a = sfx_c \\
& \wedge sfx_a = tail(slots_a - front(slots_b)) \\
& \wedge sfx_c = tail(slots_c - front(slots_b)) \\
& \wedge slot_a = last(slots_b) \\
& \wedge slot'_a = head(slots_a - front(slots_b)) \\
& \wedge slot_c = last(slots_b) \\
& \wedge slot'_c = head(slots_c - front(slots_b)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Liebniz } sfx_a, sfx_c, slot_a, slot_c \text{ ”} \\
& ssub(slot'_a, last(slots_a)) = ssub(slot'_c, last(slots_c)) \\
& \wedge tail(slots_a - front(slots_b)) = tail(slots_c - front(slots_b)) \\
& \wedge slot'_a = head(slots_a - front(slots_b)) \\
& \wedge slot'_c = head(slots_c - front(slots_b)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } tail(\sigma - \tau) = tail(\sigma) - \tau \text{ ”} \\
& ssub(slot'_a, last(slots_a)) = ssub(slot'_c, last(slots_c)) \\
& \wedge tail(slots_a) - front(slots_b) = tail(slots_c) - front(slots_b) \\
& \wedge slot'_a = head(slots_a - front(slots_b)) \\
& \wedge slot'_c = head(slots_c - front(slots_b)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \sigma - \tau = \nu - \tau \equiv \sigma = \nu \text{ ”} \\
& ssub(slot'_a, last(slots_a)) = ssub(slot'_c, last(slots_c)) \\
& \wedge tail(slots_a) = tail(slots_c) \\
& \wedge slot'_a = head(slots_a - front(slots_b)) \\
& \wedge slot'_c = head(slots_c - front(slots_b)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } tail(\sigma) = tail(\tau) \Rightarrow last(\sigma) = last(\tau) \text{ ”} \\
& ssub(slot'_a, last(slots_a)) = ssub(slot'_c, last(slots_c)) \\
& \wedge tail(slots_a) = tail(slots_c) \\
& \wedge last(slots_a) = last(slots_c) \\
& \wedge slot'_a = head(slots_a - front(slots_b)) \\
& \wedge slot'_c = head(slots_c - front(slots_b)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSub:same]:p17, given pre-condition ”} \\
& slot'_a = slot'_c \\
& \wedge last(slots_a) = last(slots_c) \\
& \wedge tail(slots_a) = tail(slots_c) \\
& \wedge slot'_a = head(slots_a - front(slots_b)) \\
& \wedge slot'_c = head(slots_c - front(slots_b)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Liebnix, } slot'_a, slot'_c \text{ ”}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{last}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{last}(\text{slots}_c) \\
& \wedge \text{tail}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{tail}(\text{slots}_c) \\
& \wedge \text{head}(\text{slots}_a - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b)) = \text{head}(\text{slots}_c - \text{front}(\text{slots}_b)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:HdSub:index]:p215, drop last ”} \\
& \text{tail}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{tail}(\text{slots}_c) \wedge \text{slots}_a(\#\text{slots}_b) = \text{slots}_c(\#\text{slots}_b)
\end{aligned}$$

We now do case analysis on $\#\text{slots}_b$.

Case 1 $\#\text{slots}_b = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{tail}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{tail}(\text{slots}_c) \wedge \text{slots}_a(1) = \text{slots}_c(1) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ head}(\sigma) = \sigma(1) \text{”} \\
& \text{tail}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{tail}(\text{slots}_c) \wedge \text{head}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{head}(\text{slots}_c) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. of list equality ”} \\
& \text{slots}_a = \text{slots}_c
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2 $\#\text{slots}_b > 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{tail}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{tail}(\text{slots}_c) \wedge \text{slots}_a(\#\text{slots}_b) = \text{slots}_c(\#\text{slots}_b) \\
& \quad \text{“ pre-condition implies } \text{front}(\text{slots}_b) \leq \text{slots}_a, \text{ etc. ”} \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Case 2 implies } \text{front}(\text{slots}_b) \neq \langle \rangle \text{”} \\
& \quad \text{“ So, } \text{head}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{head}(\text{slots}_b) \text{ etc. ”} \\
& \text{tail}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{tail}(\text{slots}_c) \\
& \wedge \text{head}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{head}(\text{slots}_b) \wedge \text{head}(\text{slots}_c) = \text{head}(\text{slots}_b) \\
& \wedge \text{slots}_a(\#\text{slots}_b) = \text{slots}_c(\#\text{slots}_b) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Liebniz head}(\text{slots}_b) \text{”} \\
& \text{tail}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{tail}(\text{slots}_c) \\
& \wedge \text{head}(\text{slots}_a) = \text{head}(\text{slots}_c) \\
& \wedge \text{slots}_a(\#\text{slots}_b) = \text{slots}_c(\#\text{slots}_b) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. list equality ”} \\
& \text{slots}_a = \text{slots}_c \wedge \text{slots}_a(\#\text{slots}_b) = \text{slots}_c(\#\text{slots}_b) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } A \wedge B \wedge A \Rightarrow B \equiv A \text{”} \\
& \text{slots}_a = \text{slots}_c
\end{aligned}$$

□

A.2.13 Proof

of [DF:ref]:p22

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{srefs}(\text{dif}(\text{slots}', \text{slots})) = \text{srefs}(\text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots})) \\
& \text{srefs}(\text{dif}(\text{slots}', \text{slots})) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [DF:def]:p21 ”} \\
& \text{srefs}(\text{ssub}(\text{slot}', \text{slot}) \circ \text{sfx}) \\
\text{where} & \quad \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\
& (\text{slot}' \circ \text{sfx}) = \text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
= & \quad \text{“ meaning of **where**, pattern match ”} \\
& \text{srefs}(\text{ssub}(\text{slot}', \text{slot}) \circ \text{sfx}) \\
& \wedge \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\
& \wedge \text{slot}' = \text{head}(\text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots})) \\
& \wedge \text{sfx} = \text{tail}(\text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots})) \\
= & \quad \text{“ Leibniz } \text{slot}', \text{slot}, \text{sfx} \text{ ”} \\
& \text{srefs}(\text{ssub}(\text{head}(\text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots})), \text{last}(\text{slots})) \circ \text{tail}(\text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots}))) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [RFS:def]:p18, defn. map ”} \\
& \text{sref}(\text{ssub}(\text{head}(\text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots})), \text{last}(\text{slots}))) \circ \text{srefs}(\text{tail}(\text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots}))) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [ssub:ref]:p?? ”} \\
& \text{sref}(\text{head}(\text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots}))) \circ \text{srefs}(\text{tail}(\text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots}))) \\
= & \quad \text{“ defn. map ”} \\
& \text{srefs}(\text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots})) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.2.14 Proof

of [DF:subsub]:p22

$$\begin{aligned} & slots_c \preceq slots_a \wedge slots_c \preceq slots_b \wedge slots_b \preceq slots_a \\ \Rightarrow & dif(dif(slots_a, slots_c), dif(slots_b, slots_c)) = dif(slots_a, slots_b) \end{aligned}$$

We expand the antecedents using [EX:def]:p19 so we know what we can assume:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante1}] & \quad front(slots_c) \leq slots_a \\ [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante2}] & \quad last(slots_c) \preceq slots_a(\#slots_c) \\ [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante3}] & \quad front(slots_c) \leq slots_b \\ [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante4}] & \quad last(slots_c) \preceq slots_b(\#slots_c) \\ [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante5}] & \quad front(slots_b) \leq slots_a \\ [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante6}] & \quad last(slots_b) \preceq slots_a(\#slots_b) \end{aligned}$$

We can re-code this with [EX:pfx]:p19 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante7}] & \quad slots_c = pfx_c \wedge \langle slot_c \rangle \\ [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante8}] & \quad slots_b = pfx_c \wedge \langle slot_{b1} \rangle \wedge sfx_b \\ [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante9}] & \quad slots_b = pfx_b \wedge \langle slot_{b2} \rangle \\ [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante10}] & \quad slot_{b2} = slot_{b1} \triangleleft sfx_b = \langle \rangle \triangleright last(sfx_b) \\ [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante11}] & \quad slots_a = pfx_b \wedge \langle slot_a \rangle \wedge sfx_a \\ [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante12}] & \quad slot_c \preceq slot_{b1} \\ [\text{prf:DF:subsub:ante12}] & \quad slot_{b2} \preceq slot_a \end{aligned}$$

The rhs:

$$\begin{aligned} & dif(slots_a, slots_b) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [prf:DF:subsub:ante9,11] ”} \\ & dif(pfx_b \wedge \langle slot_a \rangle \wedge sfx_a, pfx_b \circ \langle slot_{b2} \rangle) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [DF:pfx]:p21 ”} \\ & ssub(slot_a, slot_{b2}) \circ sfx_a \end{aligned}$$

Now, the lhs:

$$\begin{aligned} & dif(dif(slots_a, slots_c), dif(slots_b, slots_c)) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [prf:DF:subsub:ante7,8,11] ”} \\ & dif(\\ & \quad dif(pfx_b \wedge \langle slot_a \rangle \wedge sfx_a, pfx_c \wedge \langle slot_c \rangle), \\ & \quad dif(pfx_c \wedge \langle slot_{b1} \rangle \wedge sfx_b, pfx_c \wedge \langle slot_c \rangle)) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [DF:pfx] ”} \\ & dif(\\ & \quad dif(pfx_b \wedge \langle slot_a \rangle \wedge sfx_a, pfx_c \wedge \langle slot_c \rangle), \\ & \quad ssub(slot_{b1}, slot_c) \circ sfx_b) \end{aligned}$$

At this point we do case analysis on $sfx_b = \langle \rangle$

Case 1 $sfx_b = \langle \rangle$.

An immediate consequence of this, by [prf:DF:subsub:ante10,8,9] is that $slot_{b1} = slot_{b2}$ and $pfxc = pfx_b$, so by Liebniz:

$$\begin{aligned}
& dif(\\
& \quad dif(pfx_b \frown \langle slot_a \rangle \frown sfx_a, pfx_b \frown \langle slot_c \rangle), \\
& \quad ssub(slot_{b2}, slot_c) \circ \langle \rangle) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [DF:pfX]:p21 ”} \\
& dif(ssub(slot_a, slot_c) \circ sfx_a, \\
& \quad \langle ssub(slot_{b2}, slot_c) \rangle) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [DF:pfX]:p21 ”} \\
& ssub(ssub(slot_a, slot_c), ssub(slot_{b2}, slot_c)) \circ sfx_a \\
= & \quad \text{“ [SSub:subsub]:p17 ”} \\
& ssub(slot_a, slot_{b2}) \circ sfx_a
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2 $sfx_b \neq \langle \rangle$

A consequence of this is that $slot_{b2} = last(sfx_b)$ and

$$pfx_b = pfx_c \frown \langle slot_{b1} \rangle \frown front(sfx_b)$$

so by Liebniz for pfx_b :

$$\begin{aligned}
& dif(dif(pfx_c \frown \langle slot_{b1} \rangle \frown front(sfx_b) \frown \langle slot_a \rangle \frown sfx_a, pfx_c \frown \langle slot_c \rangle), \\
& \quad ssub(slot_{b1}, slot_c) \circ sfx_b) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [DF:df]:p21 ”} \\
& dif(SSsub(slot_{b1}, slot_c) \circ (front(sfx_b) \frown \langle slot_a \rangle \frown sfx_a), \\
& \quad ssub(slot_{b1}, slot_c) \circ sfx_b) \\
= & \quad \text{“ } \sigma \neq \langle \rangle \Rightarrow \sigma = front(\sigma) \frown \langle last(\sigma) \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& dif(ssub(slot_{b1}, slot_c) \circ (front(sfx_b) \frown \langle slot_a \rangle \frown sfx_a), \\
& \quad ssub(slot_{b1}, slot_c) \circ (front(sfx_b) \frown \langle last(sfx_b) \rangle))) \\
= & \quad \text{“ Liebniz for } last(sfx_b) \text{ ”} \\
& dif(ssub(slot_{b1}, slot_c) \circ (front(sfx_b) \frown \langle slot_a \rangle \frown sfx_a), \\
& \quad ssub(slot_{b1}, slot_c) \circ (front(sfx_b) \frown \langle slot_{b2} \rangle))) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [DF:df]:p21 ”} \\
& ssub(slot_a, slot_{b2}) \circ sfx_a
\end{aligned}$$

□

A.2.15 Proof

of [CAT:DF:id]:p22

$$(ss \# tt) \searrow ss = tt$$

We use the shorthands of p91

$$\begin{aligned}
& (ss \# tt) \searrow ss \\
= & \text{ “ [CAT:def]:p21 ”} \\
& (F(ss) \wedge \langle L(ss) \# H(tt) \rangle \wedge T(tt)) \searrow ss \\
= & \text{ “ [DF:def]:p21 ”} \\
& \mathbf{let} \ rest = (F(ss) \wedge \langle L(ss) \# H(tt) \rangle \wedge T(tt)) - F(ss) \\
& \mathbf{in} \ (H(rest) \setminus L(ss)) \circ T(rest) \\
= & \text{ “ } (\sigma \wedge \tau) - \sigma = \tau, \text{ for sequences ”} \\
& \mathbf{let} \ rest = \langle L(ss) \# H(tt) \rangle \wedge T(tt) \\
& \mathbf{in} \ (H(rest) \setminus L(ss)) \circ T(rest) \\
= & \text{ “ substitute for } H(rest), T(rest) \text{ ”} \\
& ((L(ss) \# H(tt)) \setminus L(ss)) \circ T(tt) \\
= & \text{ “ [ssub:sadd]:p17 ”} \\
& H(tt) \circ T(tt) \\
= & \text{ “ Sequence law ”} \\
& tt \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.2.16 Proof

of [CAT:DF:pfx]:p22

$$uu \preceq tt \Rightarrow (ss \# tt) \searrow (ss \# uu) = tt \searrow uu$$

We use the shorthands of p91.

Given the antecedent, we can write uu and tt as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\text{prf:CAT:DF:pfx:uu}] & uu = pfx \hat{\ } \langle u \rangle \\ [\text{prf:CAT:DF:pfx:tt}] & tt = pfx \hat{\ } \langle t \rangle \hat{\ } sfx \\ [\text{prf:CAT:DF:pfx:u-le-t}] & u \preceq t \\ [\text{prf:CAT:DF:pfx:ss}] & ss = rr \circ r \end{array}$$

Rhs:

$$\begin{aligned} & tt \searrow uu \\ = & \text{“ } [\text{prf:CAT:DF:pfx:uu}], [\text{prf:CAT:DF:pfx:tt}] \text{ ”} \\ & (pfx \hat{\ } \langle t \rangle \hat{\ } sfx) \searrow (pfx \hat{\ } \langle u \rangle) \\ = & \text{“ } [\text{DF:pfx}:p21] \text{ ”} \\ & (t \searrow u) \circ sfx \end{aligned}$$

Lhs:

$$\begin{aligned} & (ss \# tt) \searrow (ss \# uu) \\ = & \text{“ } [\text{prf:CAT:DF:pfx:uu}], [\text{prf:CAT:DF:pfx:tt}], [\text{prf:CAT:DF:pfx:ss}] \text{ ”} \\ & ((rr \circ r) \# (pfx \hat{\ } \langle t \rangle \hat{\ } sfx)) \searrow ((rr \circ r) \# (pfx \hat{\ } \langle u \rangle)) \\ = & \text{“ } [\text{CAT:df}:p21, \text{ twice}] \text{ ”} \\ & (rr \hat{\ } \langle r \# H(pfx) \rangle \hat{\ } T(pfx) \hat{\ } \langle t \rangle \hat{\ } sfx) \\ & \searrow (rr \hat{\ } \langle r \# H(pfx) \rangle \hat{\ } T(pfx) \hat{\ } \langle u \rangle) \\ = & \text{“ } [\text{DF:pfx}:p21, \text{ common prefix is } rr \hat{\ } \langle r \# H(pfx) \rangle \hat{\ } T(pfx) \text{ ”} \\ & (t \searrow u) \circ sfx \end{aligned}$$

□

A.3 Proofs for Healthiness Conditions

A.3.1 Proof

of [R1:idem]:p23

$$\mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{R1} = \mathbf{R1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{R1})(P) \\
 \equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:def]:p23 ”} \\
 & \mathbf{R1}(P \wedge tr \preceq tr') \\
 \equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:def]:p23 ”} \\
 & (P \wedge tr \preceq tr') \wedge tr \preceq tr' \\
 \equiv & \quad \text{“ prop. calc. ”} \\
 & P \wedge tr \preceq tr' \\
 \equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:def]:p23 ”} \\
 & \mathbf{R1}(P) \\
 \square
 \end{aligned}$$

A.3.2 Proof

of [Shift:obsolete]:p??

$$ss \wedge \text{Shift}(s, \text{slots}, \text{slots}') = (ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) \# (\text{slots}' \setminus \text{slots})$$

Lhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& ss \wedge \text{Shift}(s, \text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
= & \quad \text{“ [R2:shift]:p?? ”} \\
& ss \wedge \langle s \# (\text{slot}' \setminus \text{slot}) \rangle \wedge \text{sfx} \\
& \mathbf{where} \text{ slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}), (\text{slot}' \circ \text{sfx}) = \text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
= & \quad \text{“ intro rest ”} \\
& ss \wedge \langle s \# (\text{slot}' \setminus \text{slot}) \rangle \wedge \text{sfx} \\
& \mathbf{where} \text{ slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}), (\text{slot}' \circ \text{sfx}) = \text{rest}, \text{rest} = \text{slots}' - \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
= & \quad \text{“ substitute, use p91 shorthand ”} \\
& ss \wedge \langle s \# (H(\text{rest}) \setminus L(\text{slots})) \rangle \wedge T(\text{rest}) \\
& \mathbf{where} \text{ rest} = \text{slots}' = F(\text{slots})
\end{aligned}$$

Rhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) \# (\text{slots}' \setminus \text{slots}) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [CAT:def]:p21, using p91 shorthand ”} \\
& F(ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) \wedge \langle L(ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) \# H(\text{slots}' \setminus \text{slots}) \rangle \wedge T(\text{slots}' \setminus \text{slots}) \\
= & \quad \text{“ } L(\sigma \wedge \langle x \rangle) = x \text{ ”} \\
& F(ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) \wedge \langle s \# H(\text{slots}' \setminus \text{slots}) \rangle \wedge T(\text{slots}' \setminus \text{slots}) \\
= & \quad \text{“ [DF:def]:p21 ”} \\
& F(ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) \wedge \langle s \# H(\text{diff}) \rangle \wedge T(\text{rest}) \\
& \mathbf{where} \text{ diff} = (H(\text{rest}) \setminus L(\text{slots})) \circ T(\text{rest}) \\
& \quad \text{rest} = \text{slots}' - F(\text{slots}) \\
= & \quad \text{“ } H(x \circ \sigma) = x \text{ ”} \\
& F(ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) \wedge \langle s \# (H(\text{rest}) \setminus L(\text{slots})) \rangle \wedge T(\text{rest}) \\
& \mathbf{where} \text{ rest} = \text{slots}' - F(\text{slots})
\end{aligned}$$

If $ss = \langle \rangle$ then $F(ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) = \langle \rangle$ and both Lhs and Rhs reduce to $\langle s \# (H(\text{rest}) \setminus L(\text{slots})) \rangle \wedge T(\text{rest})$.If $ss \neq \langle \rangle$, then $F(ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) = ss$, and so Rhs becomes Lhs. \square

A.3.3 Proof

of [R2:subs-idem]:p??

$$R2_{ss}(R2_{tt}(P)) \equiv R2_{tt}(P)$$

We work with P explicit in $slots, slots'$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& R2_{ss}(R2_{tt}(P(slots, slots'))) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:subs]:p24 ” } \\
& R2_{ss}(P(tt, tt \# (slots' \setminus slots))) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:subs]:p24 ” } \\
& P(tt, tt \# ((ss \# (slots' \setminus slots)) \setminus ss)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [CAT:DF:id]:p22 ” } \\
& P(tt, tt \# (slots' \setminus slots)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:subs]:p24, backwards ” } \\
& R2_{tt}(P(slots, slots')) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.4 Proof

of [R2a:idem]:p??

$$\mathbf{R2a} \circ \mathbf{R2a} = \mathbf{R2a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2a}(\mathbf{R2a}(P)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2a:def]:p?? ” } \\
& \mathbf{R2a}(\exists tt \bullet R2_{tt}(P)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2a:def]:p?? ” } \\
& \exists ss \bullet R2_{ss}(\exists tt \bullet R2_{tt}(P)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ R2 distributes through } \exists tt \text{ ” } \\
& \exists ss \bullet \exists tt \bullet R2_{ss}(R2_{tt}(P)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:subs-idem]:p?? ” } \\
& \exists ss \bullet \exists tt \bullet R2_{tt}(P) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ ss not free ” } \\
& \exists tt \bullet R2_{tt}(P) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2a:def]:p??, backwards ” } \\
& \mathbf{R2a}(P) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.5 Proof

of [R2a:almost]:p??

$$\mathbf{R2a}(slots' = slots) \equiv slots' \cong slots$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{R2a}(slots' = slots) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2a:def]:p?? ”} \\ & \exists tt \bullet R2_{tt}(slots' = slots) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:subs]:p24 ”} \\ & \exists tt \bullet (tt \# (slots' \searrow slots) = tt) \end{aligned}$$

A.3.6 Proof

of [R2:idem]:p24

$$\mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R2} = \mathbf{R2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R2}(P)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:alt]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\exists tt \bullet R2_{tt}(P) \wedge ER(tt, slots)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:alt]:p24 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet R2_{ss}(\exists tt \bullet R2_{tt}(P) \wedge ER(tt, slots)) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } R2_{ss} \text{ distributes through } \exists tt \text{ ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet (\exists tt \bullet R2_{ss}(R2_{tt}(P)) \wedge R2_{ss}(ER(tt, slots))) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:subs-idem]:p??, [R2:subs]:p24 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet (\exists tt \bullet R2_{tt}(P) \wedge ER(tt, ss)) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ expand } \exists tt \text{ scope, [EQRF:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \exists ss, tt \bullet R2_{tt}(P) \wedge eqvref(tt) = eqvref(ss) \wedge eqvref(ss) = eqvref(slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ properties of =, Leibniz ”} \\
& \exists ss, tt \bullet R2_{tt}(P) \wedge eqvref(ss) = eqvref(slots) \wedge eqvref(tt) = eqvref(slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ rearrange, shrink } \exists \text{ scopes ”} \\
& (\exists tt \bullet R2_{tt}(P) \wedge eqvref(tt) = eqvref(slots)) \wedge \exists ss \bullet eqvref(ss) = eqvref(slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:subs]:p24 backwards, [R2:alt]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(P) \wedge \exists ss \bullet ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ witness } ss = slots \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(P) \\
\equiv & \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.7 Proof

of [R2a:subsumes-arg]:p??

$$\mathbf{R2a}(P) \equiv \mathbf{R2a}(P) \vee P$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2a}(P) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2a:def]:p??,[R2:subs]:p24 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } P \equiv (P \triangleleft c \triangleright P) \text{ ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \\
& \triangleleft ss = slots \triangleright P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \\
\equiv & \text{ “ defn. conditional ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet ss = slots \wedge P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \\
& \vee ss \neq slots \wedge P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } \vee\text{-idem., } \exists\text{-}\vee\text{ distr. ”} \\
& (\exists ss \bullet ss = slots \wedge P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots']) \\
& \vee (\exists ss \bullet ss = slots \wedge P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots']) \\
& \vee ss \neq slots \wedge P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots']) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ one-point rule, } ss, \text{ defn. conditional backwards ”} \\
& P[slots, slots \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \\
& \vee (\exists ss \bullet P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \\
& \triangleleft ss = slots \triangleright P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots']) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [CAT:DF:id]:p22, } (P \triangleleft c \triangleright P) \equiv P \text{ ”} \\
& P[slots, slots'/slots, slots'] \\
& \vee \exists ss \bullet P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \\
\equiv & \text{ “ identity subs., [R2:subs]:p24 and [R2a:def]:p?? backwards ”} \\
& P \vee \mathbf{R2a}(P) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.8 Proofof $[R2s:idem]:p??$

$$\mathbf{R2s} \circ \mathbf{R2s} = \mathbf{R2s}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbf{R2s} \circ \mathbf{R2s})(P(pfx \wedge \langle slot \rangle, pfx \wedge \langle slot' \rangle \wedge sfx)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } [R2s:pfx]:p?? \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2s}(\sqcap_{ss} P(ss \wedge \langle slot \rangle, ss \wedge \langle slot' \rangle \wedge sfx)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } [R2s:pfx]:p??, \text{ using } tt \text{ and noting } ss \text{ above plays } pfx \text{ role ”} \\
& \sqcap_{tt} (\sqcap_{ss} P(tt \wedge \langle slot \rangle, tt \wedge \langle slot' \rangle \wedge sfx)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \sqcap_x P = P, \text{ if } x \text{ not free in } P \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2s}(\sqcap_{tt} P(tt \wedge \langle slot \rangle, tt \wedge \langle slot' \rangle \wedge sfx)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } [R2s:pfx]:p?? \text{ backwards, using } tt \text{ instead of } ss \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2s}(P) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.9 Proofof $[R2':idem]:p??$

$$\mathbf{R2}' \circ \mathbf{R2}' = \mathbf{R2}'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbf{R2}' \circ \mathbf{R2}')(P(\langle pfx \wedge \langle slot \rangle, pfx \wedge \langle slot' \rangle \wedge sfx)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } [R2':pfx]:p?? \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}'(P(\langle snull(\emptyset) \rangle, ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } [R2':pfx]:p??, \text{ with } pfx = \langle \rangle, slot = snull(\emptyset) \text{ and } slot' = ssub(..) \text{ ”} \\
& P(\langle snull(\emptyset) \rangle, SSub(ssub(slot', slot), snull(\emptyset)) \circ sfx)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } [SSub:nil]:p17 \text{ ”} \\
& P(\langle snull(\emptyset) \rangle, ssub(slot', slot) \circ sfx) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } [R2':pfx]:p?? \text{ backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}'(P) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.10 Proof

of [R2:distr:and]:p24

$$\mathbf{R2}(P \wedge Q) = \mathbf{R2}(P) \wedge Q, \quad \text{slots, slots' not free in } Q$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(P \wedge Q) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:alt]:p24 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet R2_{ss}(P \wedge Q) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:subs]:p24, slots, slots' not free in } Q \text{ ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet R2_{ss}(P) \wedge Q \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ narrow } \exists \text{ scope ”} \\
& (\exists ss \bullet R2_{ss}(P) \wedge ER(ss, slots)) \wedge Q \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:alt]:p24 backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(P) \wedge Q \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.11 Proof

of [R2:distr:or]:p24

$$\mathbf{R2}(P \vee Q) = \mathbf{R2}(P) \vee \mathbf{R2}(Q)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(P \vee Q) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:alt]:p24 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet R2_{ss}(P \vee Q) \wedge RE(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:subs]:p24 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet (R2_{ss}(P) \vee R2_{ss}(Q)) \wedge RE(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } \wedge\text{-}\vee \text{ distr. ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet R2_{ss}(P) \wedge RE(ss, slots) \vee R2_{ss}(Q) \wedge RE(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } \exists\text{-}\vee \text{ distr. ”} \\
& (\exists ss \bullet R2_{ss}(P) \wedge RE(ss, slots)) \vee (\exists ss \bullet R2_{ss}(Q) \wedge RE(ss, slots)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:alt]:p24 backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(P) \vee \mathbf{R2}(Q) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.12 Proof

of [R2:distr:cond]:p24

$$\mathbf{R2}(P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) \equiv \mathbf{R2}(P) \triangleleft c \triangleright \mathbf{R2}(Q), \quad \text{slots, slots' not free in } c$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. of } \triangleleft c \triangleright \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(c \wedge P \vee \neg c \wedge Q) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:distr:or]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(c \wedge P) \vee \mathbf{R2}(\neg c \wedge Q) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:distr:and]:p24, slots, slots' not free in } c \text{ ”} \\
& c \wedge \mathbf{R2}(P) \vee \neg c \wedge \mathbf{R2}(Q) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. } \triangleleft c \triangleright \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(P) \triangleleft c \triangleright \mathbf{R2}(Q) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.13 Proof

of [R3:idem]:p24

$$\mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R3} = \mathbf{R3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R3})(P) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R3:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R3}(\mathbb{I}_R \langle \text{wait} \rangle P) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R3:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbb{I}_R \langle \text{wait} \rangle (\mathbb{I}_R \langle \text{wait} \rangle P) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } Q \langle c \rangle _ \text{ is idempotent ”} \\
& \mathbb{I}_R \langle \text{wait} \rangle P \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R3:def]:p24, backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R3}(P) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.14 Proof

of [R1:is:R2]:p26

$$\mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{true})) \equiv \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{true})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{true})) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R1:def]:p23, } \wedge\text{-unit ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(slots \preccurlyeq slots') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:def]:p23 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet (ss \preccurlyeq ss \# (slots' \setminus slots)) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [CAT:PFX]:p21, given } slots' \setminus slots \text{ is defined ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet slots \preccurlyeq slots' \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ witness } ss = slots \text{ ”} \\
& slots \preccurlyeq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R1:def]:p23 backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{true}) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.15 Proof

of [R2a:almost]:p??

$$\mathbf{R2a}(slots = slots') \equiv slots \cong slots'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2a}(slots = slots') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ front and last of both must be equal ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2a}(front(slots) = front(slots') \wedge last(slots) = last(slots')) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2a:def]:p?? ”} \\
& \exists ss, s \bullet \\
& \quad front(ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) = front(ss \wedge \langle sadd(s, ssub(slot', slot)) \rangle \wedge sfx) \\
& \quad \wedge last(ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) = last(ss \wedge \langle sadd(s, ssub(slot', slot)) \rangle \wedge sfx) \\
& \mathbf{where} \\
& \quad slot = last(slots) \\
& \quad (slot' \circ sfx) = slots' - front(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ From [Seq:FrontEQ:end]:p215, we get } sfx = \langle \rangle, \text{ so... ”} \\
& \exists ss, s \bullet \\
& \quad front(ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) = front(ss \wedge \langle sadd(s, ssub(slot', slot)) \rangle) \\
& \quad \wedge last(ss \wedge \langle s \rangle) = last(ss \wedge \langle sadd(s, ssub(slot', slot)) \rangle) \\
& \mathbf{where} \\
& \quad slot = last(slots) \\
& \quad slot' = head(slots' - front(slots)) \\
& \quad tail(slots' - front(slots)) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:Front:def:alt]:p212,[Seq>Last:def:alt]:p213, simplify ”} \\
& \exists ss, s \bullet \\
& \quad s = sadd(s, ssub(slot', slot)) \\
& \mathbf{where...} \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ drop } ss, \text{ expand } \mathbf{where} \text{ ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet s = sadd(s, ssub(head(slots' - front(slots)), last(slots)))) \\
& \quad \wedge tail(slots' - front(slots)) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:FrontEQ:end]:p215 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet s = sadd(s, ssub(head(slots' - front(slots)), last(slots)))) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Leibniz ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet s = sadd(s, ssub(head(slots' - front(slots')), last(slots)))) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq>Last:def:alt']:p213 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet s = sadd(s, ssub(last(slots'), last(slots)))) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [sadd:unit]:p13 ”}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists s \bullet ssub(last(slots'), last(slots)) = snull(sref(s)) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSub:eqv]:p14 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet ssub(last(slots'), last(slots)) = snull(sref(s)) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ pair equality, [sref:def]:p11 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet \pi_1(ssub(last(slots'), last(slots))) = \pi_1(snull(sref(s))) \\
& \quad \wedge sref(ssub(last(slots'), last(slots))) = sref(snull(sref(s)))) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ \wedge -idem., [SSub:ref]:p14,[SN:ref]:p12 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet \pi_1(ssub(last(slots'), last(slots))) = \pi_1(snull(sref(s))) \\
& \quad \wedge sref(last(slots')) = sref(s) \\
& \quad \wedge sref(ssub(last(slots'), last(slots))) = sref(snull(sref(s)))) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Libeniz ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet \pi_1(ssub(last(slots'), last(slots))) = \pi_1(snull(sref(last(slots')))) \\
& \quad \wedge sref(ssub(last(slots'), last(slots))) = sref(snull(sref(last(slots'))))) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SN:ref]:p12, [SN:def]:p12 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet \pi_1(ssub(last(slots'), last(slots))) = \pi_1(hnull, sref(last(slots'))) \\
& \quad \wedge sref(ssub(last(slots'), last(slots))) = sref(last(slots')))) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. of } \pi_1 \text{ ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet \pi_1(ssub(last(slots'), last(slots))) = hnull \\
& \quad \wedge sref(ssub(last(slots'), last(slots))) = sref(last(slots')))) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ pair equality, ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet ssub(last(slots'), last(slots)) = (hnull, sref(last(slots')))) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ drop } s, [SN:def]:p12, \text{ backwards ”} \\
& ssub(last(slots'), last(slots)) = snull(last(slots')) \wedge front(slots') = front(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSub:eqv]:p14 ”} \\
& last(slots') \approx last(slots) \wedge front(slots') = front(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSEQV:expand]:p20, backwards ”} \\
& slots \cong slots' \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.16 Proof

of [SSEQV:is:R2a]

$$[\text{SSEQV:is:R2a}] \quad \mathbf{R2a}(slots \cong slots') \equiv slots \cong slots'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2a}(slots \cong slots') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSEQV:expand]:p20 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2a}(\text{front}(slots) = \text{front}(slots') \wedge \text{last}(slots) \approx \text{last}(slots')) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2a:def]:p?? ”} \\
& \exists ss, s \bullet \\
& \quad \text{front}(ss \hat{\ } \langle s \rangle) = \text{front}(ss \hat{\ } \langle \text{sadd}(s, \text{ssub}(slot', slot)) \rangle) \hat{\ } sfx \\
& \quad \wedge \text{last}(ss \hat{\ } \langle s \rangle) \approx \text{last}(ss \hat{\ } \langle \text{sadd}(s, \text{ssub}(slot', slot)) \rangle) \hat{\ } sfx \\
& \mathbf{where} \\
& \quad slot = \text{last}(slots) \\
& \quad (slot' \circlearrowleft sfx) = slots' - \text{front}(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ From [Seq:FrontEQ:end]:p215, we get } sfx = \langle \rangle, \text{ so... ”} \\
& \exists ss, s \bullet \\
& \quad \text{front}(ss \hat{\ } \langle s \rangle) = \text{front}(ss \hat{\ } \langle \text{sadd}(s, \text{ssub}(slot', slot)) \rangle) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{last}(ss \hat{\ } \langle s \rangle) \approx \text{last}(ss \hat{\ } \langle \text{sadd}(s, \text{ssub}(slot', slot)) \rangle) \\
& \mathbf{where} \\
& \quad slot = \text{last}(slots) \\
& \quad slot' = \text{head}(slots' - \text{front}(slots)) \\
& \quad \text{tail}(slots' - \text{front}(slots)) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:Front:def:alt]:p212,[Seq>Last:def:alt]:p213, simplify ”} \\
& \exists ss, s \bullet \\
& \quad s \approx \text{sadd}(s, \text{ssub}(slot', slot)) \\
& \mathbf{where...} \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ drop } ss, \text{ expand } \mathbf{where} \text{ ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet s \approx \text{sadd}(s, \text{ssub}(\text{head}(slots' - \text{front}(slots)), \text{last}(slots)))) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{tail}(slots' - \text{front}(slots)) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:FrontEQ:end]:p215 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet s \approx \text{sadd}(s, \text{ssub}(\text{head}(slots' - \text{front}(slots)), \text{last}(slots)))) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{front}(slots') = \text{front}(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Leibniz ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet s \approx \text{sadd}(s, \text{ssub}(\text{head}(slots' - \text{front}(slots')), \text{last}(slots)))) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{front}(slots') = \text{front}(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq>Last:def:alt']:p213 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet s \approx \text{sadd}(s, \text{ssub}(\text{last}(slots'), \text{last}(slots)))) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{front}(slots') = \text{front}(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [sadd:eqv:unit]:p13 ”}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists s \bullet \exists r \bullet \text{ssub}(\text{last}(\text{slots}'), \text{last}(\text{slots})) = \text{snull}(r)) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{front}(\text{slots}') = \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSub:ref]:p14,[SN:ref]:p12 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet \exists r \bullet \text{ssub}(\text{last}(\text{slots}'), \text{last}(\text{slots})) = \text{snull}(\text{sref}(\text{slot}')))) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{front}(\text{slots}') = \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSub:eqv]:p14 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet \exists r \bullet \text{last}(\text{slots}') \approx \text{last}(\text{slots})) \wedge \text{front}(\text{slots}') = \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ drop quantifiers ”} \\
& \text{front}(\text{slots}) = \text{front}(\text{slots}') \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}) \approx \text{last}(\text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSEQV:expand]:p20, backwards ”} \\
& \text{slots} \cong \text{slots}' \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.17 Proof

of [SSEQV:is:R2]

 $[SSEQV:is:R2] \quad \mathbf{R2}(slots \cong slots') \equiv slots \cong slots'$ **REDO PROOF: new R2**

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(slots \cong slots') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [SSEQV:expand]:p20 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(front(slots) = front(slots') \wedge last(slots) \approx last(slots')) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:def]:p23 ”} \\
& \exists ss, s \bullet \\
& \quad front(ss \hat{\ } \langle s \rangle) = front(ss \hat{\ } \langle sadd(s, ssub(slot', slot)) \rangle \hat{\ } sfx) \\
& \quad \wedge last(ss \hat{\ } \langle s \rangle) \approx last(ss \hat{\ } \langle sadd(s, ssub(slot', slot)) \rangle \hat{\ } sfx) \\
& \mathbf{where} \\
& \quad slot = last(slots) \\
& \quad (slot' \circ sfx) = slots' - front(slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ From [Seq:FrontEQ:end]:p215, we get } sfx = \langle \rangle, \text{ so... ”} \\
& \exists ss, s \bullet \\
& \quad front(ss \hat{\ } \langle s \rangle) = front(ss \hat{\ } \langle sadd(s, ssub(slot', slot)) \rangle) \\
& \quad \wedge last(ss \hat{\ } \langle s \rangle) \approx last(ss \hat{\ } \langle sadd(s, ssub(slot', slot)) \rangle) \\
& \mathbf{where} \\
& \quad slot = last(slots) \\
& \quad slot' = head(slots' - front(slots)) \\
& \quad tail(slots' - front(slots)) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq:Front:def:alt]:p212,[Seq>Last:def:alt]:p213, simplify ”} \\
& \exists ss, s \bullet \\
& \quad s \approx sadd(s, ssub(slot', slot)) \\
& \mathbf{where...} \\
\equiv & \text{ “ drop } ss, \text{ expand } \mathbf{where} \text{ ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet s \approx sadd(s, ssub(head(slots' - front(slots)), last(slots)))) \\
& \quad \wedge tail(slots' - front(slots)) = \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq:FrontEQ:end]:p215 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet s \approx sadd(s, ssub(head(slots' - front(slots)), last(slots)))) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ Leibniz ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet s \approx sadd(s, ssub(head(slots' - front(slots')), last(slots)))) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq>Last:def:alt]:p213 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet s \approx sadd(s, ssub(last(slots'), last(slots)))) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [sadd:qv:unit]:p13 ”}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists s \bullet \exists r \bullet \text{ssub}(\text{last}(\text{slots}'), \text{last}(\text{slots})) = \text{snull}(r)) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{front}(\text{slots}') = \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSub:ref]:p14,[SN:ref]:p12 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet \exists r \bullet \text{ssub}(\text{last}(\text{slots}'), \text{last}(\text{slots})) = \text{snull}(\text{sref}(\text{slot}')))) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{front}(\text{slots}') = \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSub:eqv]:p14 ”} \\
& (\exists s \bullet \exists r \bullet \text{last}(\text{slots}') \approx \text{last}(\text{slots})) \wedge \text{front}(\text{slots}') = \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ drop quantifiers ”} \\
& \text{front}(\text{slots}) = \text{front}(\text{slots}') \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}) \approx \text{last}(\text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSEQV:expand]:p20, backwards ”} \\
& \text{slots} \cong \text{slots}'
\end{aligned}$$

INCOMPLETE

A.3.18 Proof

of [SSEQ:is:R2]

$$[\text{SSEQ:is:R2}] \quad \mathbf{R2}(slots = slots') \equiv slots = slots'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(slots = slots') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:def]:p23 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet ss = ss \ \# \ (slots' \searrow slots) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CAT:equal]:p21 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet (slots' \searrow slots) = \langle snull(eqref(ss)) \rangle \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DF:Null:equal]:p22 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet (slots' \cong slots) \wedge eqref(slots') = eqref(ss) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EQRF:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet slots' \cong slots \\
& \quad \wedge eqref(slots') = eqref(ss) \wedge eqref(ss) = eqref(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Leibniz ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet slots' \cong slots \\
& \quad \wedge eqref(slots') = eqref(slots) \wedge eqref(ss) = eqref(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSEQV:expand]:p20, [ER:def]:p18, re-arrange ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet eqref(ss) = eqref(slots) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots) \\
& \quad \wedge last(slots') \approx last(slots) \wedge sref(last(slots')) = sref(last(slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SEQV:equal-h]:p20 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet eqref(ss) = eqref(slots) \\
& \quad \wedge front(slots') = front(slots) \\
& \quad \wedge first(last(slots')) = first(last(slots)) \wedge sref(last(slots')) = sref(last(slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ structural equality, shrink quantifier scope ”} \\
& slots' = slots \wedge \exists ss \bullet eqref(ss) = eqref(slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ witness } ss = slots \text{ ”} \\
& slots' = slots \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.19 Proofof $[\text{llr:is:R1}]:\text{p26}$

$$\mathbf{R1}(\mathbb{I}_R) \equiv \mathbb{I}_R$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbb{I}_R) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{llr:def}]:\text{p24 } \text{”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(\neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
& \quad \vee ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{R1:def}]:\text{p23 } \text{”} \\
& (\neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
& \quad \vee ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots) \\
& \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \wedge\text{-}\vee \text{ distr. } \text{”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
& \quad \vee ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \wedge\text{-idem. } \text{”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
& \quad \vee ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } s = s' \wedge s \preceq s' \equiv s = s', \text{ see } [\text{SSEQV:def}]:\text{p20 } \text{”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
& \quad \vee ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } [\text{llr:def}]:\text{p24, backwards } \text{”} \\
& \mathbb{I}_R \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.20 Proof

of [R3:is:R1]:p26

$$\mathbf{R1}(P) \equiv P \Rightarrow \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R3}(P)) \equiv \mathbf{R3}(P)$$

Assume

$$\mathbf{R1}(P) \equiv P \quad [\text{R3:is:R1:hyp1}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R3}(P)) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [R3:def]:p24 ”} \\ & \mathbf{R1}(\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \textit{wait} \triangleright P) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:distr:cond]:p23 ”} \\ & \mathbf{R1}(\mathbb{I}_R) \triangleleft \textit{wait} \triangleright \mathbf{R1}(P) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [llr:is:R1]:p26, [R3:is:R1:hyp1]:p134 ”} \\ & \mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \textit{wait} \triangleright P \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [R3:def]:p24, backwards ”} \\ & \mathbf{R3}(P) \\ \square \end{aligned}$$

A.3.21 Proof

of [llr:is:R2]:p26

$$\mathbf{R2}(II_R) \equiv II_R$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(II_R) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [llr:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
& \quad \vee ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:distr:or]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots') \\
& \quad \vee \mathbf{R2}(ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:distr:and]:p24, slots, slots' not free in either } \neg ok \text{ or } ok' \text{ ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge \mathbf{R2}(slots \preceq slots') \\
& \quad \vee ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge \mathbf{R2}(slots' = slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [SSEQ:is:R2]:p132 ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
& \quad \vee ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [llr:def]:p24, backwards ”} \\
& II_R \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.22 Proof

of [llr:is:R3]:p26

$$\mathbf{R3}(I_R) \equiv I_R$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{R3}(I_R) \\ \equiv & \text{ “ [R3:def]:p24 ”} \\ & I_R \langle \textit{wait} \rangle I_R \\ \equiv & \text{ “ } P \langle \textit{c} \rangle P \equiv P \text{ ”} \\ & I_R \\ \square \end{aligned}$$

A.3.23 Proof

of [R1:R2:comm]:p26

$$\mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{R2} = \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R1}$$

Lhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R2}(P)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:alt]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(\exists ss \bullet P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge ER(ss, slots)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R1:def]:p23 ”} \\
& (\exists ss \bullet P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge ER(ss, slots)) \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ expand quantifier scope ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge ER(ss, slots) \wedge slots \preceq slots'
\end{aligned}$$

Rhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(P)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R1:def]:p23 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(P \wedge slots \preceq slots') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:alt]:p24 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge ss \preceq ss \# (slots' \searrow slots) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } ss \preceq tt \text{ provided } tt \text{ is defined, i.e here that } slots \preceq slots' \text{ ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet P[ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge ER(ss, slots)
\end{aligned}$$

Both sides are identical \square

A.3.24 Proof

of [R1:R3:comm]:p26

$$\mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{R3} = \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R1}$$

Lhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R3}(P)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R3:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \textit{wait} \triangleright P) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R1:distr:cond]:p23 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \textit{wait} \triangleright \mathbf{R1}(P)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [llr:is:R1]:p26 ”} \\
& \mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \textit{wait} \triangleright \mathbf{R1}(P) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R3:def]:p24, backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R3}(\mathbf{R1}(P)) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.25 Proof

of [R2:R3:comm]:p26

$$\mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R3} = \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R3}(P)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R3:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \textit{wait} \triangleright P) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:distr:cond]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbb{I}_R) \triangleleft \textit{wait} \triangleright \mathbf{R2}(P) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [llr:is:R2]:p26 ”} \\
& \mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \textit{wait} \triangleright \mathbf{R2}(P) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R3:def]:p24, backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R3}(\mathbf{R2}(P))
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.26 Proof

of [R:idem]:p26

$$\mathbf{R} \circ \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R} \circ \mathbf{R} \\
= & \text{ “ [R:def]:p25 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R} \circ \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R1} \\
= & \text{ “ [R:def]:p25 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R1} \\
= & \text{ “ [R1:R3:comm]:p26,[R2:R3:comm]:p26,[R1:R2:comm]:p26 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{R1} \\
= & \text{ “ [R1:idem]:p23,[R2:idem]:p24,[R3:idem]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{R1} \\
= & \text{ “ [R:def]:p25, backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R}
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.27 Proof

of [P-GROW:Q-GROW:seq:PQ-GROW].

The following law (referred to in [She06] as “relational calculus”), is generally quite useful:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[P-GROW:Q-GROW:seq:PQ-GROW]} \quad & (P \wedge GROW); (Q \wedge GROW) \\ & \equiv ((P \wedge GROW); (Q \wedge GROW)) \wedge GROW \end{aligned}$$

Proof, reduce Lhs to Rhs:

$$\begin{aligned} & (P \wedge GROW); (Q \wedge GROW) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\ & \exists obs_m \bullet P[seq'] \wedge GROW[seq'] \wedge Q[seq] \wedge GROW[seq] \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [pfx:trans]:p17 ”} \\ & \exists obs_m \bullet P[seq'] \wedge GROW[seq'] \wedge Q[seq] \wedge GROW[seq] \wedge GROW \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ shrink scope ”} \\ & (\exists obs_m \bullet P[seq'] \wedge GROW[seq'] \wedge Q[seq] \wedge GROW[seq]) \wedge GROW \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32, backwards ”} \\ & (P \wedge GROW; Q \wedge GROW) \wedge GROW \\ \square \end{aligned}$$

This can also be written as $\mathbf{R1}(P); \mathbf{R1}(Q) \equiv \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R1}(P); \mathbf{R1}(Q))$

A.3.28 Proof

of [expand-R:eq:expand]:p26

$$P \equiv \mathbf{R}(P) \Rightarrow GROW; P = GROW$$

We assume the antecedent and proceed:

$$\begin{aligned}
& GROW; P \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ assumption, [R:expand:1]:p26, ignoring **R2** part ”} \\
& GROW; (\mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft wait \triangleright P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Cond:def]:p32 ”} \\
& GROW; (wait \wedge \mathbf{I}_R \vee \neg wait \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ ;-\vee distributivity ”} \\
& (GROW; wait \wedge \mathbf{I}_R) \vee (GROW; \neg wait \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [llr:def]:p24 ”} \\
& (GROW; wait \wedge (DIV \vee ok' \wedge RSTET)) \vee (GROW; \neg wait \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ ;-\vee distributivity ”} \\
& (GROW; wait \wedge DIV) \vee (GROW; wait \wedge ok' \wedge RSTET) \\
& \vee (GROW; \neg wait \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DIV:def]:p24 ”} \\
& (GROW; wait \wedge \neg ok \wedge GROW) \\
& \vee (GROW; wait \wedge ok' \wedge RSTET) \\
& \vee (GROW; \neg wait \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Line 1:[Seq:def]:p32; Line 2: [comp:RSTET]:p25; Line 3:[comp:GROW:closed]:p147 ”} \\
& (\exists obs_0 \bullet GROW[obs_0/obs'] \wedge wait_0 \wedge \neg ok_0 \wedge GROW[obs_0, obs]) \\
& \vee (\exists ok_0, state_0 \bullet GROW[ok_0, state_0/ok', state'] \wedge (wait \wedge ok')[ok_0, state_0, rest'/ok, state, rest]) \\
& \vee GROW \wedge (GROW; \neg wait \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Line 1: factor slots}_0 \text{ apart from } ok_0 \text{ and } wait_0; \text{ Line 2: subst., drop } \exists state_0 \text{ ”} \\
& (\exists slots_0 \bullet GROW[slots_0/slots'] \wedge GROW[slots_0, slots]) \wedge (\exists wait_0, ok_0 \bullet wait_0 \wedge \neg ok_0) \\
& \vee (\exists ok_0 \bullet GROW \wedge wait' \wedge ok') \vee GROW \wedge (GROW; \neg wait \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Line 1, } wait_0 = true \wedge ok_0 = false; \text{ Line 2: } ok_0 \text{ not mentioned. ”} \\
& (\exists slots_0 \bullet GROW[slots_0/slots'] \wedge GROW[slots_0, slots]) \\
& \vee GROW \wedge wait' \wedge ok' \vee GROW \wedge (GROW; \neg wait \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32, backwards, noting only slots mentioned, distributivity ”} \\
& (GROW; GROW) \vee GROW \wedge (wait' \wedge ok' \vee (GROW; \neg wait \wedge P \wedge GROW)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [GROW-GROW:eq:GROW]:p23 ”} \\
& GROW \vee GROW \wedge \dots \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ absorption ”} \\
& GROW \\
\equiv & \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.29 Proof

of [DIV-R:eq:DIV]:p26

$$P \equiv \mathbf{R}(P) \Rightarrow DIV; P = DIV$$

We assume the antecedent and proceed:

$$\begin{aligned}
& DIV; P \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ assumption, [R:expand:1]:p26, ignoring } \mathbf{R2} \text{ part ”} \\
& DIV; (\mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Cond:def]:p32 ”} \\
& DIV; (\text{wait} \wedge \mathbf{I}_R \vee \neg \text{wait} \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ ; } \neg \vee \text{ distributivity ”} \\
& (DIV; \text{wait} \wedge \mathbf{I}_R) \vee (DIV; \neg \text{wait} \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [llr:def]:p24 ”} \\
& (DIV; \text{wait} \wedge DIV \vee \text{ok}' \wedge RSTET) \vee (DIV; \neg \text{wait} \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ ; } \neg \vee \text{ distributivity ”} \\
& (DIV; \text{wait} \wedge DIV) \vee (DIV; \text{wait} \wedge \text{ok}' \wedge RSTET) \\
& \vee (DIV; \neg \text{wait} \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DIV:def]:p24 ”} \\
& (\neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW; \text{wait} \wedge \neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW) \\
& \vee (\neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW; \text{wait} \wedge \text{ok}' \wedge RSTET) \\
& \vee (\neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW; \neg \text{wait} \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Line 1:[Seq:def]:p32; Line 2: [comp:RSTET]:p25; Line 3:[comp:GROW:closed]:p147 ”} \\
& (\exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet \neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW[\text{obs}_0/\text{obs}'] \wedge \text{wait}_0 \wedge \neg \text{ok}_0 \wedge GROW[\text{obs}_0, \text{obs}]) \\
& \vee (\exists \text{ok}_0, \text{state}_0 \bullet \neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW[\text{ok}_0, \text{state}_0/\text{ok}', \text{state}'] \wedge (\text{wait} \wedge \text{ok}')[\text{ok}_0, \text{state}_0, \text{rest}'/\text{ok}, \text{state}, \text{rest}]) \\
& \vee GROW \wedge (\neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW; \neg \text{wait} \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Line 1: factor } \text{slots}_0 \text{ apart from } \text{ok}_0 \text{ and } \text{wait}_0; \text{ Line 2: subst., drop } \exists \text{state}_0 \text{ ”} \\
& (\exists \text{slots}_0 \bullet \neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW[\text{slots}_0/\text{slots}'] \wedge GROW[\text{slots}_0, \text{slots}]) \wedge (\exists \text{wait}_0, \text{ok}_0 \bullet \text{wait}_0 \wedge \neg \text{ok}_0) \\
& \vee (\exists \text{ok}_0 \bullet \neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW \wedge \text{wait}' \wedge \text{ok}') \vee GROW \wedge (\neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW; \neg \text{wait} \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Line 1, reduce } \text{slots}_0 \text{ scope, } \text{wait}_0 = \text{true} \wedge \text{ok}_0 = \text{false}; \text{ Line 2: } \text{ok}_0 \text{ not mentioned. ”} \\
& \neg \text{ok} \wedge (\exists \text{slots}_0 \bullet GROW[\text{slots}_0/\text{slots}'] \wedge GROW[\text{slots}_0, \text{slots}]) \\
& \vee \neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW \wedge \text{wait}' \wedge \text{ok}' \vee \neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW \wedge (GROW; \neg \text{wait} \wedge P \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32, backwards, noting only } \text{slots} \text{ mentioned, distributivity ”} \\
& \neg \text{ok} \wedge (GROW; GROW) \vee \neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW \wedge (\text{wait}' \wedge \text{ok}' \vee (GROW; \neg \text{wait} \wedge P \wedge GROW)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [GROW-GROW:eq:GROW]:p23 ”} \\
& \neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW \vee \neg \text{ok} \wedge GROW \wedge \dots \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ absorption, [DIV:def]:p24 backwards ”} \\
& DIV \\
\equiv & \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.30 Proof

of [llr:is:CSP1]:p27

$$\mathbf{CSP1}(\mathcal{I}_R) = \mathcal{I}_R$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathcal{I}_R) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CSP1:def]:p27 ”} \\
& \mathcal{I}_R \vee \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [llr:def]:p24 ”} \\
& (DIV \vee ok' \wedge RSTET) \vee DIV \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ logic ”} \\
& DIV \vee ok' \wedge RSTET \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [llr:def]:p24, backwards ”} \\
& \mathcal{I}_R \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.31 Proof

of [R1:CSP1:comm]:p27

$$\mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{CSP1} = \mathbf{CSP1} \circ \mathbf{R1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{CSP1}(P)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [CSP1:def]:p27 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(P \vee \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R1:def]:p23 ”} \\
& (P \vee \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots') \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ prop. calc. ”} \\
& P \wedge slots \preceq slots' \vee \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R1:def]:p23, backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(P) \vee \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [CSP1:def]:p27, backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R1}(P)) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.32 Proof

of [R2:CSP1:comm]:p27

$$\mathbf{R2} \circ \mathbf{CSP1} = \mathbf{CSP1} \circ \mathbf{R2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{CSP1}(P)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [CSP1:def]:p27 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(P \vee \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:distr:or]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(P) \vee \mathbf{R2}(\neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R2:distr:and]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(P) \vee \neg ok \wedge \mathbf{R2}(slots \preceq slots') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R1:is:R2]:p26 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(P) \vee \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [CSP1:def]:p27, backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R2}(P))
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.33 Proof

of [ok:and:llr]

$$[\text{ok:and:ll}] \quad ok \wedge \mathbb{I}_R \equiv ok \wedge ok' \wedge RSTET$$

This is [She06, Lemma 3.1, p36].

$$\begin{aligned}
& ok \wedge \mathbb{I}_R \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [llr:def]:p24 ”} \\
& ok \wedge (DIV \vee ok' \wedge RSTET) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ distr. ”} \\
& ok \wedge DIV \vee ok \wedge ok' \wedge RSTET \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DIV:def]:p24 ”} \\
& ok \wedge \neg ok \wedge GROW \vee ok \wedge ok' \wedge RSTET \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ logic ” } ok \wedge ok' \wedge RSTET \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.34 Proof

of [not-ok:and:llr]

$$[\text{not-ok:and:llr}] \quad \neg ok \wedge \mathbb{I}_R \equiv DIV$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \neg ok \wedge \mathbb{I}_R \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [llr:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge (DIV \vee ok' \wedge RSTET) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DIV:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge (\neg ok \wedge GROW \vee \wedge ok' \wedge RSTET) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [RSTET:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge (\neg ok \wedge GROW \wedge ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } slots' = slots \Rightarrow GROW \text{ ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge (\neg ok \wedge GROW \wedge ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots \wedge GROW) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ distr., idem. ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge GROW \vee \neg ok \wedge GROW \wedge ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ absorb. ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge GROW \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DIV:def]:p24, backwards ”} \\
& DIV \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.35 Proof

of [R3:CSP1:comm]:p27

$$\mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(P)) \equiv \mathbf{R3}(\mathbf{CSP1}(P)) \vee \mathit{wait} \wedge \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{false})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(P)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R3:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft \mathit{wait} \triangleright P) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn conditional ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathit{wait} \wedge \mathbf{I}_R \vee \neg \mathit{wait} \wedge P) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CSP1:alt]:p27 ”} \\
& \mathit{wait} \wedge \mathbf{I}_R \vee \neg \mathit{wait} \wedge P \vee \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{false}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ prop. calc ”} \\
& \mathit{wait} \wedge \mathbf{I}_R \vee \neg \mathit{wait} \wedge P \vee \mathit{wait} \wedge \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{false}) \vee \neg \mathit{wait} \wedge \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{false}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ rearrange ”} \\
& \mathit{wait} \wedge \mathbf{I}_R \vee \mathit{wait} \wedge \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{false}) \vee \neg \mathit{wait} \wedge P \vee \neg \mathit{wait} \wedge \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{false}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \wedge\text{-}\vee \text{ distributivity ”} \\
& \mathit{wait} \wedge (\mathbf{I}_R \vee \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{false})) \vee \neg \mathit{wait} \wedge (P \vee \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{false})) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ defn. conditional ”} \\
& (\mathbf{I}_R \vee \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{false})) \triangleleft \mathit{wait} \triangleright P \vee \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{false}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CSP1:alt]:p27, backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{I}_R) \triangleleft \mathit{wait} \triangleright \mathbf{CSP1}(P) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Irr:is:CSP1]:p27 ”} \\
& \mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft \mathit{wait} \triangleright \mathbf{CSP1}(P) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R3:def]:p24, backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R3}(\mathbf{CSP1}(P)) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.36 Proof

of [comp:R1:closed]:p23

$$(P \equiv \mathbf{R1}(P)) \wedge (Q \equiv \mathbf{R1}(Q)) \Rightarrow ((P; Q) \equiv \mathbf{R1}(P; Q))$$

We assume

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\text{comp:R1:closed:hyp1}] & P \equiv \mathbf{R1}(P) \\ [\text{comp:R1:closed:hyp2}] & Q \equiv \mathbf{R1}(Q) \end{array}$$

to show:

$$\begin{array}{l} P; Q \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [comp:R1:closed:hyp1]:p147,[comp:R1:closed:hyp2]:p147 ”} \\ \mathbf{R1}(P); \mathbf{R1}(Q) \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [R1:def]:p23 ”} \\ (P \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}'); (Q \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}') \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\ \exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet P[\text{obs}_0/\text{obs}'] \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}_0 \wedge Q[\text{obs}_0/\text{obs}] \wedge \text{slots}_0 \preceq \text{slots}' \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [EX:trans]:p19 ”} \\ \exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet P[\text{obs}_0/\text{obs}'] \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}_0 \wedge Q[\text{obs}_0/\text{obs}] \wedge \text{slots}_0 \preceq \text{slots}' \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}' \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ obs}_0 \text{ not free in last conjunct ”} \\ (\exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet P[\text{obs}_0/\text{obs}'] \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}_0 \wedge Q[\text{obs}_0/\text{obs}] \wedge \text{slots}_0 \preceq \text{slots}') \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}' \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32, backwards ”} \\ ((P \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}'); (Q \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}')) \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}' \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [R1:def]:p23, backwards thrice ”} \\ \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R1}(P); \mathbf{R1}(Q)) \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [comp:R1:closed:hyp1]:p147,[comp:R1:closed:hyp2]:p147 ”} \\ \mathbf{R1}(P; Q) \\ \square \end{array}$$

An important result also from the above proof is [comp:GROW:closed]:

$$(P \wedge \text{GROW}); (Q \wedge \text{GROW}) \equiv \text{GROW} \wedge ((P \wedge \text{GROW}); (Q \wedge \text{GROW}))$$

A.3.37 Proof

of [comp:R2a:closed]:p??

$$(P \equiv \mathbf{R2a}(P)) \wedge (Q \equiv \mathbf{R2a}(Q)) \Rightarrow ((P; Q) \equiv \mathbf{R2a}(P; Q))$$

We assume the antecedent, and proceed to start with the rhs of the consequent.

Note that as the antecedent is used in the first and last steps only, that we have also shown that

$$[\mathbf{R2a:comp:closure}] \quad \mathbf{R2a}(\mathbf{R2a}(P); \mathbf{R2a}(Q)) \equiv \mathbf{R2a}(P); \mathbf{R2a}(Q)$$

for arbitrary P and Q

(see overleaf)

$\mathbf{R2a}(P; Q)$
 \equiv “ P and Q are $\mathbf{R2a}$ -healthy by assumption ”
 $\mathbf{R2a}(\mathbf{R2a}(P); \mathbf{R2a}(Q))$
 \equiv “ [R2a:def]:p?? ”
 $\mathbf{R2a}((\exists uu \bullet P[uu, uu \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots', slots]) ;$
 $(\exists vv \bullet Q[vv, vv \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots', slots]))$
 \equiv “ [Seq:def]:p32 ”
 $\mathbf{R2a}(\exists slots_0 \bullet$
 $(\exists uu \bullet P[uu, uu \# (slots_0 \searrow slots)/slots', slots]) \wedge$
 $(\exists vv \bullet Q[vv, vv \# (slots' \searrow slots_0)/slots', slots]))$
 \equiv “ [R2a:def]:p?? ”
 $\exists ss, slots_0 \bullet$
 $(\exists uu \bullet P[uu, uu \# (slots_0 \searrow ss)/slots', slots]) \wedge$
 $(\exists vv \bullet Q[vv, vv \# ((ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)) \searrow slots_0)/slots', slots]))$
 \equiv “ take $slots_0 = ss \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)$ ”
 $\exists ss, slots_1 \bullet$
 $(\exists uu \bullet P[uu, uu \# ((ss \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)) \searrow ss)/slots', slots]) \wedge$
 $(\exists vv \bullet Q[vv, vv \# ((ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)) \searrow (ss \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)))/slots', slots]))$
 \equiv “ [CAT:DF:id]:p22 ”
 $\exists ss, slots_1 \bullet$
 $(\exists uu \bullet P[uu, uu \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)/slots', slots]) \wedge$
 $(\exists vv \bullet Q[vv, vv \# ((ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)) \searrow (ss \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)))/slots', slots]))$
 \equiv “ [CAT:DF:px]:p22 ”
 $\exists ss, slots_1 \bullet$
 $(\exists uu \bullet P[uu, uu \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)/slots', slots]) \wedge$
 $(\exists vv \bullet Q[vv, vv \# ((slots' \searrow slots) \searrow (slots_1 \searrow slots)) / slots', slots]))$
 \equiv “ [DF:subsub]:p22 ”
 $\exists ss, slots_1 \bullet$
 $(\exists uu \bullet P[uu, uu \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)/slots', slots]) \wedge$
 $(\exists vv \bullet Q[vv, vv \# (slots' \searrow slots_1) / slots', slots]))$
 \equiv “ [Seq:def]:p32, backwards, ss not mentioned ”
 $(\exists uu \bullet P[uu, uu \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots', slots]) ;$
 $(\exists vv \bullet Q[vv, vv \# (slots' \searrow slots) / slots', slots])$
 \equiv “ [R2a:def]:p??, backwards, twice ”
 $\mathbf{R2a}(P) ; \mathbf{R2a}(Q)$
 \equiv “ P and Q are $\mathbf{R2a}$ -healthy by assumption ”
 $P ; Q$
 \square

A.3.38 Proof

of [comp:R2:closed]:p24

$$\begin{aligned}
& (P \equiv \mathbf{R2}(P)) \wedge (Q \equiv \mathbf{R2}(Q)) \Rightarrow ((P; Q) \equiv \mathbf{R2}(P; Q)) \\
& \mathbf{R2}(P; Q) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } P \text{ and } Q \text{ are } \mathbf{R2}\text{-healthy ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R2}(P); \mathbf{R2}(Q)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:alt]:p24 twice ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}((\exists uu \bullet R2_{uu}(P) \wedge RE(uu, slots)) \\
& \quad ; (\exists vv \bullet R2_{vv}(Q) \wedge RE(vv, slots))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\exists obs_0 \bullet \\
& \quad (\exists uu \bullet R2_{uu}(P) \wedge RE(uu, slots))[seq'] \\
& \quad \wedge (\exists vv \bullet R2_{vv}(Q) \wedge RE(vv, slots))[seq]) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:subs]:p32, [Seq:subs']:p32 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\exists obs_0 \bullet \\
& \quad (\exists uu \bullet (R2_{uu}(P))[seq'] \wedge RE(uu, slots)) \\
& \quad \wedge (\exists vv \bullet (R2_{vv}(Q))[seq] \wedge RE(vv, slots_0))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:subs]:p24 twice ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\exists obs_0 \bullet \\
& \quad (\exists uu \bullet P[uu, uu \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] [seq'] \wedge RE(uu, slots)) \\
& \quad \wedge (\exists vv \bullet Q[vv, vv \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] [seq] \wedge RE(vv, slots_0))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ applying [seq] subs, } P_0, Q_0 = P[rest_0/rest'], Q[rest_0/rest], \text{ for } obs = rest, slots \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\exists rest_0, slots_0 \bullet \\
& \quad (\exists uu \bullet P_0[uu, uu \# (slots_0 \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(uu, slots)) \\
& \quad \wedge (\exists vv \bullet Q_0[vv, vv \# (slots' \searrow slots_0)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(vv, slots_0))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:alt]:p24 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet RE(ss, slots) \wedge \\
& \quad R2_{ss}(\exists rest_0, slots_0 \bullet \\
& \quad (\exists uu \bullet P_0[uu, uu \# (slots_0 \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(uu, slots)) \\
& \quad \wedge (\exists vv \bullet Q_0[vv, vv \# (slots' \searrow slots_0)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(vv, slots_0))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:subs]:p24 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet RE(ss, slots) \wedge \\
& \quad \exists rest_0, slots_0 \bullet \\
& \quad (\exists uu \bullet P_0[uu, uu \# (slots_0 \searrow ss)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(uu, ss)) \\
& \quad \wedge (\exists vv \bullet Q_0[vv, vv \# ((ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)) \searrow slots_0)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(vv, slots_0))
\end{aligned}$$

At this point we introduce a change of variable: $slots_0 = ss \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)$. The usual justification

is the following bidirectional inference rule:

$$\frac{\exists x : A \bullet P(x)}{\exists y : B \bullet P(f(y))} \quad f : B \rightarrow A,$$

The function f giving the old variable x in terms of the new one y has to be surjective, so all possible candidate x s are covered. However, the expression $ss \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)$, viewed as a function from $slots_1$ to $slots_0$ is not surjective. However, we note that we are in a context where ss is itself existentially quantified, so we apply the following rule:

$$\frac{\exists x : A, c : C \bullet P(x, c)}{\exists y : B, c : C \bullet P(f(y, c), c)} \quad f : B \times C \rightarrow A,$$

The expression $ss \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)$ viewed as a function over ss and $slots_1$ is surjective. Any value of $slots_0$ can be obtained, regardless of the value of $slots$ by choosing ss and $slots_1$ to satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} ss &= slots_0 \\ slots_1 &\cong slots \\ eqvref(slots_1) &= eqvref(slots_0) \end{aligned}$$

We now continue with the proof, having made the variable change:

$$\begin{aligned} &\exists ss \bullet RE(ss, slots) \wedge \\ &\quad \exists rest_0, slots_1 \bullet \\ &\quad \quad (\exists uu \bullet P_0[uu, uu \# ((ss \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)) \searrow ss)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(uu, ss)) \\ &\quad \quad \wedge (\exists vv \bullet Q_0[vv, vv \# ((ss \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)) \searrow (ss \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)))/slots, slots'] \\ &\quad \quad \quad \wedge RE(vv, ss \# (slots_1 \searrow slots))) \\ \equiv &\quad \text{“ [CAT:DF:id]:p22 line3, [CAT:DF:pfx]:p22 line 4, [CAT:ER:last]:p21 line 5 ”} \\ &\exists ss \bullet RE(ss, slots) \wedge \\ &\quad \exists rest_0, slots_1 \bullet \\ &\quad \quad (\exists uu \bullet P_0[uu, uu \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(uu, ss)) \\ &\quad \quad \wedge (\exists vv \bullet Q_0[vv, vv \# ((slots_1 \searrow slots) \searrow (slots_1 \searrow slots))/slots, slots'] \\ &\quad \quad \quad \wedge RE(vv, slots_1 \searrow slots)) \\ \equiv &\quad \text{“ [DF:subsub]:p22 line 4, [DF:ER:first]:p22 line 5 ”} \\ &\exists ss \bullet RE(ss, slots) \wedge \\ &\quad \exists rest_0, slots_1 \bullet \\ &\quad \quad (\exists uu \bullet P_0[uu, uu \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(uu, ss)) \\ &\quad \quad \wedge (\exists vv \bullet Q_0[vv, vv \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \\ &\quad \quad \quad \wedge RE(vv, slots_1)) \\ \equiv &\quad \text{“ } RE(uu, ss) \wedge RE(ss, slots) \equiv RE(uu, slots) \wedge RE(ss, slots) \text{ ”} \\ &\exists ss \bullet RE(ss, slots) \wedge \\ &\quad \exists rest_0, slots_1 \bullet \\ &\quad \quad (\exists uu \bullet P_0[uu, uu \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(uu, slots)) \\ &\quad \quad \wedge (\exists vv \bullet Q_0[vv, vv \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \\ &\quad \quad \quad \wedge RE(vv, slots_1)) \end{aligned}$$

\equiv “ narrow scope of $\exists ss$ ”
 $(\exists ss \bullet RE(ss, slots)) \wedge$
 $(\exists rest_0, slots_1 \bullet$
 $(\exists uu \bullet P_0[uu, uu \# (slots_1 \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(uu, slots))$
 $\wedge (\exists vv \bullet Q_0[vv, vv \# (slots' \searrow slots_1)/slots, slots']$
 $\wedge RE(vv, slots_1)))$

\equiv “ witness $ss = slots$ line 1, α -rename $slots_1$ to $slots_0$ ”
 $\exists rest_0, slots_0 \bullet$
 $(\exists uu \bullet P_0[uu, uu \# (slots_0 \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(uu, slots))$
 $\wedge (\exists vv \bullet Q_0[vv, vv \# (slots' \searrow slots_1)/slots, slots']$
 $\wedge RE(vv, slots_0))$

\equiv “ defn. P_0, Q_0 ”
 $\exists rest_0, slots_0 \bullet$
 $(\exists uu \bullet P[rest_0/rest'][uu, uu \# (slots_0 \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(uu, slots))$
 $\wedge (\exists vv \bullet Q[rest_0/rest'][vv, vv \# (slots' \searrow slots_1)/slots, slots']$
 $\wedge RE(vv, slots_0))$

\equiv “ [Seq:def]:p32 backwards ”
 $(\exists uu \bullet P[uu, uu \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(uu, slots))$
 $;$ $(\exists vv \bullet Q[vv, vv \# (slots' \searrow slots)/slots, slots'] \wedge RE(vv, slots))$

\equiv “ [R2:alt]:p24, twice backwards ”
 $\mathbf{R2}(P); \mathbf{R2}(Q)$

\equiv “ P and Q are $\mathbf{R2}$ -healthy by assumption ”
 $P; Q$

\square

A.3.39 Proof

of [comp:CSP1:closed]

$$(P \equiv \mathbf{CSP1}(P)) \wedge (Q \equiv \mathbf{CSP1}(Q)) \Rightarrow ((P; Q) \equiv \mathbf{CSP1}(P; Q))$$

We assume the antecedent:

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\text{comp:CSP1:closed:hyp1}] & P \equiv \mathbf{CSP1}(P) \\ [\text{comp:CSP1:closed:hyp2}] & Q \equiv \mathbf{CSP1}(Q) \end{array}$$

We then convert LHS to RHS:

$$\begin{array}{l} P; Q \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [comp:CSP1:closed:hyp1], [comp:CSP1:closed:hyp2] ”} \\ \mathbf{CSP1}(P); \mathbf{CSP1}(Q) \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [CSP1:def]:p27 ”} \\ (P \vee \mathit{DIV}); (Q \vee \mathit{DIV}) \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ Seq:def ”} \\ \exists \mathit{obs}_0 \bullet (P[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}'] \vee \mathit{DIV}[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}']) \wedge (Q[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}] \vee \mathit{DIV}[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}]) \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ distributivity ”} \\ \exists \mathit{obs}_0 \bullet \\ \quad P[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}'] \wedge Q[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}] \\ \quad \vee \mathit{DIV}[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}'] \wedge Q[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}] \\ \quad \vee P[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}'] \wedge \mathit{DIV}[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}] \\ \quad \vee \mathit{DIV}[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}'] \wedge \mathit{DIV}[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}] \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [DIV:def]:p24, substitution ”} \\ \exists \mathit{obs}_0 \bullet \\ \quad P[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}'] \wedge Q[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}] \\ \quad \vee \neg \mathit{ok} \wedge \mathit{slots} \preceq \mathit{slots}_0 \wedge Q[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}] \\ \quad \vee P[\mathit{obs}_0/\mathit{obs}'] \wedge \neg \mathit{ok}_0 \wedge \mathit{slots}_0 \preceq \mathit{slots}' \\ \quad \vee \neg \mathit{ok} \wedge \mathit{slots} \preceq \mathit{slots}_0 \wedge \neg \mathit{ok}_0 \wedge \mathit{slots}_0 \preceq \mathit{slots}' \end{array}$$

A.3.40 Proof

of [comp:prsv:SSEQ]

$$[\text{comp:prsv:SSEQ}] \quad (P \Rightarrow SSEQ) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow SSEQ) \equiv ((P; Q) \Rightarrow SSEQ)$$

We note that $(A \Rightarrow B) \equiv (A \equiv A \wedge B)$, and so we assume $P = P \wedge SSEQ$, $Q = Q \wedge SSEQ$ to show that

$$(P; Q) \equiv (P; Q) \wedge SSEQ$$

Proof, start with LHS:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P; Q \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ assumption ”} \\
& (P \wedge SSEQ); (Q \wedge SSEQ) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32,[SSEQ:def]:p?? ”} \\
& \exists obs_0 \bullet P[seq'] \wedge Q[seq] \wedge slots_0 = slots \wedge slots' = slots_0 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ = is transitive ”} \\
& \exists obs_0 \bullet P[seq'] \wedge Q[seq] \wedge slots_0 = slots \wedge slots' = slots_0 \wedge slots' = slots \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSEQ:def]:p??,SSEQ not bound by quantifier ”} \\
& (\exists obs_0 \bullet P[seq'] \wedge Q[seq] \wedge slots_0 = slots \wedge slots' = slots_0) \wedge SSEQ
\end{aligned}$$

Now the RHS:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (P; Q) \wedge SSEQ \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& (\exists obs_0 \bullet P[seq'] \wedge Q[seq]) \wedge SSEQ \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Assumption ”} \\
& (\exists obs_0 \bullet (P \wedge SSEQ)[seq'] \wedge (Q \wedge SSEQ)[seq]) \wedge SSEQ \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Substitution ”} \\
& (\exists obs_0 \bullet P[seq'] \wedge SSEQ[seq'] \wedge Q[seq] \wedge SSEQ[seq]) \wedge SSEQ \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSEQ:def]:p??,[Seq:subs]:p32,[Seq:subs]:p32 ”} \\
& (\exists obs_0 \bullet P[seq'] \wedge slots_0 = slots \wedge Q[seq] \wedge slots' = slots_0) \wedge SSEQ
\end{aligned}$$

LHS and RHS are identical, modulo reordering

□

A.3.41 Proof

of [comp:R1:prsv:SSEQ]

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{comp:R1:prsv:SSEQ}] \quad & (P \equiv \mathbf{R1}(P) \wedge (Q \equiv \mathbf{R1}(Q))) \\
& \Rightarrow \\
& (P \wedge \text{SSEQ}); (P \wedge \text{SSEQ}) \equiv (P; Q) \wedge \text{SSEQ}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof, LHS first

$$\begin{aligned}
& (P \wedge \text{SSEQ}); (P \wedge \text{SSEQ}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32,[SSEQ:def]:p?? ”} \\
& \exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet P[\text{seq}'] \wedge \text{slots} = \text{slots}_0 \wedge Q[\text{seq}] \wedge \text{slots}_0 = \text{slots} \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ = is transitive ”} \\
& \exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet P[\text{seq}'] \wedge \text{slots} = \text{slots}_0 \wedge Q[\text{seq}] \wedge \text{slots}_0 = \text{slots} \wedge \text{slots} = \text{slots}'
\end{aligned}$$

RHS:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (P; Q) \wedge \text{SSEQ} \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } P \text{ and } Q \text{ are } \mathbf{R1} \text{ ”} \\
& ((P \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}'); (Q \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}')) \wedge \text{SSEQ} \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& (\exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet P[\text{seq}'] \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}'_0 \wedge Q[\text{seq}] \wedge \text{slots}_0 \preceq \text{slots}') \wedge \text{SSEQ} \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSEQ:def]:p??, distr, into quantifier ”} \\
& \exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet P[\text{seq}'] \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}'_0 \wedge Q[\text{seq}] \wedge \text{slots}_0 \preceq \text{slots}' \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{slots} \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } s = s' \wedge s \preceq s_0 \wedge s_0 \preceq s' \text{ means } s_0 = s = s' \text{ ”} \\
& \exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet P[\text{seq}'] \wedge \text{slots} = \text{slots}'_0 \wedge Q[\text{seq}] \wedge \text{slots}_0 = \text{slots}' \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{slots}
\end{aligned}$$

Both sides are equal

□

A.3.42 Proof

of [comp:R3:closed]:p25

$$(P \equiv \mathbf{R3}(P)) \wedge (Q \equiv \mathbf{R3}(Q)) \Rightarrow ((P; Q) \equiv \mathbf{R3}(P; Q))$$

We assume

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\text{comp:R3:closed:hyp1}] & P \equiv \mathbf{R3}P \\ [\text{comp:R3:closed:hyp2}] & Q \equiv \mathbf{R3}(Q) \end{array}$$

to show:

$$\begin{array}{l} P; Q \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [comp:R3:closed:hyp1]:p156,[comp:R3:closed:hyp2]:p156 ”} \\ \mathbf{R3}(P); \mathbf{R3}(Q) \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [R3:def]:p24 ”} \\ (\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright P); (\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright Q) \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\ \exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet (\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright P)[\text{seq}'] \wedge (\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright Q)[\text{seq}] \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ [Cond:def]:p32 ”} \\ \exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet (\text{wait} \wedge \mathbb{I}_R \vee \neg \text{wait} \wedge P)[\text{seq}'] \wedge (\text{wait} \wedge \mathbb{I}_R \vee \neg \text{wait} \wedge Q)[\text{seq}] \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ defn. of substitution, [Seq:subs]:p32,[Seq:subs']:p32 ”} \\ \exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet (\text{wait} \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}'] \vee \neg \text{wait} \wedge P[\text{seq}']) \wedge (\text{wait}_0 \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}] \vee \neg \text{wait}_0 \wedge Q[\text{seq}]) \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ } \wedge\text{-}\vee \text{ distributivity ”} \\ \exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet \\ \quad \text{wait} \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}'] \wedge \text{wait}_0 \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}] \\ \quad \vee \text{wait} \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}'] \wedge \neg \text{wait}_0 \wedge Q[\text{seq}] \\ \quad \vee \neg \text{wait} \wedge P[\text{seq}'] \wedge \text{wait}_0 \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}] \\ \quad \vee \neg \text{wait} \wedge P[\text{seq}'] \wedge \neg \text{wait}_0 \wedge Q[\text{seq}] \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ Collect terms guarded by } \text{wait} \text{ ”} \\ \exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet \\ \quad \text{wait} \wedge (\text{wait}_0 \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}'] \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}] \vee \neg \text{wait}_0 \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}'] \wedge Q[\text{seq}]) \\ \quad \vee \\ \quad \neg \text{wait} \wedge (\text{wait}_0 \wedge P[\text{seq}'] \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}] \vee \neg \text{wait}_0 \wedge P[\text{seq}'] \wedge Q[\text{seq}]) \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ Collect terms guarded by } \text{wait}_0 \text{ ”} \\ \exists \text{obs}_0 \bullet \\ \quad (\mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}'] \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}] \triangleleft \text{wait}_0 \triangleright \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}'] \wedge Q[\text{seq}]) \\ \quad \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright \\ \quad (P[\text{seq}'] \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[\text{seq}] \triangleleft \text{wait}_0 \triangleright P[\text{seq}'] \wedge Q[\text{seq}]) \\ \equiv \quad \text{“ } (A \wedge B) \triangleleft C \triangleright (A \wedge D) \equiv A \wedge (B \triangleleft C \triangleright D) \text{ ”} \end{array}$$

(see overleaf)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists obs_0 \bullet \\
& \quad (\mathbb{I}_R[seq'] \wedge (\mathbb{I}_R[seq] \triangleleft wait_0 \triangleright Q[seq])) \\
& \quad \triangleleft wait \triangleright \\
& \quad (P[seq'] \wedge (\mathbb{I}_R[seq] \triangleleft wait_0 \triangleright Q[seq])) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \exists obs_0 \text{ distributes through } \triangleleft wait \triangleright \text{ ”} \\
& (\exists obs_0 \bullet \mathbb{I}_R[seq'] \wedge (\mathbb{I}_R[seq] \triangleleft wait_0 \triangleright Q[seq])) \\
& \quad \triangleleft wait \triangleright \\
& (\exists obs_0 \bullet P[seq'] \wedge (\mathbb{I}_R[seq] \triangleleft wait_0 \triangleright Q[seq])) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ substitution backwards ”} \\
& (\exists obs_0 \bullet \mathbb{I}_R[seq'] \wedge (\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft wait \triangleright Q)[seq]) \\
& \quad \triangleleft wait \triangleright \\
& (\exists obs_0 \bullet P[seq'] \wedge (\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft wait \triangleright Q)[seq]) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32, backwards ”} \\
& (\mathbb{I}_R; (\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft wait \triangleright Q)) \triangleleft wait \triangleright (P; \mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft wait \triangleright Q)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } Q \text{ is } \mathbf{R3} \text{ ”} \\
& (\mathbb{I}_R; Q) \triangleleft wait \triangleright (P; Q) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R3:wait:Skip]:p25 ”} \\
& (\mathbb{I}_R; \mathbb{I}_R) \triangleleft wait \triangleright (P; Q) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SkipR-SkipR:eq:SkipR]:p25 ”} \\
& \mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft wait \triangleright (P; Q) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R3:def]:p24, backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R3}(P; Q) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.43 Proof

of [DIV:DIV:eq:DIV]:p25

$$DIV; DIV \equiv DIV$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& DIV; DIV \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [DIV:def]:p24 ”} \\
& (\neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots'); (\neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq:def]:p32, drop unused q. vars. ”} \\
& \exists ok_0, slots_0 \bullet \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots_0 \wedge \neg ok_0 \wedge slots_0 \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [EX:trans]:p19 ”} \\
& \exists ok_0, slots_0 \bullet \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots_0 \wedge \neg ok_0 \wedge slots_0 \preceq slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ shrink and split scopes ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge (\exists ok_0 \bullet \neg ok_0) \wedge (\exists slots_0 \bullet slots \preceq slots_0 \wedge slots_0 \preceq slots') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ take } ok_0 = \text{FALSE, and } slots_0 = slots \text{ ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge (slots \preceq slots') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ idempotence, [DIV:def]:p24 backwards ”} \\
& DIV \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.44 Proof

of $[DIV:A:eq:DIV]:p??$

$$DIV; A \equiv DIV, \quad A \text{ healthy}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & DIV; A \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32, [DIV:def]:p24 ”} \\ & \exists obs_0 \bullet \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots_0 \wedge A[obs_0/obs] \end{aligned}$$

There isn't a simple relationship here - A can influence the overall outcome.

A.3.45 Proof

of $[A:DIV:eq:DIV]:p??$

$$A; DIV \equiv DIV, \quad A \text{ healthy}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & A; DIV \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32, [DIV:def]:p24 ”} \\ & \exists obs_0 \bullet A[obs_0/obs'] \wedge \neg ok_0 \wedge slots_0 \preceq slots' \end{aligned}$$

DIV should mask the outcome of A .

A.3.46 Proof

of [llr:DIV:eq:DIV]:p25

$$\mathbb{I}_R; DIV \equiv DIV$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{I}_R; DIV \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [llr:def]:p24 ”} \\
& (DIV \vee ok' \wedge RSTET); DIV \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \vee\text{-; distributivity ”} \\
& (DIV; DIV) \vee (ok' \wedge RSTET); DIV \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DIV:DIV:eq:DIV]:p25, [DIV:def]:p24, [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& DIV \vee (\exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge RSTET[obs_0/obs'] \wedge \neg ok_0 \wedge slots_0 \preceq slots') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ quantifier body reduces to FALSE ”} \\
& DIV \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.47 Proof

of [DIV:llr:eq:DIV]:p25

$$DIV; \mathbb{I}_R \equiv DIV$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& DIV; \mathbb{I}_R \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [llr:def]:p24 ”} \\
& DIV; (DIV \vee ok' \wedge RSTET) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \vee\text{-; distributivity ”} \\
& (DIV; DIV) \vee (DIV; (ok' \wedge RSTET)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DIV:DIV:eq:DIV]:p25 [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& DIV \vee (\exists obs_0 \bullet DIV[obs_0/obs'] \wedge ok' \wedge RSTET[obs_0/obs]) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [one-point:RSTET]:p25 ”} \\
& DIV \vee (\exists ok_0 \bullet DIV[ok_0/ok'] \wedge ok') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } ok' \text{ not free in } DIV, \text{ drop quantifier ”} \\
& DIV \vee (DIV \wedge ok') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ absorption ”} \\
& DIV \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.48 Proof

of [llr:is:CSP2]:p28

$$\mathbf{CSP2}(I_R) = I_R$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{CSP2}(I_R) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [CSP2:def]:p27 ”} \\
& I_R; (ok \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge state' = state \wedge slots' = slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [llr:def]:p24 ”} \\
& (\neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
& \quad \vee ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots) \\
& ; (ok \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge state' = state \wedge slots' = slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \exists ok_0, wait_0, state_0, slots_0, \bullet \\
& \quad (\neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots_0 \\
& \quad \quad \vee ok_0 \wedge wait_0 = wait \wedge slots_0 = slots) \\
& \quad \wedge (ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait_0 \wedge state' = state_0 \wedge slots' = slots_0) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } \wedge\text{-, } \vee\text{- and } \exists\text{-distributivity ”} \\
& (\exists ok_0, wait_0, state_0, slots_0, \bullet \\
& \quad \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots_0 \\
& \quad \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait_0 \wedge state' = state_0 \wedge slots' = slots_0) \\
& \vee \\
& (\exists ok_0, wait_0, state_0, slots_0, \bullet \\
& \quad ok_0 \wedge wait_0 = wait \wedge slots_0 = slots \\
& \quad \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait_0 \wedge state' = state_0 \wedge slots' = slots_0) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ one-point rule for } wait_0, state_0, slots_0 \text{ ”} \\
& (\exists ok_0 \bullet \neg ok \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \wedge slots \preceq slots') \\
& \vee \\
& (\exists ok_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } (\exists b : \mathbb{B} \bullet P(b)) \equiv P(\mathbf{FALSE}) \vee P(\mathbf{TRUE}) \text{ ”} \\
& (\neg ok \wedge \mathbf{FALSE} \Rightarrow ok' \wedge slots \preceq slots') \\
& \vee (\neg ok \wedge \mathbf{TRUE} \Rightarrow ok' \wedge slots \preceq slots') \\
& \vee (\mathbf{FALSE} \wedge \mathbf{FALSE} \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots) \\
& \vee (\mathbf{TRUE} \wedge \mathbf{TRUE} \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ prop. calc ”} \\
& (\neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots') \vee (ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge slots' = slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [llr:def]:p24 ”} \\
& I_R \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.49 Lemma

[DIV:is:CSP2]

$$[\text{DIV:is:CSP2}] \quad \mathbf{CSP2}(DIV) = DIV$$

Begin with the lhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{CSP2}(DIV) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DIV:def]:p24,[CSP2:def]:p27 ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots'; ok \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait = wait' \wedge state = state' \wedge slots = slots' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \exists ok_0, wait_0, state_0, slots_0 \bullet \\
& \quad \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots_0 \\
& \quad \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait_0 = wait' \wedge state_0 = state' \wedge slots_0 = slots' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ one-point } wait_0, state_0, slots_0 \text{ ”} \\
& \exists ok_0 \bullet \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } (\exists b : \mathbb{B} \bullet P(b)) \equiv P(\text{FALSE}) \vee P(\text{TRUE}) \text{ ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge \text{FALSE} \Rightarrow ok' \\
& \vee \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge \text{TRUE} \Rightarrow ok' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ prop. calc. ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge \text{TRUE} \\
& \vee \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge ok' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ more prop. calc. ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DIV:def]:p24 backwards ”} \\
& DIV \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.50 Proof

of [R1:CSP2:comm]:p28

$$[R1:CSP2:comm] : p28 \quad \mathbf{R1} \circ \mathbf{CSP2} = \mathbf{CSP2} \circ \mathbf{R1}$$

Start with lhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{CSP2}(P)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CSP2:def]:p27 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(P; (ok \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge state' = state \wedge slots' = slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:def]:p23 ”} \\
& (P; (ok \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge state' = state \wedge slots' = slots)) \\
& \quad \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& (\exists ok_0, wait_0, state_0, slots_0 \bullet \\
& \quad P[seq'] \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait_0 \wedge state' = state_0 \wedge slots' = slots_0) \\
& \quad \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ One-point } wait_0, state_0, slots_0, \text{ ”} \\
& (\exists ok_0 \bullet P[ok_0/ok'] \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok') \wedge slots \preceq slots'
\end{aligned}$$

Next, the rhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{CSP2}(\mathbf{R1}(P)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:def]:p23 ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP2}(P \wedge slots \preceq slots') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CSP2:def]:p27 ”} \\
& (P \wedge slots \preceq slots'); \\
& (ok \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge state' = state \wedge slots' = slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \exists ok_0, wait_0, state_0, slots_0 \bullet \\
& \quad P[seq'] \wedge slots \preceq slots_0 \\
& \quad \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait_0 \wedge state' = state_0 \wedge slots' = slots_0 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ One-point } wait_0, state_0, slots_0, \text{ ”} \\
& \exists ok_0 \bullet P[ok_0/ok'] \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ shrink quantifier scope ”} \\
& (\exists ok_0 \bullet P[ok_0/ok'] \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok') \wedge slots \preceq slots'
\end{aligned}$$

Lhs and Rhs are identical \square .

A.3.51 Proof

of [R2:CSP2:comm]:p28

$$\mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{CSP2}(P)) = \mathbf{CSP2}(\mathbf{R2}(P))$$

REDO

We use notation expressing P as a function of its free vars.

Lhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{CSP2}(P(ok, wait, state, slots, ok', wait', state', slots'))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CSP2:def]:p27 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(P(ok, wait, state, slots, ok', wait', state', slots') \\
& \quad ; (ok \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge state' = state \wedge slots' = slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\exists obs_0 \bullet P(ok, wait, state, slots, ok_0, wait_0, state_0, slots_0) \\
& \quad \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait_0 \wedge state' = state_0 \wedge slots' = slots_0) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ one-point rule, } wait_0, state_0 \text{ and } slots_0 \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\exists ok_0 \bullet P(ok, wait, state, slots, ok_0, wait', state', slots') \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:def]:p23 ”} \\
& \exists ss, ok_0 \bullet P(ok, wait, state, ss, ok_0, wait', state', ss \# \# (slots' \searrow slots)) \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok'
\end{aligned}$$

Rhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{CSP2}(\mathbf{R2}(P(ok, wait, state, slots, ok', wait', state', slots'))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:def]:p23 ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP2}(\exists ss \bullet P(ok, wait, state, ss, ok', wait', state', ss \# \# (slots' \searrow slots))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CSP2:def]:p27 ”} \\
& (\exists ss \bullet P(ok, wait, state, ss, ok', wait', state', ss \# \# (slots' \searrow slots))) \\
& \quad ; (ok \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait \wedge state' = state \wedge slots' = slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \exists obs_0 \bullet \\
& \quad (\exists ss \bullet P(ok, wait, state, ss, ok_0, wait_0, state_0, ss \# \# (slots_0 \searrow slots))) \\
& \quad \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \wedge wait' = wait_0 \wedge state' = state_0 \wedge slots' = slots_0) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ one-point rule, } wait_0, state_0 \text{ and } slots_0 \text{ ”} \\
& \exists ok_0 \bullet \\
& \quad (\exists ss \bullet P(ok, wait, state, ss, ok_0, wait', state', ss \# \# (slots' \searrow slots))) \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ expand scope of } \exists ss, \text{ reorder quantifiers ”} \\
& \exists ss, ok_0 \bullet P(ok, wait, state, ss, ok_0, wait', state', ss \# \# (slots' \searrow slots)) \wedge ok_0 \Rightarrow ok'
\end{aligned}$$

Lhs and Rhs are equal \square .

A.3.52 Proof

of [R3:CSP2:comm]:p28

$$[R3:CSP2:comm] \quad \mathbf{R3} \circ \mathbf{CSP2} = \mathbf{CSP2} \circ \mathbf{R3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{CSP2}(\mathbf{R3}(P)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R3:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP2}(\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \textit{wait} \triangleright P) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CSP2:distr:cond]:p28 ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP2}(\mathbb{I}_R) \triangleleft \textit{wait} \triangleright \mathbf{CSP2}(P) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Ilr:is:CSP2]:p28 ”} \\
& \mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \textit{wait} \triangleright \mathbf{CSP2}(P) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R3:def]:p24, backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R3}(\mathbf{CSP2}(P))
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.53 Proof

of [CSP1:CSP2:comm]:p28

$$[\text{CSP1:CSP2:comm}] \quad \mathbf{CSP1} \circ \mathbf{CSP2} = \mathbf{CSP2} \circ \mathbf{CSP1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{CSP2}(\mathbf{CSP1}(P)) \\
 \equiv & \quad \text{“ [CSP1:def]:p27 ”} \\
 & \mathbf{CSP2}(P \vee \mathit{DIV}) \\
 \equiv & \quad \text{“ [CSP2:distr:or]:p28 ”} \\
 & \mathbf{CSP2}(P) \vee \mathbf{CSP2}(\mathit{DIV}) \\
 \equiv & \quad \text{“ [DIV:is:CSP2]:p28 ”} \\
 & \mathbf{CSP2}(P) \vee \mathit{DIV} \\
 \equiv & \quad \text{“ [CSP1:def]:p27, backwards ”} \\
 & \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{CSP2}(P)) \\
 \square &
 \end{aligned}$$

A.3.54 Proof

of [ETs:slots-diff:R1:R2]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[ETs:slots-diff:R1:R2]} \quad & \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots)))) \\ & \equiv EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots)) \end{aligned}$$

We handle **R1** and **R2** separately. First, **R1**, starting with the rhs:

$$\begin{aligned} & EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots)) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ pre-condition of } \searrow \text{”} \\ & EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots)) \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:def]:p23 backwards ”} \\ & \mathbf{R1}(EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots))) \end{aligned}$$

Next, **R2**, starting with rhs:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{R2}(EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots))) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:alt]:p24 ”} \\ & \exists ss \bullet EQVTRACE(tt, f(ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)) \searrow ss) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [CAT:DF:id]:p22, provided } slots' \searrow slots \text{ is defined ”} \\ & \exists ss \bullet EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots)) \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ shrink scope ”} \\ & EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots)) \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge \exists ss \bullet ER(ss, slots) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:def]:p23 backwards, witness } ss = slots \text{ ”} \\ & \mathbf{R1}(EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots))) \wedge \text{TRUE} \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ Previous result ”} \\ & EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots)) \end{aligned}$$

We can then complete the full proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots)))) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ result about } \mathbf{R1} \text{ just proved ”} \\ & \mathbf{R2}(EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots))) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ result about } \mathbf{R2} \text{ just proved ”} \\ & EQVTRACE(tt, f(slots' \searrow slots)) \\ \square \end{aligned}$$

A.3.55 Proof

of [NEV:is:R1:R2]:p30

$$\mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\mathit{NOEVTS}(\mathit{slots}, \mathit{slots}')))) \equiv \mathit{NOEVTS}(\mathit{slots}, \mathit{slots}')$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\mathit{NOEVTS}(\mathit{slots}, \mathit{slots}')))) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [NEV:def]:p30 ”} \\ & \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\mathit{EQVTRACE}(\langle \rangle, \mathit{slots}' \searrow \mathit{slots}))) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [ETs:slots-diff:R1:R2]:p167, with } tt = \langle \rangle \text{ and } f = id. \text{ ”} \\ & \mathit{EQVTRACE}(\langle \rangle, \mathit{slots}' \searrow \mathit{slots}) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [NEV:def]:p30 backwards ”} \\ & \mathit{NOEVTS}(\mathit{slots}, \mathit{slots}') \\ \square & \end{aligned}$$

A.3.56 Proof

of [EVN:is:R1:R2]

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(EVTSNOW(E)(slots, slots'))) \equiv EVTSNOW(E)(slots, slots') \\
& \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(EVTSNOW(E)(slots, slots'))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EVN:def]:p30 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(\exists tt \bullet elems(tt) = E \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, slots' \setminus slots) \wedge \#slots = \#slots')) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:def]:p23, [R2:def]:p23, expand } \exists tt \text{ scope. ”} \\
& \exists tt, ss \bullet elems(tt) = E \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, slots' \setminus slots)[r2] \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
& \quad \wedge ER(ss, slots) \wedge \#ss = \#(ss \# (slots' \setminus slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ using similar technique to [ETs:slots-diff:R1:R2]:p167, } \mathbf{R2} \text{ part ”} \\
& \exists tt \bullet elems(tt) = E \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, slots' \setminus slots) \\
& \quad \wedge \exists ss \bullet ER(ss, slots) \wedge \#ss = \#(ss \# (slots' \setminus slots)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CAT:len]:p21 ”} \\
& \exists tt \bullet elems(tt) = E \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, slots' \setminus slots) \\
& \quad \wedge \exists ss \bullet ER(ss, slots) \wedge \#ss = \#ss + \#(slots' \setminus slots) - 1 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DF:len]:p22 ”} \\
& \exists tt \bullet elems(tt) = E \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, slots' \setminus slots) \\
& \quad \wedge \exists ss \bullet ER(ss, slots) \wedge \#ss = \#ss + (1 + \#slots' - \#slots) - 1 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ arithmetic ”} \\
& \exists tt \bullet elems(tt) = E \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, slots' \setminus slots) \\
& \quad \wedge \exists ss \bullet ER(ss, slots) \wedge \#slots' = \#slots \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ witness, } ss = slots \text{ ”} \\
& \exists tt \bullet elems(tt) = E \\
& \quad \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, slots' \setminus slots) \\
& \quad \wedge \#slots' = \#slots \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EVN:def]:p30, backwards ” } EVTSNOW(E)(slots, slots') \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.57 Proof

of [IME:is:R1:R2]:p30

$$\mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(IMMEVTS(slots, slots'))) \equiv IMMEVTS(slots, slots')$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(IMMEVTS(slots, slots'))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [IME:def]:p30 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(EQVTRC(tt, head(slots' \searrow slots)) \wedge tt \neq \langle \rangle)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:distr:and]:p23 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(EQVTRC(tt, head(slots' \searrow slots)))) \wedge tt \neq \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:distr:and]:p24, slots', slots not free in tt \neq \langle \rangle ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(EQVTRC(tt, head(slots' \searrow slots)))) \wedge tt \neq \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [ETs:sngl]:p18 backwards ”} \\
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(EQVTRACE(tt, \langle head(slots' \searrow slots) \rangle))) \wedge tt \neq \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [ETs:slots-diff:R1:R2]:p167, with } f = \lambda ss \bullet \langle head(ss) \rangle. \text{ ”} \\
& EQVTRACE(tt, \langle head(slots' \searrow slots) \rangle) \wedge tt \neq \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [ETs:sngl]:p18 ”} \\
& EQVTRC(tt, head(slots' \searrow slots)) \wedge tt \neq \langle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [IME:def]:p30 backwards ”} \\
& IMMEVTS(slots, slots') \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.58 Proof

[POSS:is:R1:R2]:p??

$$\mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(POSS(c))) \equiv POSS(c)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(POSS(c))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:R2:comm]:p26 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R2}(POSS(c))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:def]:p23,[R2:def]:p23,[POSS:def]:p33 ”} \\
& GROW \wedge \exists ss \bullet c \notin \bigcup srefs((ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)) \searrow ss) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CAT:DF:id]:p22 ”} \\
& GROW \wedge \exists ss \bullet c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ shrink scope ”} \\
& GROW \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \exists ss \bullet \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ witness, } ss = slots, \text{ definedness of } slots' \searrow slots \text{ entails } GROW \text{ ”} \\
& c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [POSS:def]:p33, backwards ”} \\
& POSS(c) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.59 Proof

[WTC:is:R1:R2]:p33

$$\mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(WTC(c))) \equiv WTC(c)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(WTC(c))) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [R1:R2:comm]:p26, [R1:def]:p23, [R2:def]:p23, [WTC:def]:p33 ”} \\
& GROW \wedge \exists ss \bullet wait' \wedge POSS(c)[r2] \wedge NOEVTS(ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [POSS:def]:p33 ”} \\
& GROW \wedge \exists ss \bullet wait' \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs((ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)) \searrow ss) \\
& \quad \wedge NOEVTS(ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [CAT:DF:id]:p22, shrink scope ”} \\
& GROW \wedge wait' \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
& \exists ss \bullet NOEVTS(ss, ss \# (slots' \searrow slots)) \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ \searrow definedness, [R2:def]:p23 backwards ”} \\
& wait' \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
& \mathbf{R2}(NOEVTS(slots' \searrow slots)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [POSS:def]:p33 backwards, [NEV:is:R1:R2]:p30 ”} \\
& wait' \wedge POSS(c) \wedge NOEVTS(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [WTC:def]:p33 ”} \\
& WTC(c) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.3.60 Proof

[TRMC:is:R1:R2]:p33

$$\mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(TRMC(c.e))) \equiv TRMC(c.e)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(TRMC(c.e))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:def]:p23,[TRMC:def]:p33 ”} \\
& \exists ss \bullet \mathbf{R1}(\neg wait' \wedge EVTSNOW\{c.e\}(slots, slots'))[r2] \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:distr:and]:p23, shrink scope ”} \\
& \neg wait' \wedge \exists ss \bullet \mathbf{R1}(EVTSNOW\{c.e\}(slots, slots'))[r2] \wedge ER(ss, slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:def]:p23 backwards ”} \\
& \neg wait' \wedge \mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R1}(EVTSNOW\{c.e\}(slots, slots'))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EVN:is:R1:R2]:p30 ”} \\
& \neg wait' \wedge EVTSNOW\{c.e\}(slots, slots') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [TRMC:def]:p33 backwards ”} \\
& TRMC(c.e) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

A.4 Slotted-Circus Specific Actions**A.4.1 Proof**

$$[\text{specificALaw-1}] : p31 \quad EVTSNOW(\emptyset)(slots, slots') \equiv slots \cong slots'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& EVTSNOW(\emptyset)(slots, slots') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [EVN:def]:p30 ”} \\
& \exists tt \bullet elems(tt) = \emptyset \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, slots' \searrow slots) \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } tt = \langle \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& EQVTRACE(\langle \rangle, slots' \searrow slots) \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ slots are non empty sequences, } slots = pfx \frown \langle (t, r) \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& \exists t, r, pfx \bullet slots = pfx \frown \langle (t, r) \rangle \\
& \wedge EQVTRACE(\langle \rangle, slots' \searrow slots) \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [EX:pfX]:p19 ”} \\
& \exists t, r, pfx, t', r' \bullet slots = pfx \frown \langle (t, r) \rangle \wedge slots' = pfx \frown \langle (t', r') \rangle \frown sfx \\
& \wedge EQVTRACE(\langle \rangle, slots' \searrow slots) \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } \#slots = \#slots' \Rightarrow (sfx = \langle \rangle) \text{ ”} \\
& \exists t, r, pfx, t', r' \bullet slots = pfx \frown \langle (t, r) \rangle \wedge slots' = pfx \frown \langle (t', r') \rangle \\
& \wedge EQVTRACE(\langle \rangle, slots' \searrow slots) \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [DF:pfX]:p21 ”} \\
& \exists t, r, pfx, t', r' \bullet slots = pfx \frown \langle (t, r) \rangle \wedge slots' = pfx \frown \langle (t', r') \rangle \\
& \wedge EQVTRACE(\langle \rangle, \langle (t', r') \rangle \searrow \langle (t, r) \rangle) \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [ETs:null]:p18 ”} \\
& \exists t, r, pfx, t', r' \bullet slots = pfx \frown \langle (t, r) \rangle \wedge slots' = pfx \frown \langle (t', r') \rangle \\
& \wedge EQVTRC(\langle \rangle, (t', r') \searrow (t, r)) \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ !!!NEEDS REVISING!!! ”} \\
& \exists t, r, pfx, t', r' \bullet slots = pfx \frown \langle (t, r) \rangle \wedge slots' = pfx \frown \langle (t', r') \rangle \\
& \wedge t' = t \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } t = t' \text{ ”} \\
& \exists t, r, pfx, r' \bullet slots = pfx \frown \langle (t, r) \rangle \wedge slots' = pfx \frown \langle (t, r') \rangle \\
& \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ !!!NEEDS REVISING!!! ”} \\
& \exists t, r, pfx, r' \bullet slots = pfx \frown \langle (t, r) \rangle \wedge slots' = pfx \frown \langle (t, r') \rangle \wedge (t, r') \preceq (t, r) \\
& \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [EX:def]:p19 ”} \\
& slots' \preceq slots \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } \cong \text{ definition ”} \\
& slots \cong slots'
\end{aligned}$$

A.4.2 Proof

$$[specificALaw-2] : p31 \quad NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge \#slots = \#slots' \equiv EVTSNOW(\emptyset)(slots, slots')$$

A.4.3 Proof

[specificALaw-3] : p31 $\exists s, s' \bullet EVTSNOW\{c\}(s, s') \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \text{map}(SHide(\{c\}))(s' \searrow s) \equiv sl' \cong sl$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists s, s' \bullet EVTSNOW\{c\}(s, s') \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \text{map}(\text{shide}(\{c\}))(s' \searrow s) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EVN:def]:p30 ”} \\
& \exists s, s', tt \bullet \text{elems}(tt) = \{c\} \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \text{map}(\text{shide}(\{c\}))(s' \searrow s) \wedge \\
& s \preceq s' \wedge \#s = \#s' \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, s' \searrow s) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DF:len]:p22 ”} \\
& \exists s, s', tt \bullet \text{elems}(tt) = \{c\} \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \text{map}(\text{shide}(\{c\}))(s' \searrow s) \wedge \\
& s \preceq s' \wedge \#(s' \searrow s) = 1 \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, s' \searrow s) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } s' \searrow s = \langle (t, r) \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& \exists s, s', tt, t, r \bullet \text{elems}(tt) = \{c\} \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \text{map}(\text{shide}(\{c\}))(\langle (t, r) \rangle) \wedge \\
& s \preceq s' \wedge \#\langle (t, r) \rangle = 1 \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, \langle (t, r) \rangle) \wedge s' \searrow s = \langle (t, r) \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Let } s = \langle SNull(r) \rangle \text{ and } s' = \langle (t, r) \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& \exists tt, t, r \bullet \text{elems}(tt) = \{c\} \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \text{map}(\text{shide}(\{c\}))(\langle (t, r) \rangle) \wedge \\
& EQVTRACE(tt, \langle (t, r) \rangle) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [ETs:def:cons]:p18 ”} \\
& \exists tt, t, r \bullet \text{elems}(tt) = \{c\} \wedge EQVTRC(tt, (t, r)) \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \text{map}(\text{shide}(\{c\}))(\langle (t, r) \rangle) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Acc:h:eq:elems:ET]:p11 ”} \\
& \exists t, r \bullet \text{acc}(t) = \{c\} \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \text{map}(\text{shide}(\{c\}))(\langle (t, r) \rangle) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ map def ”} \\
& \exists t, r \bullet \text{acc}(t) = \{c\} \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \langle \text{shide}(\{c\})(t, r) \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } sl' \searrow sl = \langle (tl, rl) \rangle \text{ ”} \\
& \exists t, r, tl, rl \bullet \text{acc}(t) = \{c\} \wedge (tl, rl) = \text{shide}(\{c\})(t, r) \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \langle (tl, rl) \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SHid:def]:p15 ”} \\
& \exists t, tl, rl \bullet \text{acc}(t) = \{c\} \wedge tl = \text{shide}_H\{c\}(t) \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \langle (tl, rl) \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [hide:it:is:null]:p15 ”} \\
& \exists tl, rl \bullet \text{acc}(tl) = \emptyset \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \langle (tl, rl) \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [HN:null]:p17 ”} \\
& \exists tl, rl \bullet tl = \text{hnull} \wedge sl' \searrow sl = \langle (tl, rl) \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SN:def]:p12 ”} \\
& \exists rl \bullet sl' \searrow sl = \langle \text{snull}(rl) \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DF:Null:equal]:p22 ”} \\
& \exists rl \bullet sl' \cong sl \wedge EQVREF(sl') = rl \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ One point rule ”} \\
& sl' \cong sl
\end{aligned}$$

B Slotted-Circus Law Proofs

B.1 Prefix

B.1.1 Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{[prefixLaw-1] : p35} \quad (c.e \rightarrow \text{Skip}) \wedge \text{wait}' \equiv \mathbf{CSP1}(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R}(WTC(c))) \wedge \text{wait}' \\
& \\
& (c.e \rightarrow \text{Skip}) \wedge \text{wait}' \\
& \equiv \quad \text{“ [Comm:def]:p33 ”} \\
& \quad \mathbf{CSP1} \left(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3} \left(WTC(c) \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{state}' = \text{state} \wedge \\ WTC(c); \text{TRMC}(c) \end{array} \right) \right) \right) \wedge \text{wait}' \\
& \equiv \quad \text{“ [CSP1:def]:p27,[R3:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \quad \left(\text{DIV} \vee ok' \wedge \mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright \left(WTC(c) \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{state}' = \text{state} \wedge \\ WTC(c); \text{TRMC}(c) \end{array} \right) \right) \right) \wedge \text{wait}' \\
& \equiv \quad \text{“ [Cond:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \quad (\text{DIV} \vee ok' \wedge \mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright (WTC(c) \wedge \text{wait}')) \wedge \text{wait}' \\
& \equiv \quad \text{“ [Cond:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \quad (\text{DIV} \vee ok' \wedge \mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright (WTC(c))) \wedge \text{wait}' \\
& \equiv \quad \text{“ [CSP1:def]:p27,[R3:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \quad \mathbf{CSP1}(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3}(WTC(c))) \wedge \text{wait}'
\end{aligned}$$

B.1.2 Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{prefixLaw-2}] : p35 \quad (c.e \rightarrow \text{Skip}) \wedge \neg \text{wait}' &\equiv \mathbf{CSP1} \left(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3} \left(\frac{\text{state}' = \text{state} \wedge}{WTC(c); TRMC(c)} \right) \right) \wedge \neg \text{wait}' \\
&\equiv (c.e \rightarrow \text{Skip}) \wedge \neg \text{wait}' \\
&\equiv \text{“ [Comm:def]:p33 ”} \\
&\equiv \mathbf{CSP1} \left(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3} \left(WTC(c) \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright \left(\frac{\text{state}' = \text{state} \wedge}{WTC(c); TRMC(c)} \right) \right) \right) \wedge \neg \text{wait}' \\
&\equiv \text{“ [CSP1:def]:p27,[R3:def]:p24 ”} \\
&\equiv \left(DIV \vee ok' \wedge \mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright \left(WTC(c) \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright \left(\frac{\text{state}' = \text{state} \wedge}{WTC(c); TRMC(c)} \right) \right) \right) \wedge \neg \text{wait}' \\
&\equiv \text{“ [Cond:def]:p32 ”} \\
&\equiv (DIV \vee ok' \wedge \mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright (\text{state}' = \text{state} \wedge WTC(c); TRMC(c) \wedge \neg \text{wait}')) \wedge \neg \text{wait}' \\
&\equiv \text{“ [Cond:def]:p32 ”} \\
&\equiv (DIV \vee ok' \wedge \mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright (\text{state}' = \text{state} \wedge WTC(c); TRMC(c))) \wedge \neg \text{wait}' \\
&\equiv \text{“ [CSP1:def]:p27,[R3:def]:p24 ”} \\
&\equiv \mathbf{CSP1} (ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3} (\text{state}' = \text{state} \wedge WTC(c); TRMC(c))) \wedge \neg \text{wait}'
\end{aligned}$$

B.1.3 Proof

Given healthy P :

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{prefixLaw-3}] : p35 & \quad (c.e \rightarrow P) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
& \equiv \quad \text{for healthy } P \\
& \quad \mathbf{CSP1}(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3}(WTC(c) \wedge wait')) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}')
\end{aligned}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (c.e \rightarrow P) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Pfx:def]:p33 ”} \\
& ((c.e \rightarrow \text{Skip}); P) \wedge wait' \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Comm:def]:p33 ”} \\
& \left(\mathbf{CSP1} \left(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3} \left(WTC(c) \triangleleft wait' \triangleright \left(\begin{array}{l} state' = state \wedge \\ WTC(c); TRMC(c) \end{array} \right) \right) \right); P \right) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ property of NOEVENTS and seq comp ”} \\
& \left(\mathbf{CSP1} \left(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3} \left(\begin{array}{l} WTC(c) \\ \triangleleft wait' \triangleright \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} state' = state \wedge \\ WTC(c); TRMC(c) \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right) \right) \right) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}'); P \\
& \quad \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Logic ”} \\
& \left(\mathbf{CSP1} \left(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3} \left(\left(\begin{array}{l} WTC(c) \\ \triangleleft wait' \triangleright \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} state' = state \wedge \\ WTC(c); TRMC(c) \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \right) \right); P \right) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Logic ”} \\
& \left(\mathbf{CSP1} \left(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3} \left(\begin{array}{l} WTC(c) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\ \triangleleft wait' \triangleright \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} state' = state \wedge \\ WTC(c); TRMC(c) \end{array} \right) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \end{array} \right) \right); P \right) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ TRMC } \wedge \text{ NOEVENTS } = \text{ False ”} \\
& \left(\mathbf{CSP1} \left(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3} \left(\begin{array}{l} WTC(c) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\ \wedge wait' \end{array} \right) \right); P \right) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ P is R3 ”} \\
& \left(\mathbf{CSP1} \left(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3} \left(\begin{array}{l} WTC(c) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\ \wedge wait' \end{array} \right) \right); \mathbb{I}_R \right) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Property of } \mathbb{I}_R \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(ok' \wedge \mathbf{R3}(WTC(c) \wedge wait')) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}')
\end{aligned}$$

B.1.4 Lemma

The three proofs above also require the following lemmas:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{lemma:prefixLawa}] \quad P \wedge Q \equiv R \wedge \text{slots}, \text{slots}' \text{ not free in } Q \\
\Rightarrow \\
\mathbf{R}(P) \wedge Q \equiv \mathbf{R}(R) \wedge Q
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $P \wedge Q \equiv R$ implies $P \wedge Q \equiv R \wedge Q$.

Proof, assume antecedent to show:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R}(P) \wedge Q \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R:def]:p25 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R3}(P))) \wedge Q \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R1:distr:and]:p23 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R3}(P)) \wedge Q) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R2:distr:and]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R2}(\mathbf{R3}(P) \wedge Q)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R3:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R2}((\mathbb{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright P) \wedge Q)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \wedge \text{ distributes through } \triangleleft \triangleright \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R2}(\mathbb{I}_R \wedge Q \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright P \wedge Q)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Assumption, with note ”} \\
& \mathbf{R1}(\mathbf{R2}(\mathbb{I}_R \wedge Q \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright R \wedge Q)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ reverse 1st five proof steps ”} \\
& \mathbf{R}(R) \wedge Q \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

$$[\text{lemma:prefixLawb}] \quad P \wedge Q \equiv R \Rightarrow \mathbf{CSP1}(P) \wedge Q \equiv \mathbf{CSP1}(R) \wedge Q$$

Proof, assume antecedent to show:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{CSP1}(P) \wedge Q \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [CSP1:def]:p27 ”} \\
& (P \vee \text{DIV}) \wedge Q \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \wedge \text{-}\vee \text{ distr. ”} \\
& P \wedge Q \vee \text{DIV} \wedge Q \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Assumption, with note ”} \\
& R \wedge Q \vee \text{DIV} \wedge Q \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ reverse 1st two proof steps ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(R) \wedge Q \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

B.1.5 Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
& WTC(c); TRMC(c) \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
& \equiv \\
& TRMC(c) \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
& WTC(c); TRMC(c) \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [TRMC:def]:p33 ” } \\
& (WTC(c); EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots, slots')) \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [WTC:def]:p33 ” } \\
& (NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots' \searrow slots)) \\
& ; EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots, slots') \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Seq:def]:p32 ” } \\
& \exists slots_0 \bullet \\
& NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ case split ” } \\
& \#slots = \#slots' \wedge \exists slots_0 \bullet \\
& NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
& \vee \#slots \neq \#slots' \wedge \exists slots_0 \bullet \\
& NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ case1 ” }
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv \text{“ case1 ”} \\
&\quad TRMC(c) \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
&\quad \vee \#slots \neq \#slots' \wedge \exists slots_0 \bullet \\
&\quad NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
&\quad \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \\
&\quad \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
&\equiv \text{“ case2 ”} \\
&\quad TRMC(c) \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
&\quad \vee false \\
&\equiv \text{“ logic ”} \\
&\quad TRMC(c) \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots)
\end{aligned}$$

Case1

$$\begin{aligned}
& \#slots = \#slots' \wedge \exists slots_0 \bullet \\
& NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [NEV:is:R1:R2]:p30, erefEVN:is:R1:R2, arithmetic ”} \\
& \exists slots_0 \bullet \\
& NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge \#slots_0 = \#slots' \wedge \#slots = \#slots_0 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [specificALaw-1]:p31, [specificALaw-3]:p31 ”} \\
& \exists slots_0 \bullet \\
& c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge slots \cong slots_0 \wedge \#slots = \#slots_0 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ property of \searrow??? ”} \\
& \exists slots_0 \bullet \\
& c \notin sref(last(slots_0)) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge slots \cong slots_0 \wedge \#slots = \#slots_0 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EVN:def]:p30 ”} \\
& \exists slots_0, tt \bullet \\
& c \notin sref(last(slots_0)) \\
& \wedge elems(tt) = \{c.e\} \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, slots' \searrow slots_0) \wedge \#slots' = \#slots_0 \\
& \wedge slots \cong slots_0 \wedge \#slots = \#slots_0 \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [proof:lemma:prefixLawb]:p185 ”} \\
& \exists slots_0, tt \bullet \\
& c \notin sref(last(slots_0)) \\
& \wedge elems(tt) = \{c.e\} \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, slots' \searrow slots) \wedge \#slots' = \#slots_0 \\
& \wedge slots \cong slots_0 \wedge \#slots = \#slots_0 \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ arithmetics ”} \\
& \exists slots_0, tt \bullet \\
& c \notin sref(last(slots_0)) \\
& \wedge elems(tt) = \{c.e\} \wedge EQVTRACE(tt, slots' \searrow slots) \wedge \#slots' = \#slots \\
& \wedge slots \cong slots_0 \wedge \#slots = \#slots_0 \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EVN:def]:p30 ”}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv \text{“ [EVN:def]:p30 ”} \\
&\quad \exists slots_0 \bullet \\
&\quad c \notin sref(last(slots_0)) \\
&\quad EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots, slots') \\
&\quad \wedge slots \cong slots_0 \wedge \#slots = \#slots_0 \\
&\quad \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
&\equiv \text{“ [SSEQV:len]:p?????, [SSEQV:expand]:p20, [pfx:ignores:ref:2]:p12, seq def ??? ”} \\
&\quad EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots, slots') \\
&\quad \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
&\equiv \text{“ [TRMC:def]:p33 ”} \\
&\quad TRMC(c) \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots)
\end{aligned}$$

Case2

$$\begin{aligned}
& \#slots \neq \#slots' \wedge \exists slots_0 \bullet \\
& NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [NEV:len]:p??, [EVN:def]:p30 ”} \\
& \#slots \neq \#slots' \wedge \exists slots_0 \bullet \\
& NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \#slots \leq \#slots_0 \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots_0 = \#slots' \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DF:len]:p22,arithmetics ”} \\
& \exists slots_0 \bullet \\
& NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \#(slots_0 \searrow slots) > 1 \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots_0 = \#slots' \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } slots_0 \searrow slots \text{ has more then one slot ”} \\
& \exists slots_0, t, r, sl, s \bullet \\
& NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \#(slots_0 \searrow slots) > 1 \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots_0 = \#slots' \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge slots_0 \searrow slots = \langle (t, r) \rangle \frown sl \frown \langle s \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ set theory ”} \\
& \exists slots_0, t, r, sl, s \bullet \\
& NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \#(slots_0 \searrow slots) > 1 \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots_0 = \#slots' \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge slots_0 \searrow slots = \langle (t, r) \rangle \frown sl \frown \langle s \rangle \wedge c \notin Ref(t, r) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [EVN:is:R1:R2]:p30 ”} \\
& \exists slots_0, t, r, sl, s \bullet \\
& NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \#(slots_0 \searrow slots) > 1 \wedge c \notin \bigcup srefs(slots_0 \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge EVTSNOW\{c\}(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots_0 = \#slots' \wedge slots_0 \preceq slots' \\
& \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
& \wedge slots_0 \searrow slots = \langle (t, r) \rangle \frown sl \frown \langle s \rangle \wedge c \notin Ref(t, r) \\
\Rightarrow &
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow \\
&\quad \exists slots_0, t, r, sl, s \bullet \\
&\quad \wedge slots_0 \preceq slots' \\
&\quad \wedge slots_0 \searrow slots = \langle (t, r) \rangle \frown sl \frown \langle s \rangle \wedge c \notin Ref(t, r) \\
&\quad \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
&\Rightarrow \quad \text{“properties of slot subtraction and ordering”} \\
&\quad \exists slots_0, t, r, sl, s \bullet \\
&\quad \langle (t, r) \rangle \frown sl \leq slots' \searrow slots \wedge c \notin Ref(t, r) \\
&\quad \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \\
&\Rightarrow \quad \text{“set theory, srefs def”} \\
&\quad \exists slots_0, t, r, sl, s \bullet \\
&\quad \langle (t, r) \rangle \frown sl \leq slots' \searrow slots \wedge c \notin Ref(t, r) \\
&\quad \wedge c \in \bigcap srefs(slots' \searrow slots) \wedge c \in Ref(t, r) \\
&\equiv \quad \text{“Logic”} \\
&\quad False
\end{aligned}$$

B.1.6 Lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
&slots_a \preceq slots_c \wedge slots_b \preceq slots_c \Rightarrow \\
&((dif(slots_c, slots_a) = dif(slots_c, slots_b)) \equiv (slots_a \cong slots_b))
\end{aligned}$$

B.2 Sequential Composition**B.2.1 Proof**

of [seqLaw-1]:p36

$$STOP; A = STOP, \quad A \text{ healthy}$$

Proof sketch by Pawel:

$$\begin{aligned}
& STOP; A \\
\equiv & \text{“ } A \text{ is } \mathbf{CSP1} \text{ and } \mathbf{R3} \text{ healthy ”} \\
& STOP; \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(A)) \\
\equiv & \text{“ [Stop:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(ok' \wedge wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots'))); \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(A)) \\
\equiv & \text{“ [comp:CSP1:closed]:p27,[comp:R3:closed]:p25 ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}((ok' \wedge wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots'))); A)) \\
\equiv & \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(\exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge wait_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge A[seq])) \\
\equiv & \text{“ } A \text{ is } \mathbf{R3} \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(\exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge wait_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge (\mathbb{I}_R[seq] \triangleleft wait_0 \triangleright A[seq]))) \\
\equiv & \text{“ } c \wedge (A \triangleleft c \triangleright B) \equiv c \wedge A, [\llbracket r \rrbracket \text{def}]:p24 \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(\exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge wait_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge (DIV[seq] \vee ok' \wedge RSTET[seq]))) \\
\equiv & \text{“ } ok_0 \wedge DIV[seq] \equiv \text{FALSE ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(\exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge wait_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge ok' \wedge RSTET[seq])) \\
\equiv & \text{“ [Seq:subs]:p32 ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(\exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge wait_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \\
& \quad \wedge ok' \wedge wait_0 = wait' \wedge slots_0 = slots')) \\
\equiv & \text{“ one-point ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(\exists ok_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge ok' \wedge wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots')))) \\
\equiv & \text{“ } \exists b \bullet b \equiv \text{TRUE ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(ok' \wedge wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots')))) \\
\equiv & \text{“ [Stop:def]:p32 backwards ”} \\
& STOP \\
\equiv & \square
\end{aligned}$$

B.2.2 Lemma

[Lemma3.6-1-1]:p187

$$[\text{Lemma3.6-1-1}] \quad DIV; (wait \wedge \mathbb{I}_R) \equiv DIV$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& DIV; (wait \wedge \mathbb{I}_R) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \exists obs_0 \bullet DIV[obs_0/obs'] \wedge wait_0 \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[obs_0/obs] \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Irr:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \exists obs_0 \bullet DIV[obs_0/obs'] \wedge wait_0 \wedge (DIV[obs_0/obs] \vee ok' \wedge RSTET[obs_0/obs]) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ distributivity ”} \\
& \exists obs_0 \bullet DIV[obs_0/obs'] \wedge wait_0 \wedge DIV[obs_0/obs] \vee \\
& \quad DIV[obs_0/obs'] \wedge wait_0 \wedge ok' \wedge RSTET[obs_0/obs] \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DIV:def]:p24, substitution, distr. quantifier ”} \\
& (\exists obs_0 \bullet \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots_0 \wedge wait_0 \wedge \neg ok \wedge slots_0 \preceq slots') \vee \\
& (\exists obs_0 \bullet \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots_0 \wedge wait_0 \wedge ok' \wedge RSTET[obs_0/obs]) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Line 1: [EX:trans]:p19, shrink quantifier; Line 2: [one-point:RSTET]:p25 ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge (\exists obs_0 \bullet slots \preceq slots_0 \wedge wait_0 \wedge slots_0 \preceq slots') \vee \\
& (\exists ok_0 \bullet \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge wait' \wedge ok') \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Line 1: witness } wait_0 = \text{TRUE, } slots_0 = slots \text{ Line 2: drop quantifier ”} \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge slots \preceq slots' \vee \\
& \neg ok \wedge slots \preceq slots' \wedge wait' \wedge ok' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DIV:def]:p24 backwards ”} \\
& DIV \vee DIV \wedge wait' \wedge ok' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ absorption ”} \\
& DIV \\
\equiv & \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

B.2.3 Proof

of [seqLaw-2]:p36

$$((x := e); (x := f(x))) \equiv x := f(e)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x := e); (x := f(x)) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ Assignment is } \mathbf{CSP1, R3} \text{ (see [Asg:def]:p33) ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1(R3}(x := e)); \mathbf{CSP1(R3}(x := f(x))) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [comp:CSP1:closed]:p27,[comp:R3:closed]:p25 ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1(R3}(x := e; x := f(x))) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Asg:def]:p33,[Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1(R3}(\exists \text{ obs}_0 \bullet \text{ ok} = \text{ok}_0 \wedge \text{ok}_0 = \text{ok}' \\
& \quad \text{wait} = \text{wait}_0 \wedge \text{wait}_0 = \text{wait}' \\
& \quad \text{slots} = \text{slots}_0 \wedge \text{slots}_0 = \text{slots}' \\
& \quad \text{state}_0 = \text{state} \oplus \{x \mapsto \text{val}(e, \text{state})\} \\
& \quad \text{state}' = \text{state}_0 \oplus \{x \mapsto \text{val}(f(x), \text{state}_0)\})) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ one-point, all except } \text{state}_0 \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1(R3}(\exists \text{ state}_0 \bullet \text{ ok} = \text{ok}' \wedge \text{wait} = \text{wait}' \wedge \text{slots} = \text{slots}' \\
& \quad \text{state}_0 = \text{state} \oplus \{x \mapsto \text{val}(e, \text{state})\} \\
& \quad \text{state}' = \text{state}_0 \oplus \{x \mapsto \text{val}(f(x), \text{state}_0)\})) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ } \text{state}_0(x) = e, \text{val}(f(x), \text{state}_0) = \text{val}(f(e), \text{state}) \text{ ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1(R3}(\exists \text{ state}_0 \bullet \text{ ok} = \text{ok}' \wedge \text{wait} = \text{wait}' \wedge \text{slots} = \text{slots}' \\
& \quad \text{state}_0 = \text{state} \oplus \{x \mapsto \text{val}(e, \text{state})\} \\
& \quad \text{state}' = \text{state}_0 \oplus \{x \mapsto \text{val}(f(e), \text{state})\})) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ one-point ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1(R3}(\text{ok} = \text{ok}' \wedge \text{wait} = \text{wait}' \wedge \text{slots} = \text{slots}' \\
& \quad \text{state}' = (\text{state} \oplus \{x \mapsto \text{val}(e, \text{state})\}) \oplus \{x \mapsto \text{val}(f(e), \text{state})\})) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ map override ”} \\
& \mathbf{CSP1(R3}(\text{ok} = \text{ok}' \wedge \text{wait} = \text{wait}' \wedge \text{slots} = \text{slots}' \\
& \quad \text{state}' = \text{state} \oplus \{x \mapsto \text{val}(f(e), \text{state})\})) \\
\equiv & \text{ “ [Asg:def]:p33 ”} \\
& x := f(e) \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

B.2.4 Proof

of [seqLaw-3]:p36

$$\text{Wait } n; \text{ Wait } m = \text{Wait } (m + n)$$

Proof sketch by Pawel:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Wait } n; \text{ Wait } m \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Wait is healthy, see [Wait:def]:p33 ”} \\
& \text{CSP1}(\mathbf{R}(\text{Wait } n)); \text{CSP1}(\mathbf{R}(\text{Wait } m)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [comp:CSP1:closed]:p27,[comp:R:closed]:p?? ”} \\
& \text{CSP1}(\mathbf{R}(\text{Wait } n; \text{ Wait } m)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Wait is } \mathbf{R3}\text{-healthy ”} \\
& \text{CSP1}(\mathbf{R}(\text{Wait } n; \mathbf{R3}(\text{Wait } m))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [R3:def]:p24 ”} \\
& \text{CSP1}(\mathbf{R}(\text{Wait } n; \mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright \text{Wait } m)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Seq:def]:p32 ”} \\
& \text{CSP1}(\mathbf{R}(\exists \text{ obs}_0 \bullet (\text{Wait } n)[\text{seq}'] \wedge (\mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright \text{Wait } m)[\text{seq}])) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Wait:def]:p33 ”} \\
& \text{CSP1}(\mathbf{R}(\exists \text{ obs}_0 \bullet (ok' \wedge \text{DELAY}(n) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}'))[\text{seq}'] \\
& \quad \wedge (\mathbf{I}_R \triangleleft \text{wait} \triangleright ok' \wedge \text{DELAY}(m) \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}'))[\text{seq}])) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ substitute ”} \\
& \text{CSP1}(\mathbf{R}(\exists \text{ obs}_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge \text{DELAY}(n)[\text{seq}'] \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}_0) \\
& \quad \wedge (\mathbf{I}_R[\text{seq}] \triangleleft \text{wait}_0 \triangleright ok' \wedge \text{DELAY}(m)[\text{seq}] \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}_0, \text{slots}')))) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Del:def]:p33, substitute ”} \\
& \text{CSP1}(\mathbf{R}(\exists \text{ obs}_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}_0) \\
& \quad \wedge (\text{DELW}(n)[\text{seq}'] \triangleleft \text{wait}_0 \triangleright \text{DELD}(n)[\text{seq}']) \\
& \quad \wedge (\mathbf{I}_R[\text{seq}] \\
& \quad \triangleleft \text{wait}_0 \triangleright \\
& \quad ok' \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}_0, \text{slots}') \wedge (\text{DELW}(m)[\text{seq}] \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright \text{DELD}(m)[\text{seq}])) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } (A \triangleleft c \triangleright B) \wedge (D \triangleleft c \triangleright E) \equiv (A \wedge D) \triangleleft c \triangleright (B \wedge E) \text{ ”} \\
& \text{CSP1}(\mathbf{R}(\exists \text{ obs}_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}_0) \\
& \quad (\text{DELW}(n)[\text{seq}'] \wedge \mathbf{I}_R[\text{seq}]) \\
& \quad \triangleleft \text{wait}_0 \triangleright \\
& \quad (\text{DELD}(n)[\text{seq}'] \wedge ok' \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}_0, \text{slots}') \wedge (\text{DELW}(m)[\text{seq}] \triangleleft \text{wait}' \triangleright \text{DELD}(m)[\text{seq}])) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Cond:def ”} \\
& \text{CSP1}(\mathbf{R}(\exists \text{ obs}_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}, \text{slots}_0) \wedge (\\
(1) & \quad \text{wait}_0 \wedge \text{DELW}(n)[\text{seq}'] \wedge \mathbf{I}[\text{seq}]) \\
(2) & \quad \vee \neg \text{wait}_0 \wedge \text{wait}' \wedge \text{DELD}(n)[\text{seq}'] \wedge ok' \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}_0, \text{slots}') \wedge \text{DELW}(m)[\text{seq}]) \\
(3) & \quad \vee \neg \text{wait}_0 \wedge \neg \text{wait}' \wedge \text{DELD}(n)[\text{seq}'] \wedge ok' \wedge \text{NOEVTS}(\text{slots}_0, \text{slots}') \wedge \text{DELD}(m)[\text{seq}])
\end{aligned}$$

\equiv “ $\exists - \vee$ distr., calculations (1)–(3) below ”
 $\mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(ok' \wedge wait' \wedge \mathbf{NOEVTS}(slots, slots') \wedge (\#slots' - \#slots < n) \vee$
 $ok' \wedge wait' \wedge \mathbf{NOEVTS}(slots, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots < m + n \vee$
 $ok' \wedge \neg wait' \wedge \mathbf{NOEVTS}(slots, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots = m + n \wedge state' = state))$
 \equiv “ common factors ”
 $\mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(ok' \wedge \mathbf{NOEVTS}(slots, slots') \wedge$
 $(wait' \wedge (\#slots' - \#slots < n \vee \#slots' - \#slots < m + n) \vee$
 $\neg wait' \wedge \#slots' - \#slots = m + n \wedge state' = state)))$
 \equiv “ $a < n \vee a < n + m \equiv a < n + m$, [Cond:def]:p32 ”
 $\mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(ok' \wedge \mathbf{NOEVTS}(slots, slots') \wedge$
 $(\#slots' - \#slots < m + n) \triangleleft wait' \triangleright \#slots' - \#slots = m + n \wedge state' = state)))$
 \equiv “ [Del:def]:p33, backwards ”
 $\mathbf{CSP1}(\mathbf{R3}(ok' \wedge \mathbf{DELAY}(n + m) \wedge \mathbf{NOEVTS}(slots, slots')))$
 \equiv “ [Wait:def]:p33, backwards ”
 \equiv “ Wait (n+m) ”
 \square

We now simplify parts (1),(2),(3), under quantification and assumption $\exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0)$

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & \exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \\
& \quad wait_0 \wedge DELW(n)[seq'] \wedge \mathbb{I}_R[seq] \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DELW:def]:p33, [llr:def]:p24, [DIV:def]:p24, substitute ”} \\
& \exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \\
& \quad wait_0 \wedge (\#slots_0 - \#slots < n) \\
& \quad \wedge (\neg ok_0 \wedge GROW[seq] \vee ok' \wedge RSTET[seq]) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } ok_0 \text{ and } \neg ok_0 \text{ ”} \\
& \exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \\
& \quad wait_0 \wedge (\#slots_0 - \#slots < n) \wedge ok' \wedge RSTET[seq] \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ one-point, } RSTET[seq] \text{ equates } x_0 = x' \text{ ”} \\
& \exists ok_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge \\
& \quad wait' \wedge (\#slots' - \#slots < n) \wedge ok' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ shrink scope, } \exists b \bullet b \text{ ”} \\
& ok' \wedge wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge (\#slots' - \#slots < n) \\
& ok' \wedge wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots < m + n
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad & \exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \\
& \quad \neg wait_0 \wedge wait' \wedge DELD(n)[seq'] \wedge ok' \wedge NOEVTS(slots_0, slots') \wedge DELW(m)[seq] \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DELD:def]:p33, [DELW:def]:p33, substitute ”} \\
& \exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \\
& \quad \neg wait_0 \wedge wait' \wedge \#slots_0 - \#slots = n \wedge state_0 = state \\
& \quad \wedge ok' \wedge NOEVTS(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots_0 < m \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ arithmetic, [NEV:trans]:p31 ”} \\
& \exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \\
& \quad \neg wait_0 \wedge wait' \wedge \#slots_0 - \#slots = n \wedge state_0 = state \\
& \quad \wedge ok' \wedge NOEVTS(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots_0 < m \\
& \quad \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots < m + n \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ one-point } (state_0), \text{ shrink scopes ”} \\
& (\exists ok_0, wait_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge \neg wait_0) \wedge \\
& (\exists slots_0 \bullet NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \#slots_0 - \#slots = n \\
& \quad \wedge NOEVTS(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots_0 < m) \wedge \\
& ok' \wedge wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots < m + n \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ witnesses TRUE, FALSE for } ok_0, wait_0 \text{ ”} \\
& (\exists slots_0 \bullet NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \#slots_0 - \#slots = n \\
& \quad \wedge NOEVTS(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots_0 < m) \wedge \\
& ok' \wedge wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots < m + n \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ witness } slots_0 = slots \# ((slots' \setminus slots)[1..n]) \text{ ”} \\
& ok' \wedge wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots < m + n
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad & \exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \\
& \quad \neg wait_0 \wedge \neg wait' \wedge DELD(n)[seq'] \wedge ok' \wedge NOEVTS(slots_0, slots') \wedge DELD(m)[seq]) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [DELD:def]:p33, substitute ”} \\
& \exists obs_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \\
& \quad \neg wait_0 \wedge \neg wait' \wedge \#slots_0 - \#slots = n \wedge state_0 = state \\
& \wedge ok' \wedge NOEVTS(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots_0 = m \wedge state' = state_0 \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ one-point (state}_0\text{), arithmetic, [NEV:trans]:p31 ”} \\
& \exists ok_0, wait_0, slots_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \\
& \quad \neg wait_0 \wedge \neg wait' \wedge \#slots_0 - \#slots = n \\
& \wedge ok' \wedge NOEVTS(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots_0 = m \\
& \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots = m + n \wedge state' = state \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ shrink scopes ”} \\
& (\exists ok_0, wait_0 \bullet ok_0 \wedge \neg wait_0) \\
& (\exists slots_0 \bullet NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \#slots_0 - \#slots = n \wedge \\
& \quad NOEVTS(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots_0 = m) \\
& ok' \wedge \neg wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots = m + n \wedge state' = state \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ witnesses TRUE, FALSE for } ok_0, wait_0 \text{ ”} \\
& (\exists slots_0 \bullet NOEVTS(slots, slots_0) \wedge \#slots_0 - \#slots = n \wedge \\
& \quad NOEVTS(slots_0, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots_0 = m) \\
& ok' \wedge \neg wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots = m + n \wedge state' = state \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ witness } slots_0 = slots \# ((slots' \searrow slots)[1 \dots n]) \text{ ”} \\
& ok' \wedge \neg wait' \wedge NOEVTS(slots, slots') \wedge \#slots' - \#slots = m + n \wedge state' = state
\end{aligned}$$

C Synchronous CSP is not Slotted-Circus

This section attempts to define SCSP [Bar93] as a slotted theory, and fails, but demonstrates a fundamental difference between refusals in that theory, and their rôle in almost any other CSP-like theory, including *Circus* and *Slotted-Circus*.

We proceed at the slot level, noting that we require a disjointness invariant (not found in other slot instantiations):

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SCSP:SLOT:structure}] \quad & \text{slot}, (acc, ref) \in \text{SCSP } E \hat{=} \mathbb{P} E \times \mathbb{P} E \\ [\text{SCSP:SLOT:inv}] \quad & \text{invSCSP}(acc, ref) \hat{=} acc \cap ref = \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

C.0.5 Accepted and Refused Events and Equivalent Traces

With a slot we associate the set of events accepted (Acc) as well as the possible trace equivalents ($EqvTrc$).

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SCSP:ACC:sig}] \quad & Acc_{\text{SCSP}} : \text{SCSP } E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\ [\text{SCSP:ACC:def}] \quad & Acc(acc, ref) \hat{=} acc \\ [\text{SCSP:ET:sig}] \quad & EqvTrc_{\text{SCSP}} : E^* \leftrightarrow \text{SCSP } E \\ [\text{SCSP:ET:elems}] \quad & EqvTrc(tr, (acc, ref)) \Rightarrow elems(tr) = acc \end{aligned}$$

C.0.6 Null Slots

We require the notion of a null slot with no accepted events, but capable of supporting arbitrary refusals.

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SCSP:SN:sig}] \quad & SNull_{\text{SCSP}} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \text{SCSP } E \\ [\text{SCSP:SN:def}] \quad & SNull(ref) \hat{=} (\emptyset, ref) \\ [\text{SCSP:SN:ref}] \quad & Ref(acc, ref) = ref \\ [\text{SCSP:SN:null}] \quad & Acc(SNull(ref)) = \emptyset \\ [\text{SCSP:SN:eq}] \quad & SNull(r) = SNull(r') \equiv r = r' \end{aligned}$$

All laws hold.

C.0.7 Slot Prefix Relation

The relation \preceq_{SCSP} captures the notion of one slot being a prefix of another:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SCSP:pxf:sig}] \quad & \preceq_{\text{SCSP}} : \text{SCSP } E \leftrightarrow \text{SCSP } E \\ [\text{SCSP:pxf:def}] \quad & (acc_1, ref_1) \preceq_{\text{SCSP}} (acc_2, ref_2) \hat{=} acc_1 \subseteq acc_2 \\ [\text{SCSP:pxf:refl}] \quad & slot \preceq slot = \text{TRUE} \\ [\text{SCSP:pxf:trans}] \quad & slot_1 \preceq slot_2 \wedge slot_2 \preceq slot_3 \Rightarrow slot_1 \preceq slot_3 \\ [\text{SCSP:pxf:anti-sym}] \quad & slot_1 \preceq slot_2 \wedge slot_2 \preceq slot_1 \Rightarrow slot_1 = slot_2 \end{aligned}$$

The following properties are required:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{SCSP:SN:pf}\times] & SNull(r) \preceq slot \\
[\text{SCSP:ET:pf}\times] & slot_1 \preceq slot_2 \Rightarrow \exists tr_1, tr_2 \bullet EquTrc(tr_1, s_1) \wedge EquTrc(tr_2, s_2) \wedge tr_1 \leq tr_2 \\
[\text{SCSP:pf}\times:\text{ignores:ref:1}] & slot_1 \preceq slot_2 \wedge slot_2 \preceq slot_1 \not\Rightarrow Ref(slot_1) = Ref(slot_2) \\
[\text{SCSP:pf}\times:\text{ignores:ref:2}] & slot_1 \preceq slot_2 \equiv \forall r_1, r_2 \bullet slot_1[r_1] \preceq slot_2[r_2]
\end{array}$$

All hold.

C.0.8 Slot Addition (Concatenation)

We also need to have the notion of adding slots in a manner analogous to concatenation:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{SCSP:Sadd:sig}] & Sadd_{SCSP} : SCSP\ E \times SCSP\ E \rightarrow SCSP\ E \\
[\text{SCSP:Sadd:def}] & Sadd_{SCSP}((a_1, r_1), (a_2, r_2)) \hat{=} (a_1 \cup a_2, r_2 \setminus a_1)
\end{array}$$

Note that, unlike other slot instances, that we need to modify the 2nd argument refusal, in order to preserve the $SCSP$ slot invariant.

We can show the following required properties,

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{[SCSP:Sadd:events]} & \text{Acc}(Sadd(s_1, s_2)) =? \text{Acc}(s_1) \cup \text{Acc}(s_2) \\
& \text{Acc}(Sadd((a_1, r_1), (a_2, r_2))) \\
& = \text{Acc}((a_1 \cup a_2, r_2 \setminus a_1)) \\
& = a_1 \cup a_2 \quad \text{OK} \\
\text{[SCSP:Sadd:assoc]} & Sadd(s_1, Sadd(s_2, s_3)) = Sadd(Sadd(s_1, s_2), s_3) \\
\text{Lhs} & Sadd((a_1, r_1), Sadd((a_2, r_2), (a_3, r_3))) \\
& Sadd((a_1, r_1), (a_2 \cup a_3, r_3 \setminus a_2)) \\
& a_1 \cup a_2 \cup a_3, r_3 \setminus a_2 \setminus a_1 \\
\text{Rhs} & Sadd(Sadd((a_1, r_1), (a_2, r_2)), (a_3, r_3)) \\
& Sadd((a_1 \cup a_2, r_2 \setminus a_1), (a_3, r_3)) \\
& (a_1 \cup a_2 \cup a_3, r_3 \setminus (a_1 \cup a_2)) \\
\text{[SCSP:Sadd:prefix]} & s \preceq Sadd(s, s') \\
& (a, r) \preceq Sadd((a, r), (a', r')) \\
& (a, r) \preceq (a \cup a', r' \setminus a) \\
& a \subseteq a \cup a' \\
\text{[SCSP:Sadd:ref]} & Ref(Sadd(s_1, s_2)) = Ref(s_2) \\
& Ref(Sadd((a_1, r_1), (a_2, r_2))) \\
& = Ref((a_1 \cup a_2, r_2 \setminus a_1)) \\
& = r_2 \setminus a_1 \\
& \neq r_2 = Ref(a_2, r_2) \\
\text{[SCSP:Sadd:unit]} & Sadd(s_1, s_2) = s_1 \equiv s_2 = SNull(Ref(s_1)) \\
& Sadd((a_1, r_1), (a_2, r_2)) = (a_1, r_1) \\
& \equiv (a_1 \cup a_2, r_2 \setminus a_1) = (a_1, r_1) \\
& \equiv a_1 \cup a_2 = a_1 \wedge r_2 \setminus a_1 = r_1 \\
& \equiv a_2 \subseteq a_1 \wedge r_2 \setminus a_1 = r_1 \\
& \neq (a_2, r_2) = (\emptyset, r_1)
\end{array}$$

We find that [Sadd:ref] is not compatible with the *SCSP* invariant, but more importantly, that we cannot guarantee [Sadd:unit], even if the invariant is not required!

There is a derived notion of slot equivalence, introduced formally later (3.3.4), and we require *SAdd* to have the following property w.r.t. to such an equivalence:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{[SCSP:Sadd:eqv:unit]} & Sadd(s_1, s_2) \approx s_1 \equiv s_2 = SNull(Ref(s_2)) \\
& \textbf{where } slot_a \approx slot_b = slot_a \preceq slot_b \wedge slot_b \preceq slot_a
\end{array}$$

Note that this is weaker than the unit law [Sadd:unit], but also fails, for the same reason.

Finally, we introduce the following binary shorthand for *Sadd* :

$$\text{[SCSP:Sadd:binop]} \quad s_1 \# s_2 \hat{=} Sadd(s_1, s_2)$$

C.0.9 Slot Subtraction

The related notion of slot-subtraction is also required:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[SCSP:Ssub:sig]} \quad Ssub_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} E \times \mathcal{H} E &\leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\ Ssub_{SCSP} : SCSP E \times SCSP E &\leftrightarrow SCSP E \end{aligned}$$

where the second argument is subtracted from the first.

As for addition, we define slot subtraction to retain the refusal of its first argument:

$$\text{[SCSP:Ssub:def]} \quad Ssub_{SCSP}((h_1, r_1), (h_2, r_2)) \hat{=} (Ssub_{\mathcal{H}}(h_1, h_2), r_1)$$

Subtraction is partial, and needs to obey a large collection of laws:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[SCSP:Ssub:pre]} \quad & \text{pre } Ssub(h_1, h_2) = h_2 \preceq h_1 \\ & \text{pre } Ssub(s_1, s_2) = s_2 \preceq s_1 \\ \text{[SCSP:Ssub:events]} \quad & h_2 \preceq h_1 \wedge h' = Ssub(h_1, h_2) \Rightarrow \\ & \quad Acc(h_1) \setminus Acc(h_2) \subseteq Acc(h') \subseteq Acc(h_1) \\ \text{[Ssub:events]}_{SCSP} \quad & s_2 \preceq s_1 \wedge s' = Ssub(s_1, s_2) \Rightarrow \\ & \quad Acc(s_1) \setminus Acc(s_2) \subseteq Acc(s') \subseteq Acc(s_1) \\ \text{[SCSP:Ssub:ref]} \quad & Ref(Ssub(slot', slot)) = Ref(slot') \\ \text{[SCSP:Ssub:self]} \quad & Ssub(h, h) = HNull \\ & Ssub(s, s) = SNull(Ref(s)) \\ \text{[SCSP:Ssub:nil]} \quad & Ssub(h, HNull) = h \\ & Ssub(s, SNull(r)) = s \\ \text{[SCSP:Ssub:same]} \quad & hist \preceq hist'_a \wedge hist \preceq hist'_b \Rightarrow \\ & \quad Ssub(hist'_a, hist) = Ssub(hist'_b, hist) \equiv hist'_a = hist'_b \\ \text{[Ssub:same]}_{SCSP} \quad & slot \preceq slot'_a \wedge slot \preceq slot'_b \Rightarrow \\ & \quad Ssub(slot'_a, slot) = Ssub(slot'_b, slot) \equiv slot'_a = slot'_b \\ \text{[SCSP:Ssub:subsub]} \quad & hist_c \preceq hist_a \wedge hist_c \preceq hist_b \wedge hist_b \preceq hist_a \\ & \Rightarrow Ssub(Ssub(hist_a, hist_c), Ssub(hist_b, hist_c)) = Ssub(hist_a, hist_b) \\ \text{[Ssub:subsub]}_{SCSP} \quad & slot_c \preceq slot_a \wedge slot_c \preceq slot_b \wedge slot_b \preceq slot_a \\ & \Rightarrow Ssub(Ssub(slot_a, slot_c), Ssub(slot_b, slot_c)) = Ssub(slot_a, slot_b) \end{aligned}$$

The law [Ssub:events] may seem a little weak, but in general subtracting s_2 from s_1 does not guarantee that the result will not mention events in s_2 . As for $Sadd$, we need a property linking $Ssub$ and slot equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[SCSP:Ssub:eqv]} \quad & s_1 \approx s_2 \equiv Ssub(s_1, s_2) = SNull(Ref(s_1)) \\ & \textbf{where } slot_a \approx slot_b = slot_a \preceq slot_b \wedge slot_b \preceq slot_a \end{aligned}$$

This law is a consequence of the anti-symmetric of $\preceq_{\mathcal{H}}$, and the laws [Ssub:self] and [Ssub:def].

Finally, we introduce the following binary shorthand for $Ssub$:

$$\text{[SCSP:Sadd:binop]} \quad s_1 \setminus s_2 \hat{=} Ssub(s_1, s_2)$$

C.0.10 Relating Addition and Subtraction

We also require addition and subtraction to satisfy the following laws, the first of which can be considered a defining feature of subtraction, and the second being required to ensure that **R2** (see [R2:def]:p23) is idempotent:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SCSP:Sadd:Ssub}] \quad & hist \preceq hist' \Rightarrow \text{Sadd}(hist, \text{Ssub}(hist', hist)) = hist' \\
& slot \preceq slot' \Rightarrow \text{Sadd}(slot, \text{Ssub}(slot', slot)) = slot' \\
[\text{SCSP:Ssub:Sadd}] \quad & \text{Ssub}(\text{Sadd}(h_1, h_2), h_1) = h_2 \\
& \text{Ssub}(\text{Sadd}(s_1, s_2), s_1) = s_2
\end{aligned}$$

We will allow certain variants of slotted-*Circus* that fail to satisfy [Ssub:Sadd], provided a different form of the **R2** healthiness condition is used. An example of this is that case where we model the event occurrences as a set, and *Sadd* and *Ssub* correspond to set union and set difference respectively. In this case it is generally the case that:

$$(S_1 \cup S_2) \setminus S_1 \neq S_2 \quad \text{e.g.: } (\{a\} \cup \{a\}) \setminus \{a\} = \emptyset \neq \{a\}.$$

C.0.11 Hiding Slot Events

We need to specify how to hide events in a slot:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SCSP:SHid:sig}] \quad & \text{SHide}_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \\
& \text{SHide}_{\text{SCSP}} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \text{SCSP } E \rightarrow \text{SCSP } E
\end{aligned}$$

Hiding shrinks the event-set, and enlarges the refusals:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SCSP:SHid:def}] \quad & \text{SHide}_{\text{SCSP}}(hid)(hist, ref) \hat{=} (\text{SHide}_{\mathcal{H}}(hid)hist, refs \cup hid) \\
[\text{SCSP:SHid:evts}] \quad & \text{Acc}(\text{SHide}(hid)(h)) = \text{Acc}(h) \setminus hid \\
& \text{Acc}(\text{SHide}(hid)(s)) = \text{Acc}(s) \setminus hid \\
[\text{SCSP:SHid:refs}] \quad & \text{Ref}(\text{SHide}(hid)(s)) = \text{Ref}(s) \cup hid
\end{aligned}$$

C.0.12 Slot Synchronisation

Finally, we need a function that captures the way in which two slots can synchronise on a given channel-set:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SCSP:SNC:sig}] \quad & \text{SSync}_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \times \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H} E) \\
& \text{SSync}_{\text{SCSP}} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \text{SCSP } E \times \text{SCSP } E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\text{SCSP } E)
\end{aligned}$$

We define the slot-version in terms of the history one as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SCSP:SNC:def}] \quad & \text{SSync}_{\text{SCSP}}(cs)((hist_1, ref_1), (hist_2, ref_2)) \\
& \hat{=} \text{SSync}_{\mathcal{H}}(cs)(hist_1, hist_2) \times \{\text{RSync}(cs)(ref_1, ref_2)\} \\
[\text{SCSP:RSYN:sig}] \quad & \text{RSync} : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \times \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P} E \\
[\text{SCSP:RSYN:def}] \quad & \text{RSync}(cs)(r_1, r_2) \hat{=} ((r_1 \cup r_2) \cap cs) \cup ((r_1 \cap r_2) \setminus cs) \\
[\text{SCSP:RSYN:sym}] \quad & \text{RSync}(cs)(r_1, r_2) = \text{RSync}(cs)(r_2, r_1) \\
[\text{SCSP:RSYN:assoc}] \quad & \text{RSync}(cs)(r_1, \text{RSync}(cs)(r_2, r_3)) = \text{RSync}(cs)(\text{RSync}(cs)(r_1, r_2), r_3)
\end{aligned}$$

Synchronisation needs to satisfy the following:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{[SCSP:SNC:sym]} & SSync(cs)(h_1, h_2) = SSync(cs)(h_2, h_1) \\
& SSync(cs)(s_1, s_2) = SSync(cs)(s_2, s_1) \\
\text{[SCSP:SNC:null]} & SSync(cs)(SNull(r_1), SNull(r_2)) = \{SNull(RSync(r_1, r_2))\} \\
\text{[SCSP:SNC:one]} & \forall h' \in SSync(cs)(h_1, HNull) \bullet Acc(h') \subseteq Acc(h_1) \setminus cs \\
& \forall r_2 \bullet \forall s' \in SSync(cs)(s_1, SNull(r_2)) \bullet Acc(s') \subseteq Acc(s_1) \setminus cs \\
\text{[SCSP:SNC:only]} & h' \in Acc(SSync(cs)(h_1, h_2)) \Rightarrow Acc(h') \subseteq Acc(h_1) \cup Acc(h_2) \\
& s' \in Acc(SSync(cs)(s_1, s_2)) \Rightarrow Acc(s') \subseteq Acc(s_1) \cup Acc(s_2) \\
\text{[SCSP:SNC:sync]} & h' \in Acc(SSync(cs)(h_1, h_2)) \Rightarrow cs \cap Acc(h') \subseteq cs \cap (Acc(h_1) \cap Acc(h_2)) \\
& s' \in Acc(SSync(cs)(s_1, s_2)) \Rightarrow cs \cap Acc(s') \subseteq cs \cap (Acc(s_1) \cap Acc(s_2))
\end{array}$$

Note that these laws are weaker than might be expected—in particular, they do not specify the difference between what happens to events common to both slots, *vis-a-vis* their membership of the synchronisation set. This aspect of behaviour depends on the specifics of a given slotted theory.

We would like an associativity principle, but in order to do that we need to handle synchronisation of one history against a set of same:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{[SCSP:SNCS:sig]} & SyncSet : \mathbb{P} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H} E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H} E) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H} E) \\
\text{[SCSP:SNCS:def]} & SyncSet(cs)(h)(H) \hat{=} \bigcup \{SSync(cs)(h, h') \mid h' \in H\} \\
\text{[SCSP:SNC:assoc]} & SyncSet(cs)(h_1)(SSync(cs)(h_2, h_3)) = SyncSet(cs)(h_3)(SSync(cs)(h_1, h_2)) \\
& SyncSet(cs)(s_1)(SSync(cs)(s_2, s_3)) = SyncSet(cs)(s_3)(SSync(cs)(s_1, s_2))
\end{array}$$

D Slotted-Circus Proof Principles

D.1 Proof Principles

D.1.1 Generalised One-point

Of particular importance, because of the extensive use of $slots' \cong slots$ rather than $slots' = slots$, is the following generalisation of the one-point rule:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{[Gen:One-Point]} \quad (\exists p \bullet Q(p) \wedge p \simeq q) \equiv \exists b \bullet Q((\pi_1 q, b)) \\
 \text{where} \\
 p : P \approx A \times B \\
 \pi_1 : P \rightarrow A \\
 \pi_2 : P \rightarrow B \\
 (p \simeq q) \equiv (\pi_1 p = \pi_1 q)
 \end{array}$$

In other word, P is (isomorphic to) a product type, and \simeq is defined as equality over a projection from that type.

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \exists p \bullet Q(p) \wedge p \simeq q \\
 \equiv \quad \text{“ one-point rule backwards, } a : A, b : B \text{ fresh ”} \\
 \exists a, b, p \bullet Q(p) \wedge p \simeq q \wedge a = \pi_1 p \wedge b = \pi_2 p \\
 \equiv \quad \text{“ rewrite equalities (possible for products) ”} \\
 \exists a, b, p \bullet Q(p) \wedge p \simeq q \wedge p = (a, b) \\
 \equiv \quad \text{“ one-point rule, } p \text{ ”} \\
 \exists a, b \bullet Q((a, b)) \wedge (a, b) \simeq q \\
 \equiv \quad \text{“ defn } \simeq \text{ ”} \\
 \exists a, b \bullet Q((a, b)) \wedge a = \pi_1 q \\
 \equiv \quad \text{“ One-point rule, } a \text{ ”} \\
 \exists b \bullet Q((\pi_1 q, b))
 \end{array}$$

In effect, we are performing a change of variables where they are related by a bijection.

We need a few bijections for slots:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 slot \quad : \quad \mathcal{S} E \approx \mathcal{H} E \times \mathbb{P} E \\
 hist \quad : \quad \mathcal{H} E \\
 ref \quad : \quad \mathbb{P} E \\
 slot = (hist, ref) \quad \text{— bijection} \\
 slots \quad : \quad (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \approx (\mathcal{H} E \times \mathbb{P} E)^+ \\
 prior \quad : \quad (\mathcal{S} E)^* \\
 slots = prior \wedge \langle (hist, ref) \rangle \quad \text{— bijection}
 \end{array}$$

Another variant arises when the relationship isn't quite an isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{slots} & : (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \approx (\mathcal{S}' E \times \mathbb{P} E)^+ \\
\text{evtrc} & : (\mathcal{S}' E)^+ \\
\text{refs} & : (\mathbb{P} E)^+ \\
\text{slots} & = \text{zip}(\text{evtrc}, \text{refs}) \quad \text{--- not quite, requires } \# \text{evtrc} = \# \text{refs}
\end{aligned}$$

Assume the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned}
a & : A^* \\
b & : B^* \\
s & : S^* = (A \times B)^* \\
\text{unzip} & : S^* \rightarrow A^* \times B^* \\
\text{unzip}(s) & \hat{=} (a, b) \textbf{ where } a = (\pi_1)^* s \wedge b = (\pi_2)^* s \\
\text{zip} & : A^* \times B^* \rightarrow S^* \\
\text{pre zip}(a, b) & \hat{=} \#a = \#b \\
\text{zip}(a, b) & \hat{=} s \textbf{ where } a = (\pi_1)^* s \wedge b = (\pi_2)^* s \\
\text{TRUE} & \hat{=} \text{pre zip}(\text{unzip}(s)) \\
\text{zip}(\text{unzip}(s)) & = s \\
\text{pre zip}(a, b) & \Rightarrow \text{unzip}(\text{zip}(a, b)) = (a, b)
\end{aligned}$$

We explore using this relationship in a one-point style situation, where we have the following definition of \simeq :

$$\begin{aligned}
s \simeq s' & \hat{=} (\pi_1)^* s = (\pi_1)^* s' \\
s \simeq s' & \equiv \pi_1(\text{unzip}(s)) = \pi_1(\text{unzip}(s'))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists s \bullet P(s) \wedge s \simeq s' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ reverse one-point, } a, b \text{ ”} \\
& \exists a, b, s \bullet P(s) \wedge s \simeq s' \wedge (a, b) = \text{unzip}(s) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ flip equality ”} \\
& \exists a, b, s \bullet P(s) \wedge s \simeq s' \wedge s = \text{zip}(a, b) \wedge \#a = \#b \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ one-point } s \text{ ”} \\
& \exists a, b \bullet P(\text{zip}(a, b)) \wedge \text{zip}(a, b) \simeq s' \wedge \#a = \#b \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ alternate defn. of } \simeq \text{ ”} \\
& \exists a, b \bullet P(\text{zip}(a, b)) \wedge \pi_1(\text{unzip}(\text{zip}(a, b))) = \pi_1(\text{unzip}(s')) \wedge \#a = \#b \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ pre-zip holds ”} \\
& \exists a, b \bullet P(\text{zip}(a, b)) \wedge \pi_1(a, b) = \pi_1(\text{unzip}(s')) \wedge \#a = \#b \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ projection ”} \\
& \exists a, b \bullet P(\text{zip}(a, b)) \wedge a = \pi_1(\text{unzip}(s')) \wedge \#a = \#b \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ one-point } a \text{ ”} \\
& \exists b \bullet P(\text{zip}(\pi_1(\text{unzip}(s')), b)) \wedge \#\pi_1(\text{unzip}(s')) = \#b
\end{aligned}$$

D.1.2 Change of Variable

We can also do wholesale variable changes in this manner:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ reverse one-point, introducing } \textit{prior}, \textit{hist}, \textit{ref}, \textit{prior}', \textit{hist}', \textit{ref}' \text{ ”} \\
& \exists \textit{prior}, \textit{hist}, \textit{ref}, \textit{prior}', \textit{hist}', \textit{ref}' \bullet \\
& \quad P(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
& \quad \wedge \textit{prior} = \textit{front}(\text{slots}) \wedge \textit{hist} = \textit{last}(\text{slots}).1 \wedge \textit{ref} = \textit{last}(\text{slots}).2 \\
& \quad \wedge \textit{prior}' = \textit{front}(\text{slots}') \wedge \textit{hist}' = \textit{last}(\text{slots}').1 \wedge \textit{ref}' = \textit{last}(\text{slots}').2 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ Invert equalities ”} \\
& \exists \textit{prior}, \textit{hist}, \textit{ref}, \textit{prior}', \textit{hist}', \textit{ref}' \bullet \\
& \quad P(\text{slots}, \text{slots}') \\
& \quad \wedge \text{slots} = \textit{prior} \hat{\wedge} \langle \langle \textit{hist}, \textit{ref} \rangle \rangle \\
& \quad \wedge \text{slots}' = \textit{prior}' \hat{\wedge} \langle \langle \textit{hist}', \textit{ref}' \rangle \rangle \\
& \text{[Intro:prior-hist-ref]}
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the following variation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists \text{slots}_0 \bullet P(\text{slots}, \text{slots}_0, \text{slots}') \\
\equiv & \text{ “ reverse one-point, introducing } \textit{prior}_0, \textit{hist}_0, \textit{ref}_0 \text{ ”} \\
& \exists \textit{prior}_0, \textit{hist}_0, \textit{ref}_0, \text{slots}_0 \bullet \\
& \quad P(\text{slots}, \text{slots}_0, \text{slots}') \\
& \quad \wedge \textit{prior}_0 = \textit{front}(\text{slots}_0) \wedge \textit{hist}_0 = \textit{last}(\text{slots}_0).1 \wedge \textit{ref}_0 = \textit{last}(\text{slots}_0).2 \\
\equiv & \text{ “ Invert equalities ”} \\
& \exists \textit{prior}_0, \textit{hist}_0, \textit{ref}_0, \text{slots}_0 \bullet \\
& \quad P(\text{slots}, \text{slots}_0, \text{slots}') \\
& \quad \wedge \text{slots}_0 = \textit{prior}_0 \hat{\wedge} \langle \langle \textit{hist}_0, \textit{ref}_0 \rangle \rangle \\
\equiv & \text{ “ One-point } \text{slots}_0 \text{ ”} \\
& \exists \textit{prior}_0, \textit{hist}_0, \textit{ref}_0 \bullet \\
& \quad P(\text{slots}, \textit{prior}_0 \hat{\wedge} \langle \langle \textit{hist}_0, \textit{ref}_0 \rangle \rangle, \text{slots}') \\
& \text{[Change:slots:prior-hist-ref]}
\end{aligned}$$

D.1.3 Variable Change: \preceq

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{EX:prior:hist:ref}] \quad P \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}' &\equiv \\
&\exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{prior}', \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet P \wedge \\
&\quad \text{prior} \leq \text{prior}' \wedge (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \preceq (\text{prior}' \wedge \langle (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \rangle)(\# \text{prior} + 1) \\
&\quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \wedge \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \rangle \\
&\quad \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior}' \wedge \langle (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&P \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}' \\
\equiv &\text{ “ [Intro:prior-hist-ref]:p201 ” } \\
&\exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{prior}', \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet \\
&\quad P \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}' \\
&\quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \wedge \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \rangle \\
&\quad \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior}' \wedge \langle (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \rangle \\
\equiv &\text{ “ [EX:def]:p19 ” } \\
&\exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{prior}', \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet \\
&\quad P \wedge \text{front}(\text{slots}) < \text{slots}' \\
&\quad \wedge \text{last}(\text{slots}) \preceq \text{slots}'(\#\text{slots}) \\
&\quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \wedge \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \rangle \\
&\quad \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior}' \wedge \langle (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \rangle \\
\equiv &\text{ “ Leibniz slots, slots' ” } \\
&\exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{prior}', \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet \\
&\quad P \wedge \text{front}(\text{prior} \wedge \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \rangle) < \text{prior}' \wedge \langle (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \rangle \\
&\quad \wedge \text{last}(\text{prior} \wedge \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \rangle) \preceq (\text{prior}' \wedge \langle (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \rangle)(\#(\text{prior} \wedge \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \rangle)) \\
&\quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \wedge \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \rangle \\
&\quad \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior}' \wedge \langle (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \rangle \\
\equiv &\text{ “ defn front, last, \#, < ” } \\
&\exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{prior}', \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet \\
&\quad P \wedge \text{prior} \leq \text{prior}' \\
&\quad \wedge (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \preceq (\text{prior}' \wedge \langle (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \rangle)(\# \text{prior} + 1) \\
&\quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \wedge \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \rangle \\
&\quad \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior}' \wedge \langle (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

D.1.4 Variable Change: \cong

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{[SSEQV:prior:hist:ref]} \quad Q \wedge \text{slots} \cong \text{slots}' \quad \equiv \\
& \quad \exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{ref}' \bullet Q \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \text{slots} = \text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle \\
& \\
& \quad Q \wedge \text{slots} \cong \text{slots}' \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SSEQV:def]:p20 ”} \\
& \quad Q \wedge \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}' \wedge \text{slots}' \preceq \text{slots} \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Intro:prior-hist-ref]:p201 ”} \\
& \quad \exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{prior}', \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet Q \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \text{slots} \preceq \text{slots}' \wedge \text{slots}' \preceq \text{slots} \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior}' \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Liebniz, slots, slots' ”} \\
& \quad \exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{prior}', \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet Q \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \text{front}(\text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle) < \text{prior}' \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{last}(\text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle) \preceq (\text{prior}' \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle)(\#(\text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle)) \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{front}(\text{prior}' \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle) < \text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{last}(\text{prior}' \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle) \preceq (\text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle)(\#(\text{prior}' \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle)) \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior}' \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ props. and defs. of front, last, < and # ”} \\
& \quad \exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{prior}', \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet Q \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \text{prior} \leq \text{prior}' \wedge (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \preceq (\text{prior}' \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle)(\#\text{prior} + 1) \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{prior}' \leq \text{prior} \wedge (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \preceq (\text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle)(\#\text{prior}' + 1) \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior}' \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ sequence ordering is anti-symmetric ”} \\
& \quad \exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{prior}', \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet Q \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \text{prior} = \text{prior}' \\
& \quad \quad \wedge (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \preceq (\text{prior}' \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle)(\#\text{prior} + 1) \\
& \quad \quad \wedge (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \preceq (\text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle)(\#\text{prior}' + 1) \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior}' \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ one-point prior' ”} \\
& \quad \exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet Q \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \wedge (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \preceq (\text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle)(\#\text{prior} + 1) \\
& \quad \quad \wedge (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \preceq (\text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle)(\#\text{prior} + 1) \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}, \text{ref} \rangle \rangle \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior} \hat{\langle} \langle \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \rangle \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } (\sigma \hat{\langle} \langle e \rangle \rangle)(\#\sigma + 1) = e \text{ ”}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet Q \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \preceq (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \wedge (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \preceq (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \hat{\wedge} \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \rangle \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior} \hat{\wedge} \langle (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [pfx:def]:p12 ”} \\
& \exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet Q \wedge \\
& \quad \text{hist} \preceq \text{hist}' \wedge \text{hist}' \preceq \text{hist} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \hat{\wedge} \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \rangle \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior} \hat{\wedge} \langle (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [pfx:anti-sym]:p17 ”} \\
& \exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{hist}', \text{ref}' \bullet Q \wedge \\
& \quad \text{hist} = \text{hist}' \\
& \quad \wedge \text{slots} = \text{prior} \hat{\wedge} \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \rangle \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior} \hat{\wedge} \langle (\text{hist}', \text{ref}') \rangle \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ One-point, hist' ”} \\
& \exists \text{prior}, \text{hist}, \text{ref}, \text{ref}' \bullet Q \wedge \\
& \quad \text{slots} = \text{prior} \hat{\wedge} \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}) \rangle \wedge \text{slots}' = \text{prior} \hat{\wedge} \langle (\text{hist}, \text{ref}') \rangle \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

We point out that this law is more general, as the variables slots and slots' above are arbitrary. Most important of all, in the above proof, it can be seen that all the steps carry through, even if both of the slot-sequences being asserted as slot-equivalent are in fact denoted by arbitrary expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{SSEQV:prior:hist:ref:E}] \quad Q \wedge E_1 \cong E_2 & \equiv \\
& \exists \text{prior}_1, \text{hist}_1, \text{ref}_1, \text{ref}_2 \bullet Q \wedge \\
& \quad E_1 = \text{prior}_1 \hat{\wedge} \langle (\text{hist}_1, \text{ref}_1) \rangle \\
& \quad \wedge E_2 = \text{prior}_1 \hat{\wedge} \langle (\text{hist}_1, \text{ref}_2) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

D.1.5 Proof of [Hsub:equal]

$$\text{[Hsub:equal]} \quad hn \preceq h \Rightarrow (h = \text{ssub}_{\mathcal{H}}(h, hn) \equiv hn = \text{hnull})$$

We assume $hn \preceq h$ throughout. The right-to-left implication is trivial.

We use infix notation, and concentrate on the left-to-right case, and so assume $h = h \setminus hn$

$$\begin{aligned} & h = h \setminus hn \\ \Rightarrow & \quad \text{“ left-add } hn \text{ to both sides ”} \\ & hn \# h = hn \# (h \setminus hn) \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [sadd:ssub]:p17, given } hn \preceq h \text{ ”} \\ & hn \# h = h \\ \equiv & \quad \text{“ [sadd:unit]:p13 ”} \\ & hn = \text{hnull} \\ & \square \end{aligned}$$

D.1.6 Proof of [SSub:equal]

$$sn \preceq s \Rightarrow s = ssub(s, sn) \equiv \exists rn \bullet sn = snull(rn)$$

Start with the lhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& s = ssub(s, sn) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ let } s = (h, r) \text{ and } sn = (hn, rn) \text{ ”} \\
& (h, r) = ssub((h, r), (hn, rn)) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [ssub:def]:p14 ”} \\
& (h, r) = (ssub_{\mathcal{H}}(h, hn), r) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ pair equality ”} \\
& h = ssub_{\mathcal{H}}(h, hn) \wedge r = r \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [Hsub:equal]:p205 ”} \\
& hn = hnull
\end{aligned}$$

Now the rhs:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists rn \bullet sn = snull(rn) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [SN:def]:p12 ”} \\
& \exists rn \bullet sn = (hnull, rn) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ let } sn = (hn, rn) \text{ ”} \\
& \exists rn \bullet (hn, rn) = (hnull, rn) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ pair equality ”} \\
& \exists rn \bullet hn = hnull \wedge rn = rn \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ reflexivity of = ”} \\
& \exists rn \bullet hn = hnull \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ drop quantifier ”} \\
& hn = hnull \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

D.1.7 Shifting

Much of this material has become obsolete since **R2** was re-formulated using slots-sequence addition and subtraction ([R2:def]:p23,[Shift:obsolete]:p??). However many proofs done before that reformulation still rely on this material.

In the definition of **R2**, the *Shift* function is introduced ([R2:shift]:p??). In some key proofs, the following expression crops up:

$$ss \frown \text{Shift}(s, \text{slots}, \text{POST})$$

where POST denotes some slot-sequence expression, which has the property that $\text{slots} \preceq \text{POST}$. We shall define a special operator \mathcal{S} (Abstract Shift) that abstracts out that last argument:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{[AS:sig]} & \mathcal{S} : (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\ \text{[AS:pre]} & \text{pre } \mathcal{S}(\text{post}) \hat{=} \text{slots} \preceq \text{post} \\ \text{[AS:def]} & \mathcal{S}(\text{post}) \hat{=} ss \frown \text{Shift}(s, \text{slots}, \text{post}) \\ \text{[AS:expand]} & \mathcal{S}(\text{post}) = ss \frown \langle s + (\text{slot}' - \text{slot}) \rangle \frown \text{sfx} \\ \text{where} & \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\ & (\text{slot}' \circ \text{sfx}) = \text{post} - \text{front}(\text{slots}) \end{array}$$

Here we introduce $+$ and $-$ as shorthands for *sadd* and *ssub*.

We note first of all that the result of this gives us the following guarantee:

$$\text{[AS:post]} \quad \text{slots} \preceq \text{post} \Rightarrow ss \frown \langle s \rangle \preceq \mathcal{S}(\text{post})$$

More importantly, this guarantee gives the pre-condition of an inverse function:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{[ASinv:sig]} & \mathcal{S}^{-1} : (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\ \text{[ASinv:pre]} & \text{pre } \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\text{shftd}) \hat{=} ss \frown \langle s \rangle \preceq \text{shftd} \\ \text{[ASinv:post]} & ss \frown \langle s \rangle \preceq \text{shftd} \Rightarrow \text{slots} \preceq \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\text{shftd}) \\ \text{[AS:ASinv]} & \text{slots} \preceq \text{post} \Rightarrow \text{post} = \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(\text{post})) \\ \text{[ASinv:AS]} & ss \frown \langle s \rangle \preceq \text{shftd} \Rightarrow \text{shftd} = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\text{shftd})) \end{array}$$

We posit the following definition of the inverse:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{[ASinv:def]} & \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\text{shftd}) \hat{=} \text{front}(\text{slots}) \frown \text{Shift}(\text{last}(\text{slots}), ss \frown \langle s \rangle, \text{shftd}) \\ \text{[ASinv:expand1]} & \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\text{shftd}) = \text{front}(\text{slots}) \frown \langle \text{last}(\text{slots}) + (\text{sslot}' - \text{sslot}) \rangle \frown \text{ssfx} \\ \text{where} & \text{sslot} = \text{last}(ss \frown \langle s \rangle) \\ & (\text{sslot}' \circ \text{ssfx}) = \text{shftd} - \text{front}(ss \frown \langle s \rangle) \\ \text{[ASinv:expand2]} & \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\text{shftd}) = \text{front}(\text{slots}) \frown \langle \text{last}(\text{slots}) + (\text{sslot}' - s) \rangle \frown \text{ssfx} \\ \text{where} & (\text{sslot}' \circ \text{ssfx}) = \text{shftd} - ss \end{array}$$

We also posit that both \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}^{-1} preserve the \preceq relationship:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{[AS:prsrv:EX]} & \text{post}_1 \preceq \text{post}_2 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\text{post}_1) \preceq \mathcal{S}(\text{post}_2) \\ \text{[ASinv:prsrv:EX]} & \text{shftd}_1 \preceq \text{shftd}_2 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\text{shftd}_1) \preceq \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\text{shftd}_2) \end{array}$$

An immediate corollary of the above is that they also preserve \cong :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{[AS:prsrv:SSEQV]} & \text{post}_1 \cong \text{post}_2 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\text{post}_1) \cong \mathcal{S}(\text{post}_2) \\ \text{[ASinv:prsrv:SSEQV]} & \text{shftd}_1 \cong \text{shftd}_2 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\text{shftd}_1) \cong \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\text{shftd}_2) \end{array}$$

Proof of [AS:ASinv]:p207, so assume

$$[\text{AS:ASinv:hyp}] \quad \text{slots} \preceq \text{post}$$

to show:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(\text{post})) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [AS:expand]:p207 ”} \\
& \mathcal{S}^{-1}(ss \wedge \langle s + (\text{slot}' - \text{slot}) \rangle \wedge \text{sfx}) \\
& \quad \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\
& \quad (\text{slot}' \circ \text{sfx}) = \text{post} - \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ [ASinv:expand2]:p207 ”} \\
& \text{front}(\text{slots}) \wedge \langle \text{last}(\text{slots}) + (\text{sslot}' - s) \rangle \wedge \text{ssfx} \\
& \quad (\text{sslot}' \circ \text{ssfx}) = (ss \wedge \langle s + (\text{slot}' - \text{slot}) \rangle \wedge \text{sfx}) - ss \\
& \quad \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\
& \quad (\text{slot}' \circ \text{sfx}) = \text{post} - \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ law of sequence subtraction ”} \\
& \text{front}(\text{slots}) \wedge \langle \text{last}(\text{slots}) + (\text{sslot}' - s) \rangle \wedge \text{ssfx} \\
& \quad (\text{sslot}' \circ \text{ssfx}) = \langle s + (\text{slot}' - \text{slot}) \rangle \wedge \text{sfx} \\
& \quad \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\
& \quad (\text{slot}' \circ \text{sfx}) = \text{post} - \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } \circ \text{ pattern match, Lieb niz on } \text{sslot}' \text{ and } \text{ssfx} \text{ ”} \\
& \text{front}(\text{slots}) \wedge \langle \text{last}(\text{slots}) + ((s + (\text{slot}' - \text{slot})) - s) \rangle \wedge \text{sfx} \\
& \quad \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\
& \quad (\text{slot}' \circ \text{sfx}) = \text{post} - \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } (s + t) - s = t \text{ ”} \\
& \text{front}(\text{slots}) \wedge \langle \text{last}(\text{slots}) + (\text{slot}' - \text{slot}) \rangle \wedge \text{sfx} \\
& \quad \text{slot} = \text{last}(\text{slots}) \\
& \quad (\text{slot}' \circ \text{sfx}) = \text{post} - \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Lieb niz on } \text{slot}, s + (t - s) = t, \text{ ok because } \text{slot} \preceq \text{slot}' \text{ by [AS:ASinv:hyp]:p208 ”} \\
& \text{front}(\text{slots}) \wedge \langle \text{slot}' \rangle \wedge \text{sfx} \\
& \quad (\text{slot}' \circ \text{sfx}) = \text{post} - \text{front}(\text{slots}) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ Lieb niz on } \text{slot}', \text{sfx}, \text{ noting } \langle e \rangle \wedge s = e \circ s \text{ ”} \\
& \text{front}(\text{slots}) \wedge (\text{post} - \text{front}(\text{slots})) \\
\equiv & \quad \text{“ } s + (t - s) = t, \text{ ok because } \text{front}(\text{slots}) \preceq \text{post}, \text{ by [AS:ASinv:hyp]:p208 ”} \\
& \text{post} \\
& \square
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of [AS:prsv:EX]:p207, so assume

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{AS:prsv:EX:hyp1}] & \quad \text{slots} \preceq \text{post}_1 \\
[\text{AS:prsv:EX:hyp2}] & \quad \text{slots} \preceq \text{post}_2 \\
[\text{AS:prsv:EX:hyp3}] & \quad \text{post}_1 \preceq \text{post}_2
\end{aligned}$$

We can then rewrite $post_1$ and $post_2$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{AS:prsrv:EX:post1}] \quad & post_1 = pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl \rangle \\ [\text{AS:prsrv:EX:post2}] \quad & post_1 = pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl' \rangle \hat{\ } rest \\ [\text{AS:prsrv:EX:hyp4}] \quad & sl \preceq sl' \end{aligned}$$

We then expand out:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}(post_1) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [AS:prsrv:EX:post1]:p209 ”} \\ & \mathcal{S}(pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl \rangle) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [AS:def]:p207 ”} \\ & ss \hat{\ } \langle s + (slot' - slot) \rangle \hat{\ } sfx \\ & \quad slot = last(slots) \\ & \quad (slot' \circ sfx) = (pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl \rangle) - front(slots) \\ = & \quad \text{“ Liebniz on } slot, slot', sfx \text{ ”} \\ & ss \hat{\ } \langle s + (head((pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl \rangle) - front(slots)) - last(slots)) \rangle \\ & \hat{\ } tail((pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl \rangle) - front(slots)) \end{aligned}$$

Expanding $post_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}(post_2) \\ = & \quad \text{“ [AS:prsrv:EX:post2]:p209 ”} \\ & \mathcal{S}(pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl' \rangle \hat{\ } rest) \\ = & \quad \text{“ similar to above ”} \\ & ss \hat{\ } \langle s + (head((pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl' \rangle \hat{\ } rest) - front(slots)) - last(slots)) \rangle \\ & \hat{\ } tail((pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl' \rangle \hat{\ } rest) - front(slots)) \end{aligned}$$

We note that $head(\sigma - front(\tau)) = \sigma(\#\tau)$, so we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}(post_1) \\ = & \quad \text{“ above law ”} \\ & ss \hat{\ } \langle s + ((pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl \rangle)(\#slots)) - last(slots) \rangle \\ & \hat{\ } tail((pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl \rangle) - front(slots)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}(post_2) \\ = & \quad \text{“ above law ”} \\ & ss \hat{\ } \langle s + ((pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl' \rangle \hat{\ } rest)(\#slots)) - last(slots) \rangle \\ & \hat{\ } tail((pfx \hat{\ } \langle sl' \rangle \hat{\ } rest) - front(slots)) \end{aligned}$$

The sequence indexing in the $post_1$ expansion is well-defined, so $\#slots \leq \#pfx + 1$, so we can

simplify $post_2$ further:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}(post_2) \\
= & \quad \text{“ above law ”} \\
& ss \wedge \langle s + ((pfx \wedge \langle sl' \rangle)(\#slots)) - last(slots) \rangle \\
& \wedge tail((pfx \wedge \langle sl' \rangle \wedge rest) - front(slots))
\end{aligned}$$

As $slots \preceq post_1 = pfx \wedge \langle sl \rangle$, we know that $front(slots) < pfx$, so $(pfx \wedge \sigma) - front(slots)$ is equal to $(pfx - front(slots)) \wedge \sigma$. So we can substitute $less = pfx - front(slots)$ into both expansions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}(post_1) \\
= & \quad \text{“ above principle ”} \\
& ss \wedge \langle s + ((pfx \wedge \langle sl \rangle)(\#slots)) - last(slots) \rangle \\
& \wedge tail(less \wedge \langle sl \rangle) \\
& \mathcal{S}(post_2) \\
= & \quad \text{“ above principle ”} \\
& ss \wedge \langle s + ((pfx \wedge \langle sl' \rangle)(\#slots)) - last(slots) \rangle \\
& \wedge tail(less \wedge \langle sl' \rangle \wedge rest)
\end{aligned}$$

Both are identical except for the last component $tail(\dots)$, and it is clear that that $\mathcal{S}(post_1)$ and $\mathcal{S}(post_2)$ first differ at sl and sl' , where we have $sl \preceq sl'$ by [AS:prsr:EX:hyp4]:p209. So we can assert that

$$\mathcal{S}(post_1) \preceq \mathcal{S}(post_2)$$

□

We can also generalise \mathcal{S} to work with variables other than ss and s , useful for certain proofs:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{[AS:par:sig]} & \mathcal{S} : ((\mathcal{S} E)^+ \times \mathcal{S} E) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\
\text{[AS:par:pre]} & \text{pre } \mathcal{S}_{xx,x}(post) \hat{=} slots \preceq post \\
\text{[AS:par:def]} & \mathcal{S}_{xx,x}(post) \hat{=} xx \wedge Shift(x, slots, post) \\
\text{[AS:par:expand]} & \mathcal{S}_{xx,x}(post) = xx \wedge \langle x + (slot' - slot) \rangle \wedge sfx \\
\text{where} & slot = last(slots) \\
& (slot' \circ sfx) = post - front(slots)
\end{array}$$

Also we may want to change the $slots$ variable, giving yet another parameterisation:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{[AS:par:sig]} & \mathcal{S} : ((\mathcal{S} E)^+ \times \mathcal{S} E) \rightarrow ((\mathcal{S} E)^+ \times (\mathcal{S} E)^+) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S} E)^+ \\
\text{[AS:par:pre]} & \text{pre } \mathcal{S}_{xx,x}(post, sls) \hat{=} sls \preceq post \\
\text{[AS:par:def]} & \mathcal{S}_{xx,x}(post, sls) \hat{=} xx \wedge Shift(x, sls, post) \\
\text{[AS:par:expand]} & \mathcal{S}_{xx,x}(post, sls) = xx \wedge \langle x + (slot' - slot) \rangle \wedge sfx \\
\text{where} & slot = last(sls) \\
& (slot' \circ sfx) = post - front(sls)
\end{array}$$

E Theory of Sequences

We present some theory of sequences that proves useful.

E.1 Sequence Definitions

E.1.1 Sequence Head

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\text{Seq:Head:Sig}] & \text{head} : \Sigma^+ \rightarrow \Sigma \\ [\text{Seq:Head:def}] & \text{head}(x \circ \sigma) \hat{=} x \end{array}$$

E.1.2 Sequence Tail

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\text{Seq:Tail:Sig}] & \text{tail} : \Sigma^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^* \\ [\text{Seq:Tail:def}] & \text{tail}(x \circ \sigma) \hat{=} \sigma \end{array}$$

E.1.3 Sequence Concatenation

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\text{Seq:Cat:Sig}] & \hat{\wedge} : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^* \\ [\text{Seq:Cat:def:nil}] & \langle \rangle \hat{\wedge} \tau \hat{=} \tau \\ [\text{Seq:Cat:def:cons}] & (x \circ \sigma) \hat{\wedge} \tau \hat{=} x \circ (\sigma \hat{\wedge} \tau) \end{array}$$

E.1.4 Sequence Length

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\text{Seq:Len:Sig}] & \# : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ [\text{Seq:Len:def:nil}] & \#\langle \rangle \hat{=} 0 \\ [\text{Seq:Len:def:cons}] & \#(x \circ \sigma) \hat{=} 1 + \#\sigma \end{array}$$

E.1.5 Sequence Index

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\text{Seq:Index:Sig}] & _(-) : \Sigma^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \setminus 0 \leftrightarrow \Sigma \\ [\text{Seq:Index:one}] & (x \circ \sigma)(1) \hat{=} x \\ [\text{Seq:Index:more}] & (x \circ \sigma)(n+1) \hat{=} \sigma(n) \end{array}$$

E.1.6 Prefix Relation

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{Seq:Pfx:Sig}] & _ \leq _ : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\
[\text{Seq:Pfx:def:nil}] & \langle \rangle \leq \tau \hat{=} \text{TRUE} \\
[\text{Seq:Pfx:def:cons}] & (x \circ \sigma) \leq (y \circ \tau) \hat{=} x = y \wedge \sigma \leq \tau \\
[\text{Seq:Pfx:def:lin}] & (x \circ \sigma) \leq \langle \rangle \hat{=} \text{FALSE}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{\langle \rangle \leq \tau} & [\text{Seq:Pfx-rel:def:nil}] \\
\frac{x = y \quad \sigma \leq \tau}{x \circ \sigma \leq y \circ \tau} & [\text{Seq:Pfx-rel:def:cons}]
\end{array}$$

E.1.7 Sequence Subtraction

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{Seq:Sub:Sig}] & _ - _ : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^* \\
[\text{Seq:Sub:pre}] & \text{pre } \sigma - \tau \hat{=} \tau \leq \sigma \\
[\text{Seq:Sub:def:nil}] & s - \langle \rangle \hat{=} s \\
[\text{Seq:Sub:def:cons}] & (x \circ \sigma) - (x \circ \tau) \hat{=} \sigma - \tau
\end{array}$$

E.1.8 Strict Prefix Relation

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{Seq:SPfx:Sig}] & _ < _ : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\
[\text{Seq:SPfx:def:nil}] & \langle \rangle < (y \circ \tau) \hat{=} \text{TRUE} \\
[\text{Seq:SPfx:def:cons}] & (x \circ \sigma) < (y \circ \tau) \hat{=} x = y \wedge \sigma < \tau \\
[\text{Seq:SPfx:def:lin}] & \sigma < \langle \rangle \hat{=} \text{FALSE}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{\langle \rangle < (y \circ \tau)} & [\text{Seq:SPfx-rel:def:nil}] \\
\frac{x = y \quad \sigma < \tau}{x \circ \sigma < y \circ \tau} & [\text{Seq:SPfx-rel:def:cons}]
\end{array}$$

E.1.9 Sequence Front

$$\begin{array}{ll}
[\text{Seq:Front:Sig}] & \text{front} : \Sigma^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^* \\
[\text{Seq:Front:def:sngl}] & \text{front}\langle x \rangle \hat{=} \langle \rangle \\
[\text{Seq:Front:def:cons}] & \text{front}(x \circ \sigma) \hat{=} x \circ \text{front}(\sigma) \\
[\text{Seq:Front:def:alt}] & \text{front}(s \frown \langle x \rangle) \hat{=} s
\end{array}$$

E.1.10 Sequence Last

[Seq:Last:Sig]	$last : \Sigma^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^*$
[Seq:Last:def:sngl]	$last \langle x \rangle \hat{=} x$
[Seq:Last:def:cons]	$last(x \circ \sigma) \hat{=} last(\sigma)$
[Seq:Last:def:alt]	$last(s \hat{\wedge} \langle x \rangle) \hat{=} x$
[Seq:Last:def:alt']	$last(s) \hat{=} head(s - front(s))$

E.2 Sequence Properties

E.2.1 Proof

[Seq:Front-Last:eq]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[Seq:Front-Last:eq]} \quad s, t \neq \langle \rangle \Rightarrow \\ (front(s) = front(t) \wedge last(s) = last(t)) \equiv (s = t) \end{aligned}$$

E.2.2 Proof

[Seq:LE:prefix]

$$\text{[Seq:LE:prefix]} \quad s \leq t \Rightarrow \forall i \in 1 \dots \#s \bullet s(i) = t(i)$$

E.2.3 Proof

[Seq:Front:len]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[Seq:Front:len]} \quad s \neq \langle \rangle \Rightarrow \#(front(s)) = (\#s) - 1 \\ \text{[Seq:Front:len']} \quad s \neq \langle \rangle \Rightarrow \#s = \#(front(s)) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

E.2.4 Proof

[Seq:Front:index]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[Seq:Front:index]} \quad \forall i \in 1 \dots \#front(s) \bullet (front(s))(i) = s(i) \\ \text{[Seq:Front:index']} \quad i \in 1 \dots \#front(s) \Rightarrow (front(s))(i) = s(i) \end{aligned}$$

E.2.5 Proof

[Seq:Front:len-index]

$$\text{[Seq:Front:len-index]} \quad (front(s) \frown t)(\#s) = head(t)$$

E.2.6 Proof

[Seq:Front:len]

$$\text{[Seq:Front:len]} \quad front(s) = front(t) \Rightarrow \#s = \#t$$

E.2.7 Proof

[Seq:FrontLT:len]

$$\text{[Seq:FrontLT:len]} \quad front(s) < t \Rightarrow \#s \leq \#t$$

E.2.8 Proof

[Seq:FrontLT:trans]

$$[\text{Seq:FrontLT:trans}] \quad \text{front}(s) < t \wedge \text{front}(t) < u \Rightarrow \text{front}(s) < u$$

E.2.9 Proof

[Seq:FrontLT:eqv]

$$[\text{Seq:FrontLT:eqv}] \quad \text{front}(s) < t \wedge s < \text{front}(t) \equiv \text{front}(s) = \text{front}(t)$$

E.2.10 Proof

[Seq:FrontLT:anti]

$$[\text{Seq:FrontLT:anti}] \quad \text{front}(s) < \text{front}(t) \wedge \text{front}(t) < \text{front}(s) \Rightarrow \text{front}(s) = \text{front}(t)$$

E.2.11 Proof

[Seq:FrontEQ:end]

$$[\text{Seq:FrontEQ:end}] \quad (\text{front}(s \hat{\ } \langle x \rangle) = \text{front}(s \hat{\ } \langle y \rangle \hat{\ } t)) \equiv (t = \langle \rangle)$$

$$[\text{Seq:FrontEQ:end}'] \quad (\text{front}(s) = \text{front}(t)) \equiv (\text{tail}(t - \text{front}(s)) = \langle \rangle)$$

E.2.12 Proof

[Seq:HdSub:index]

$$[\text{Seq:HdSub:index}] \quad t < s \Rightarrow \text{head}(s - t) = s(1 + \#t)$$

$$[\text{Seq:HdSub:index}'] \quad \text{front}(t) < s \Rightarrow \text{head}(s - \text{front}(t)) = s(\#t)$$

E.2.13 Proof

[Seq:TailSub]

$$[\text{Seq:TailSub}] \quad \text{tail}(s) = \text{tail}(s - t) \equiv t = \langle \rangle$$

E.2.14 Proof

[Seq:Front:Cat:Le]

$$[\text{Seq:Front:Cat:Le}] \quad s = \text{front}(t) \hat{\ } v \wedge \text{front}(s) < t \Rightarrow \#v = 1$$

References

- [Bar93] Janet E. Barnes. A Mathematical Theory of Synchronous Communication. Technical Monograph PRG-112, Oxford University Computing Laboratory Programming Research Group, Hilary Term 1993.
- [BGW09] Andrew Butterfield, Pawel Gancarski, and Jim Woodcock. State visibility and communication in unifying theories of programming. *Theoretical Aspects of Software Engineering, Joint IEEE/IFIP Symposium on*, 0:47–54, 2009.
- [CSW03] Ana Cavalcanti, Augusto Sampaio, and Jim Woodcock. A Refinement Strategy for *Circus*. *Formal Aspects of COmputing*, 5(2–3):146–181, November 2003.
- [Hoa85] C. A. R. Hoare. *Communicating Sequential Processes*. Intl. Series in Computer Science. Prentice Hall, 1985.
- [Ros97] A. W. Roscoe. *The Theory and Practice of Concurrency*. international series in computer science. Prentice Hall, 1997.
- [Sch00] Steve Schneider. *Concurrent and Real-time Systems — The CSP Approach*. Wiley, 2000.
- [SH03] Adnan Sherif and Jifeng He. Towards a time model for *circus*. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2495:613–624, 2003.
- [She06] Adnan Sherif. *A Framework for Specification and Validation of Real Time Systems using Circus Action*. Ph.d. thesis, Universidade Federale de Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil, Jan 2006.
- [SJ02] Adnan Sherif and He Jifeng. Towards a Time Model for *Circus*. Technical Report 257, UNU/IIST, P.O. Box 3058, Macau, 2002.